UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

Macroevolutionary Patterns in Planktonic Foraminifera and the Recovery of Pelagic Ecosystems from the Cretaceous-Paleogene Mass Extinction

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy

in

Oceanography

by

Pincelli Marie Hull

Committee in charge:

Professor Richard D. Norris, Co-Chair
Professor Mark D. Ohman, Co-Chair
Professor Peter J. S. Franks
Professor Jeremy B. C. Jackson
Professor George Sugihara
Professor Lawrence Saul

2010
The Dissertation of Pincelli Marie Hull is approved, and it is acceptable in quality and form for publication on microfilm and electronically:

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

Co-Chair

Co-Chair

University of California, San Diego

2010
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SIGNATURE PAGE .............................................................................................................. iii  
TABLE OF CONTENTS ....................................................................................................... iv  
LIST OF FIGURES ............................................................................................................... vii  
LIST OF TABLES .................................................................................................................. ix  
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .................................................................................................... x  
MATERIAL SUBMITTED FOR PUBLICATION IN THE DISSERTATION ............... xiv  
CURRICULUM VITAE ....................................................................................................... xv  
ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION ........................................................................... xvi  

## CHAPTER I: Introduction to the dissertation ................................................................. 1  
  INTRODUCTION ........................................................................................................ 2  
  OUTLINE OF THE DISSERTATION ........................................................................ 6  
  REFERENCES ........................................................................................................... 11  

## CHAPTER II: The relative importance of environment and dispersal to global pelagic community similarity ................................................................. 15  
  ABSTRACT ............................................................................................................... 16  
  INTRODUCTION ...................................................................................................... 17  
  MATERIAL AND METHODS .................................................................................. 22  
  RESULTS ................................................................................................................... 28  
  DISCUSSION ............................................................................................................. 32  
  CONCLUSIONS ........................................................................................................ 41  
  SUPPLEMENT .......................................................................................................... 41  
    Appendix 1: Additional background on the environmental correlates of species’ distributions ........................................................................................................... 41  
    Appendix 2: Planktonic foraminifera community similarity .................................. 49  
    Appendix 3: Biome descriptions at k = 10 ................................................................. 52  
    Appendix 4: Pairwise investigation of community similarity among individual BFD locations ........................................................................................................... 61  
  ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ........................................................................................ 65  
  REFERENCES ........................................................................................................... 65
## Table of Contents

- **ABSTRACT** .................................................................................................................. 142
- **INTRODUCTION** ...................................................................................................... 142
- **MATERIALS AND METHODS** .................................................................................. 144
- **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** .................................................................................. 147
- **CONCLUSIONS** ........................................................................................................ 152
- **REFERENCES** ........................................................................................................... 154

**CHAPTER VII: Rapid recovery of pelagic ecosystem function following the end-Cretaceous extinction** ................................................................................................................. 159
- **ABSTRACT** .................................................................................................................. 160
- **INTRODUCTION** ...................................................................................................... 161
- **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** .................................................................................. 162
- **REFERENCES** ........................................................................................................... 171
- **SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS** .................................................................................. 174

**CHAPTER VIII: Summary of the dissertation** ................................................................. 178
- **INTRODUCTION** ...................................................................................................... 179
- **SPECIATION IN THE PLANKTON** ............................................................................. 180
- **PELAGIC COMMUNITY ASSEMBLY** ......................................................................... 184
- **ECOSYSTEM RECOVERY FROM MASSIVE DISTURBANCES** ................................. 185
- **REFERENCES** ........................................................................................................... 187

**APPENDIX 1: Primary KPg Study Sites** ........................................................................ 193
- **PRIMARY STUDY SITES** ......................................................................................... 194
- **REFERENCES** ........................................................................................................... 200
# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2-1. | Permutated correlation coefficients for the comparison of genetic distance and environmental similarity within the *G. bulloides* complex | 31 |
| Figure 2-2. | Global surface ocean biomes and community clusters | 33 |
| Figure 2-3. | Community similarity versus environmental similarity and geographic distance | 35 |
| Figure 2-4. | Genetic distance in *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and *Globigerina bulloides* versus environmental similarity and geographic distance | 38 |
| Figure 2-5. | Environmental variables | 43 |
| Figure 2-6. | Global surface ocean biomes for 2 to 16 clusters | 46 |
| Figure 2-7. | Community similarity versus environmental similarity and geographic distance among BFD sites | 62 |
| Figure 3-1. | Morphological trends over time in the *Globorotalia plesiotumida–G. tumida* lineage in the western equatorial Pacific | 77 |
| Figure 3-2. | Distribution of relative warp 1 scores for dextrally and sinistrally coiled individuals | 78 |
| Figure 3-3. | Morphological change in the *Globorotalia plesiotumida–G. tumida* lineage | 78 |
| Figure 3-4. | Age model for ODP site 806B, equatorial Pacific and for ODP site 959C, equatorial Atlantic | 84 |
| Figure 3-5. | Morphological trends in the *Globorotalia plesiotumida-G. tumida* lineage in 3-ocean basins | 85 |
| Figure 3-6. | Paleoreconstruction of 6 Ma with all sites considered and species geographic ranges | 86 |
| Figure 3-7. | Methodological comparison of eigenshape and semi-landmark TPS results | 87 |
| Figure 3-8. | Multiple perspectives on morphological trends in *Globorotalia plesiotumida-G. tumida* | 88 |
| Figure 3-9. | Movie S1: Morphological change through time in the *Globorotalia* lineage | 89 |
Figure 3-10. Movie 2: Outlines of all individuals analyzed in the Pacific Ocean at ODP site 806B after Procrustes alignment .......................................................... 90

Figure 5-1. The Lagrangian sediment mixing model ............................................. 112

Figure 5-2. Theoretical examples of the effect of mixing parameters on model iridium profile shape ................................................................. 119

Figure 5-3. Core photographs illustrate physical mixing of sediment at Maud Rise and Hess Rise .................................................................................. 125

Figure 5-4. Investigation of potential mechanisms leading to iridium anomaly shape at DSDP 577B, Shatsky Rise .......................................................... 128

Figure 6-1. Map of change in export production across the KPg boundary as inferred from multiple, independent proxies ........................................... 147

Figure 6-2. Barium proxies of export production in the latest Maastrichtian to early Danian ........................................................................................ 149

Figure 6-3. Full-length barium proxy records of export production in the latest Maastrichtian to early Danian plotted against core depth ............... 151

Figure 6-4. Barium proxies of export production in the latest Maastrichtian to early Danian at DSDP Site 577B, Shatsky Rise, North Pacific .......... 153

Figure 7-1. An overview of >3 million years of recovery from the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction at the Shatsky Rise, North Pacific .......... 163

Figure 7-2. Early ecological recovery at Shatsky Rise, North Pacific .................. 165

Figure 7-3. Early ecological recovery at Walvis Ridge, South Atlantic ............... 167

Figure 7-4. Selected size spectra at Shatsky Rise, ODP 1209 ......................... 169

Figure A-1. Paleomap of primary study sites ....................................................... 195
LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Correlation coefficient (r) among environmental variables .................. 48
Table 2-2. Environmental characterization of biomes in a 10-biome ocean ............ 54
Table 3-1. Thin-plate spline method comparison ................................................. 91
Table 5-1. Iridium measurements from DSDP Site 577B (Michel et al., 1985), Shatsky Rise, with revised age model based on current model for ODP Site 1211 (Westerhold et al., 2008) ................................................... 139
Table 5-2. DSDP Site 577B, Shatsky Rise, mbsf tie points to ODP Site 1211 age model (Westerhold et al., 2008) based on matching features in x-ray florescence models of iron from both sites .................................................... 140
It is hard to even know where to begin with such a momentous task as acknowledging the generous support, guidance and kindness of those who have helped me along the path of becoming an oceanographer. Each step in its own time was as important as the last or the next and so too was the delightful presence of those around me.

I must thank my advisors, Dick Norris and Mark Ohman, first and foremost, for being fantastic mentors each in their own way. Dick has an unending enthusiasm and curiosity into the natural world, and a joyful approach to science, teaching and mentoring, not to mention a formidable knowledge of living and ancient ecosystems on land and in the sea. Dick always has time for those he mentors and our scientific discussions and endeavors over the years were both fascinating and formative for me as a scientist, not to mention a lot of fun. Mark grounded me in the oceans of our modern world, while imparting a rigorous scientific ethic and idealism and an exacting standard for scientific writing and presentation. His commitment to his graduate students and graduate student education is unwavering and I am fortunate to have benefited from both.

I was lucky to have a thesis committee that was both involved and supportive of my scientific endeavors. Peter Franks has been both a mentor in science and in life, not to mention a wonderful professor in the classroom as well. I thank Jeremy Jackson in part for my education as a paleontologist and for his mentorship beginning in the Center for Marine Biodiversity and Conservation’s summer course and spanning
through my dissertation research. From George Sugihara I first learned the fundamentals and theoretical underpinnings of ecology, and his insight and understanding into the dynamical behavior of natural systems was always fascinating and greatly appreciated. Lawrence Saul was a wonderful mentor in unsupervised machine learning; he enthusiastically collaborated on problems from the natural world, providing both ideas and technical guidance.

Beyond my advisors and committee, I thank the Scripps Community for their support; it is hard to imagine a better environment in which to be a graduate student. I have rather wide-ranging interests and my initial inquiries in various fields always met with useful guidance from graduate students and professors alike, who were generous with both their time and ideas. As a testament to this fact, I cannot even begin to list those who have helped me in this manner as these acknowledgements would begin to look like the SIO phone directory and I would feel quite awful when I later on remembered those whom I had previously forgotten.

As graduate students at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, we are lucky enough to be supported by our own Graduate Department whose technical guidance as well financial support smooth the bumps of graduate life in enumerable ways. For this, I cannot thank Denise Darling, Josh Reeves, Dawn Huffman, Alice Zheng, Becky Burrola, and everyone else enough for their time and patience with me over the years. I also would like to thank those in the GRD, IOD, and MBRD business office for their help in accomplishing all the tasks I seem most inept at doing. Finally, I would like to thank Penny Dockry of the Center for Marine Biodiversity and Conservation (CMBC)
for taking care of me over the years and always finding an innovative solution for my innovative problems. For those of us within the CMBC, it is impossible to imagine our graduate life without Penny.

My friends have been my teachers and my joy. To my fellow CMBC cohort, Octavio Aburto, Kate Hanson, Melissa Roth, Katie Cramer, and Kristen Marhaver, thank you for all the dinners, parties, debates, and for all the fun chasing fish around in Mexico. Octavio, thank you for teaching me not only how to be an underwater scientist, but how to be a scientist and expedition leader as well. My cohort of Biological Oceanography students, Pete Davison, Kate Hanson, Jenny Prairie, Mike Stukel, and Moira Decima, were my stalwarts as we faced the first year exam and now as we head into TGs filled with the next generation of graduate students. Many friends helped me scientifically over the years, but when it came to computing and statistics I pestered Christian Anderson more than others. My labmates have had much to teach me and special thanks go to Sandy Kirtland and Phil Sexton for my education in paleoceanography and paleoclimatology; to my fellow paleontologists, Jill Leonard-Pingel and Katie Cramer; and to Jess Carilli, Flavia Nunes, Zac Hsieh, Dave Field, and Erica Goetze for setting high standards to live up to within my respective labs and for being wonderful examples of how to go about being a young scientist. My apologies to all the friends left off this SIO list; I’m not forgetting, I’m just running out of time here.

For life at and beyond Scripps, special thanks to Emily Kelly and Monique Measures. Emily is the one friend who has been by my side from the beginning of
college to the very end of my PhD; thank you for so many happy years and for helping
out endlessly over the past two months of my PhD. I couldn’t have wrapped it without
you. Thank you Monique for always insisting that I, along with all my nerdy friends,
be sure to enjoy the rest of life with ‘real people’.

Before, during and after graduate school there has always been my family and
they more than anyone else have been my support, my friends, and my life. My mom
taught me the most important skills for graduate school and life: be happy, be curious,
work hard, and look it up. My family has loved and encouraged me in all my
endeavors and I feel beyond fortunate to be a part of such an amazing group of
individuals. Thank you, Walter, for always insisting that I take time to enjoy life while
it is happening and for being such a wonderful partner through it all.
MATERIAL SUBMITTED FOR PUBLICATION IN THE DISSERTATION

The data chapters of this dissertation are in preparation or have been submitted for publication. Chapter II was submitted for publication as “The relative importance of environment and dispersal to global pelagic community similarity”. I was the sole author and primary investigator this paper. Chapter III was published as “Evidence for abrupt speciation in a classic case of gradual evolution” in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 106 (50): 21224-21229. Copyright 2009 National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. I co-authored this paper with Richard Norris, and was the primary investigator and author. Chapter V is in preparation for publication as “Using mixing models and iridium anomalies to quantify sediment mixing at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary”. This study is in collaboration with Peter Franks and Richard Norris, and I am the primary investigator and author of this work. Chapter VI is in preparation for publication as: “Geographically and temporally heterogeneous changes in ocean export productivity at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary”. This chapter is co-authored with Richard Norris and I am primary investigator and author. Chapter VII is in preparation for publication as: “Rapid recovery of pelagic ecosystem function following the end-Cretaceous extinction”. I am the primary investigator and author of this paper in collaboration with Richard Norris, Timothy Bralower, and Atreyee Bhattacharya.
VITAE

2003  B.S. Duke University
2004-2005  Regents Fellowship, University of California, San Diego
2004-2008  Chancellor’s Fellowship, University of California, San Diego
2005-2008  NSF Pre-doctoral Fellowship
2008  Teaching Assistant, Paleoecology, University of California, San Diego
2008-2010  Research Assistant, University of California, San Diego
2010  Ph.D., Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego

PUBLICATIONS


ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

Macroevolutionary Patterns in Planktonic Foraminifera and the Recovery of Pelagic Ecosystems from the Cretaceous-Paleogene Mass Extinction

by

Pincelli Marie Hull

Doctor of Philosophy in Oceanography

University of California, San Diego, 2010

Professor Richard D. Norris, Co-Chair
Professor Mark D. Ohman, Co-Chair

In this dissertation, I investigate macroevolutionary patterns and dynamics in planktonic foraminifera and accompanying changes in their pelagic environment. My research focuses on processes that structure diversity in the open sea, including the response of oceanic ecosystems to the largest biotic disturbance of the Cenozoic, the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction.
I begin by investigating the determinants of community similarity in modern planktonic foraminiferal communities. Here, I find that community similarity is primarily determined by the environmental similarity between communities, and not geographic distance. On a global scale, planktonic foraminifera provide an exception to the rule that geographic proximity is the strongest predictor of community similarity.

Patterns of morphological evolution in planktonic foraminifera also suggest that different evolutionary processes may predominate in the open ocean. I investigate a classic case of gradual evolution in the *Globorotalia plesiotumida-G. tumida* lineage of planktonic foraminifera using more sensitive numerical techniques and find evidence for a cryptic speciation event and a more rapid evolution of *G. tumida* than previously hypothesized.

New analytical approaches were also developed in order to ask questions at a higher stratigraphic resolution at the Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg) boundary than is currently possible. To this end, Lagrangian advection-diffusion models were modified to fit iridium anomalies deposited by the KPg impactor.

Insights into the recovery of pelagic ecosystems from the KPg mass extinction were obtained from high-resolution geochemical, grain size, and taxonomic records of the early post-extinction interval. Barium proxy records were used to investigate boundary-related changes in export productivity, and supported inferences from benthic foraminifera in describing geographically and temporally heterogeneous changes in export productivity following the KPg impact. When productivity was
considered along with planktonic foraminifera community composition and relative flux, this evidence suggested that an alternative pelagic ecosystem may have thrived in some locales in a post-extinction world.
CHAPTER I

Introduction to the dissertation
INTRODUCTION

The deep time record of pelagic ecosystems provides a means for understanding ecological and evolutionary processes that occur over time scales longer than those spanned by modern observations. The history of the open ocean is recorded in the fossil-rich sediments that carpet much of the ocean floor (Phleger 1954; Vincent and Berger 1981). Deep-sea cores of ocean sediment spanning 10’s to 100’s of meters provide a relatively highly resolved record of the ecological and evolutionary history of fossil algae like coccolithophorids and diatoms, of consumers like planktonic foraminifera and radiolarians, of environmental variables like temperature, ocean circulation, and productivity, and of the feedbacks between biogeochemical systems. Over the last 50 years, deep-sea cores have been collected throughout the world ocean, providing a spatially and temporally resolved record of the past 150 million years of ocean history (http://www-odp.tamu.edu/). These records provide evidence of past global disturbances like global deep sea anoxic events in the Cretaceous (Jenkyns 1980), an extraterrestrial impact caused extinction at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary (Alvarez et al. 1980; Hildebrand et al. 1991), rapid climate change and ocean acidification at the Paleocene-Eocene boundary (Kennett and Stott 1991; Thomas 1990), complete desiccation of the Mediterranean in the Miocene followed by catastrophic flooding (Garcia-Castellanos et al. 2009; Hsu et al. 1973), and the transformation of the world from a greenhouse climate with ice-free poles to the ice-capped, glacial-interglacial world of today (Zachos et al. 2001). Similarly, these records document the ecological and evolutionary changes in oceanic species and ecosystems.
following these global events (e.g., D'Hondt 2005; Jiang et al. in press), and provide a perspective that cannot be obtained by simply scaling up short-term ecological or evolutionary studies in the modern ocean. Thus, this deep-time perspective has the potential to inform our expectations for how species and ecosystems will respond to modern perturbations like global warming, ocean acidification, or the extinction of species, beyond what we can infer from studies of today’s ocean.

Large spatial and temporal scales provide key vantage points for the two primary questions addressed in my thesis: 1) What were the dominant processes that structured the diversity of the modern ocean? and 2) How have oceanic ecosystems responded to global perturbations in the past? In both ecology and evolution, there is a well-known problem of scale. The spatial and temporal scales of ecological studies only capture a small range of the scales important for understanding evolutionary and ecological processes (e.g., Levin 1992), and predicting the patterns and dynamics of future change (e.g., Scheffer et al. 2009; Scheffer et al. 2001). A similar issue exists in trying to scale microevolutionary inferences up to macroevolutionary scales. Although we have a growing, highly detailed knowledge of molecular evolution (e.g., Coyne and Orr 2004), the bacterium *Escherichia coli* provides one of the only systems where the processes of speciation and evolutionary innovation have been observed first hand (Lenski and Travisano 1994). In contrast, speciation and extinction are recorded in abundance in the fossil record (Jackson and Cheetham 1999).

Along with the advantages of studying ecological and evolutionary patterns on large temporal and spatial scales, are corresponding losses in acuity that impede
mechanistic interpretations and hypothesis testing. For instance, very few taxa are actually well fossilized, and those that are have a disproportionate influence on our understanding of past oceanic ecosystems. While it is possible to recover fish teeth, shark denticles, and other remains from open ocean sediments, the number of these fossils is quite small as compared to the abundance of foraminifera, coccolithophorids, radiolarians, diatoms, and even ostracods. As a result, most studies in the open ocean have tended to focus on the more abundant fossils, in particular planktonic foraminifera and coccolithophorids (Giraudeau and Luc 2007; Kucera 2007; Phleger 1954; Vincent and Berger 1981). My research focuses on planktonic foraminifera, single-celled eukaryotes with a calcareous test (CaCO₃) that first arose from a benthic foraminiferal ancestor in the Jurassic (Lipps 1979). Similarities in the distributions of many zooplankton taxa in the modern ocean, like planktonic foraminifera (Bé and Tolderlund 1971; Bradshaw 1959), euphausiids (Brinton 1962), and chaetognaths (Bieri 1959), suggests that planktonic foraminifera may provide a good proxy for past changes in zooplankton in general. While comforting, this does not mean that planktonic foraminifera provide an equally valid proxy at all times. For instance, evidence from terrestrial plants suggests that taxa respond individualistically to climatic perturbations (e.g., Jackson and Williams 2004), although the opposite appears to be true of mammalian assemblages (McGill et al. 2005). Without cross-taxa records in the ocean, it is difficult to anticipate whether the response of planktonic foraminifera to major perturbations is representative of zooplankton in general.
In addition to the loss of taxonomic scope, fine-scale temporal resolution is typically lost in deep sea sedimentary records as well. In my chapters to follow, I investigate ecological and evolutionary changes over millions of years. In these studies, the amount of time averaged into a single sample and the spacing between subsequent samples is on the scale of 1,000 – 10,000 years. Ecological and evolutionary processes operate over much shorter time scales from days to years to decades, and leave a fossil record that is mixed with other sediments that differ in age by hundreds to thousands of years. In short, the record of any given change is simply not preserved at the temporal resolution that is ecologically relevant in most sedimentary environments. In addition to the loss of the temporal resolution necessary to understand ecological mechanisms, even within a well-fossilized group like planktonic foraminifera, preferential preservation of some taxa will result in a sedimentary assemblage not entirely like that which produced it (Berger 1967; Berger 1971).

Finally, there are disadvantages in studying planktonic foraminifera in particular as we have only a limited understanding of the basic life history of most extant species or the selective advantages of most morphological traits, although some species have been described in more detail (Bé 1982; Brummer and Kroon 1988). This is problematic in evolutionary studies as it is often not clear which, if any, traits are under selection and why. Similarly, from an ecological perspective, there is little information on the determinants of relative or absolute population size. Furthermore, genetic studies of a number of modern studies have uncovered cryptic species complexes comprising every planktonic morphospecies studied to date (Darling and Wade 2008). Together, these
issues seriously impede studies of speciation and evolution in ancient lineages, and minimize the complexity of ecological inferences that can be made from fossil planktonic foraminiferal assemblages.

In large part, the scientific contributions of my dissertation arise from seeking to increase the methodological, temporal, taxonomic, stratigraphic, and/or environmental resolution in a number of long-standing questions.

OUTLINE OF THE DISSERTATION

Understanding evolution and ecology in past oceanic ecosystems is an inherently interdisciplinary undertaking. For instance, in Chapter III I revisit a classic case of gradual evolution in planktonic foraminifera. In order to interpret the patterns of morphological evolution in terms of speciation (the primary focus of the study), I needed to consider issues pertaining to biogeography, confounding sedimentary processes, and paleoceanographic proxies. While my thesis appears similarly topically wide ranging, it can be divided into the chapters that primarily investigate a specific process or mechanism in ecology, evolution, or geology (Chapters II, III, V), and those chapters that apply multidisciplinary approaches to understand past oceanic ecosystems (Chapters VI-VII).

In Chapter II, I study the biogeography of modern planktonic foraminifera in order to investigate the determinants of community similarity in the modern ocean. In terrestrial ecosystems, the similarity between the species composition of communities in two different locations is determined, in large part, by the distance between them
(Nekola and White 1999). This is due to the relative importance of dispersal limitation in these environments. Although San Diego and Sydney may have similar climates, a wallaby is limited by its dispersal from establishing populations in San Diego County. In contrast, there is abundant evidence from species distributions in the open ocean that dispersal limitation may be less important in determining species distributions. For instance, the same genetic species of planktonic foraminifera appears to inhabit both the Antarctic and the Arctic (Darling et al. 2007). I therefore directly test the relative importance of environmental similarity versus geographic separation in structuring the community composition of planktonic foraminifera. The major conclusion of this study, that environmental similarity is the more important driver of community similarity, provides context for my later chapters on speciation (Chapter III) and community change following the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction (Chapter VII).

In Chapter III I investigate a classic case of gradual evolution in the *Globorotalia plesiotumida-G. tumida* lineage of planktonic foraminifera. At issue here is how well we can morphometrically measure speciation in a group like planktonic foraminifera, where it can be difficult to quantitatively separate species that appear genetically distinct. In Chapter III, I conclude that the morphometric method employed can strongly influence the perceived evolutionary pattern. While this is just one case study, these results imply that we may be misinterpreting the mode and underestimating the frequency of speciation in the fossil record and/or the standing diversity at a given time. I address the morphological limitations in planktonic foraminifera in Chapter II, by considering limited genetic information along with
community patterns, and in Chapter VII, by identifying foraminifera at a subspecific level. Both approaches fall short of solving the problem, but do provide a test for the conclusions reached using traditional species-level taxonomy.

In Chapters IV-VII, I focus on the recovery from the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction as a case study for better understanding the response of the open ocean to biotic crises. Chapter IV provides a short introduction to this extinction event, as all subsequent chapters focus on the events that followed. Appendix I provides details beyond the purview of the chapters themselves, and describes in geological detail all the sites studied in Chapters V-VII.

Chapter V is the last of the process-focused chapters. Here, I investigate sediment mixing at the Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg) boundary by fitting a Lagrangian advection-diffusion model to a highly resolved iridium anomaly. This chapter describes a new approach for quantitatively understanding the effect of mixing on the fossil record at the KPg boundary. As a test and a description of a new approach, this chapter questions the fidelity of iridium as a tracer of sediment mixing, describes the mixing model, and then examines mixing in a number of theoretical cases and at one site in the North Pacific. Sediment mixing is an important and pervasive feature of the records used in my other chapters (Chapter II, III, VI, VII) and this research informs my understanding of the overprint of sediment mixing in general. However, in the future, I hope to specifically apply this method to quantitatively improve stratigraphic inferences at the KPg boundary.
In Chapter VI and VII, I investigate the recovery of pelagic ecosystems from the KPg mass extinction. In Chapter VI, I investigate the magnitude and duration of export productivity change at the KPg boundary using barium proxies in sites in the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans. While it is clear the KPg mass extinction led to the extinction of many pelagic organisms including ~95% of planktonic foraminifera (D'Hondt et al. 1996; Smit 1982) and ~88% of coccolithophorids (Thierstein 1982), and the complete extinction of groups like ammonites and large marine reptiles (Norris 2001), the effects of the extinction on oceanic export productivity are less clear. Some productivity proxies like surface-to-deep water $\delta^{13}C$ gradients, sedimentation rates, and interocean basin deep water aging (D'Hondt 2005; Hsu et al. 1982; Zachos et al. 1989) indicate large, global declines in export production. In contrast, benthic foraminiferal productivity proxies suggest some oceanic regions may have had export fluxes equal to or in excess of those preceding the mass extinction (Alegret and Thomas 2007; Alegret and Thomas 2009). The barium proxies I use have the advantage of providing a third, independent proxy for export productivity, one that may provide a higher fidelity tracer at a time where many of the species used in traditional proxies went extinct.

Intriguingly, I find that the barium proxies support the benthic proxies in describing geographically heterogeneous effects of the KPg boundary mass extinction on export production. Some low diversity ecosystems may have had comparable or elevated levels of export production in spite of a major turnover in species at the KPg boundary.

Chapter VII investigates the structure of ecosystems for approximately 500,000 years following the mass extinction. This interval has been traditionally
understood as a very early recovery ecosystem: one that is depauperate in terms of species and characterized by either low total productivity and/or low export productivity (D'Hondt 2005). Here, I use grain size analysis to investigate changes in the relative abundance of calcareous nannoplankton and planktonic foraminifera, trends in community faunal composition, and changes in primary productivity and temperature in the North Pacific and in the South Atlantic. Surprisingly, I find an increased abundance of planktonic foraminifera in the earliest Danian relative to pre-impact communities in the North Pacific, suggesting that some species of foraminifera actually thrived in a post-impact world.

Finally, in Chapter VIII, I conclude by synthesizing the cross-chapter themes of my dissertation.
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CHAPTER II

The relative importance of environment and dispersal to global pelagic community similarity
ABSTRACT

Geographic proximity is the dominant determinant of community similarity on a global scale in ecosystems studied to date. However, open ocean species often occur in several disjunct, widely dispersed locations, calling into question the role of geographic proximity in structuring pelagic communities. Here, I investigate the relative importance of geographic proximity versus habitat characteristics in determining community similarity in the open ocean. I compare the distributions of objectively-defined biomes with planktonic foraminifera communities, and investigate the relationship between foraminifera community similarity and (i) geographic distance, or (ii) environmental similarity. The effect of cryptic speciation on perceived trends is also considered for two cryptic species complexes. Using unsupervised clustering, I find that global ocean biomes and planktonic foraminifera communities both have disjunct geographic distributions, with no evidence of dispersal limitation. Environmental similarity is found to predict up to 61% of planktonic foraminifera community similarity globally, while no significant correlation exists between geographic distance and community similarity. Consequently, planktonic foraminifera provide the first exception to the rule that geographic proximity determines community similarity on global scales. In contrast to most terrestrial and benthic marine ecosystems, community similarity in open ocean planktonic foraminifera appears to be primarily related to environmental similarity.
INTRODUCTION

Classic ecological theory predicts that, over large spatial scales, the relative similarity between two communities will be determined by the geographic distance between them (Nekola and White 1999). This pattern, a distance-decay relationship, is described by the adage “everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related than distant things” (Tobler 1970). For instance, neighboring communities in the Amazonian plant family Melastomataceae have an average community similarity of approximately 50%, while communities separated by 1000 km have less than a 10% similarity (logarithmic fit; Tuomisto et al. 2003). Over long periods of time, the geographic isolation of communities leads to divergent evolutionary histories. Global biogeographic realms in terrestrial and coastal marine environments delineate regions where historic geographic isolation has resulted in the phylogenetic divergence of the biota (Briggs 1974; Olson et al. 2001; Spalding et al. 2007). While the Neotropics and Afrotropics (both terrestrial biogeographic realms) contain regions with similar climates and vegetation structure, distinctly different taxonomic assemblages exist in the two realms as a result of a long history of geographic isolation. The empirical importance of geographic proximity and dispersal limitation in determining species’ distributions has led to the incorporation of finite dispersal into a number of ecological and evolutionary theories, such as Hubbell’s unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography (Hubbell 2001) and the theory of island biogeography (MacArthur and Wilson 1967).

The generality of the distance-decay relationship has been challenged by microbial ecologists. Recent work has shown that community similarity is correlated to
habitat similarity more than geographic distance in soil and lake bacteria on continental scales (Fierer and Jackson 2006; Van der Gucht et al. 2007). These studies support the dispersal-unlimited, microbial hypothesis that “everything is everywhere, but, the environment selects” [Baas Becking 1934, translated in (de Wit and Bouvier 2006)]. However, there is also abundant evidence for dispersal limitation in microbial communities, with well documented cases in bacterial (Papke et al. 2003; Reche et al. 2005), archaeal (Whitaker et al. 2003), fungal (Green et al. 2004), and freshwater diatom communities (Soininen 2007; Vyverman et al. 2007). Despite evidence for both habitat selection and dispersal limitation in structuring microbial communities, Finlay and Fenchel hypothesize that microbial communities lack biogeographies altogether (Fenchel and Finlay 2004; Finlay 2002; Finlay et al. 1999). Thus, debates over the determinants of microbial biogeography and community similarity continue, particularly in regards to the universality and scaling of principles developed in macroorganismal terrestrial and benthic marine ecosystems (Dolan 2005; Green and Bohannan 2006; Martiny et al. 2006; Prosser et al. 2007).

The open ocean provides an interesting case study for investigating the generality of biogeographical principles. Unlike most species in biogeographic realms in terrestrial and shallow benthic environments, open ocean pelagic fauna often exhibit disjunct distributions with similar species being found in opposite hemispheres (antitropical distributions) and in different ocean basins (panoceanic distributions). Biogeographers have recognized five main faunal patterns both in the Pacific Ocean and globally based on the fragmented distributions of zooplankton species (Bradshaw 1959;
McGowan 1974; McGowan and Walker 1993). While the determinants of open ocean community similarity on a global scale have yet to be directly tested, there is a long history of pelagic biogeography indicating that global open ocean communities may be structured by habitat selection rather than dispersal limitation (see Appendix 1 for a brief historical review). At a species level, pelagic species occur in habitats with similar environmental characteristics (de Vargas et al. 1999; Goetze 2005; McGowan and Walker 1993). At a community level, marine bacterial communities are predictable on the basis of environmental and biotic factors (Fuhrman et al. 2006; Fuhrman and Steele 2008), with distinct communities being found in similar oceanographic environments throughout the world (Rusch et al. 2007; Zwirglmaier et al. 2008). Furthermore, marine bacteria have a flat distance-decay relationships across open ocean gyres suggesting little dispersal limitation on that scale (Hewson et al. 2006).

Two recent developments in pelagic biogeography question the dominance of habitat selection in determining global pelagic species distributions and community assembly. First, Longhurst (1998) recently subdivided the world’s ocean into 51 geographically contiguous provinces on the basis of environmental characteristics, species range boundaries and expert opinion. While this classification is more finely subdivided than the 5 faunal groupings mentioned above, it is notable that no open ocean province in Longhurst’s classification has a disjunct distribution. Geographically contiguous provinces are consistent with an open ocean in which community similarity is determined by geographic proximity. Second, molecular studies are revealing that traditional morphological species in the ocean are often cryptic species complexes.
Taxonomic resolution can affect the perceived importance of dispersal limitation; generally, genetic species have greater endemism and dispersal limitation than morphospecies (Fontaneto et al. 2008; Taylor et al. 2006; Vanormelingen et al. 2008). However, increased taxonomic resolution may not have this effect in the open ocean. A single genetic species can have a fragmented distribution and reoccur in multiple discontinuous geographic locations with similar environmental characteristics. This pattern has been observed in planktonic foraminifera (Darling et al. 2007; de Vargas et al. 1999), copepods (Goetze 2005), coccolithophores (Saez et al. 2003) and cyanobacteria (Ferris and Palenik 1998). Furthermore, there has been limited support for cryptic speciation in pelagic environments due to vicariant boundaries or dispersal limitation (Darling et al. 2007; Goetze 2003), although population genetics has revealed evidence of ecologically-mediated dispersal limitation among haplotypes (Goetze 2005).

The mixed evidence from pelagic species’ distributions, Longhurst’s provinces, and cryptic species complexes, raises several questions on the determinants of open ocean community similarity. Namely, can isolated open ocean biomes contain highly similar communities? And what is the relative importance of environmental similarity versus geographic distance in determining global community similarity?

In this study, I examine community similarity in relation to geographic distance and environmental similarity in the open ocean. I focus on planktonic foraminifera as they are a pelagic group for which the global distribution of species is known.
(Rutherford et al. 1999), in addition to billfish and tuna (Worm et al. 2005). Planktonic foraminifera are considered a good open ocean model organism: their biogeographic patterns are representative of other pelagic fauna (Worm et al. 2005), genetic studies have resolved multiple cryptic complexes (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007; de Vargas et al. 1999; de Vargas et al. 2001), and their fossils are found throughout the world ocean allowing for high-resolution studies of paleoceanography, paleoclimate, and macroevolution in addition to modern ecology and biogeography (Norris 2000; Phleger 1954; Vincent and Berger 1981).

First, I investigate the geographic continuity of both oceanic biomes and pelagic communities unconstrained by geographic proximity using unsupervised clustering. In this study, the term biome refers to regions with similar physical oceanographic (temperature, salinity, stratification, etc) and primary productivity characteristics. If dispersal limitation determines community similarity, clustered communities are predicted to be geographically contiguous regardless of the geographic continuity of biomes. Second, I compare global pelagic community similarity against environmental similarity and geographic distance using planktonic foraminifera. A decrease in community similarity with increasing geographic distance (a distance-decay relationship) is indicative of the dominance of dispersal limitation in structuring communities (Nekola and White 1999), while a decrease in community similarity with decreasing environmental similarity (an environmental-decay relationship) is indicative of the dominance of habitat selection. Finally, given concerns with the morphological species concept in planktonic foraminifera, the correlation of phylogenetic relatedness
with environmental and geographic similarity is examined within two well-studied
cryptic species complexes of planktonic foraminifera, *Globigerina bulloides* and
*Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007; Darling et al.
2003). These two case studies provide insight into the potential effect of cryptic
speciation on the general inferences drawn from the morphologically-defined
planktonic foraminifera communities.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Environmental Factors**

I classified open ocean biomes and investigated environmental similarity using
both the long-term annual mean and annual range of seven variables (Figure 2-5 in the
supplementary material). Four factors (temperature (SST, °C), salinity (practical salinity
scale, PSS), phosphate (µmol/L), and dissolved oxygen (ml/L)) come from the World
Ocean Atlas (WOA05) and were included as objectively analyzed annual and monthly
climatologies in a 1° by 1° resolution at 10 meters depth (Antonov et al. 2006; Garcia et
al. 2006a; Garcia et al. 2006b; Locarnini et al. 2006). The calculated 10-to-200 meter
density difference, an index of water column stratification (Behrenfeld et al. 2006), was
derived from WOA05 temperature and salinity climatologies at each depth (10 and 200
meters). The final two variables (photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, mol
quanta/m²/day) and net primary productivity (NPP, mg C/m²/day)) were obtained from
the Ocean Productivity website
(http://www.science.oregonstate.edu/ocean.productivity/) as monthly averages from
1998-2005 at a 1/6° by 1/6° resolution, and NPP was calculated with the standard Vertically Generalized Production Model (VGPM) (Behrenfeld and Falkowski 1997) from Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFs) ocean color and PAR measurements, and Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sea surface temperature measurements. The long-term annual mean NPP and PAR is the mean of the available months (96 in total) from the Ocean Productivity site.

Annual range (a metric of environmental variability) was determined for all environmental factors (Figure 2-5). The annual range for all WOA05 variables (temperature, salinity, phosphate, dissolved oxygen and water column stratification) was determined by calculating the range across all monthly climatologies. For NPP and PAR, annual variability was calculated as the maximum range over a 12-month composite, with each monthly composite equal to the eight-year median value for that month. Additional data preparation details and considerations are described in Appendix 1 in the supplementary material.

Global Planktonic Foraminifera

A trimmed version of the Brown Foraminiferal Database (BFD) was used to cluster foraminiferal communities for the biome comparison and to investigate the correlation between community similarity and geographic distance versus environmental similarity. The BFD includes 33 species and 6 subspecies, all morphologically defined, identified in the >150μm size fraction (Prell et al. 1999). The
planktonic foraminifera in the BFD come from surface sediments and represent recently
dead assemblages. BFD assemblages are affected by the water depth of the surface sediments (increased water depth results in the selective dissolution and loss of some species) and the sedimentary depth of the sample (increased sedimentary depth includes individuals from relatively ancient communities). In this study, I investigated two subsets of the BFD database. The first subset (standard time control) included more samples (855 of the 1265 BFD samples) but also had relatively more time averaging of communities due to the range of sedimentary depths included (0-2.5 meters). The second subset (strict time control) included fewer samples (507 of the 1265 BFD samples) due to the exclusion of samples from any sediment depth greater than 0 meters on average. Both subsets were similarly controlled for the sample water depth (see details in Appendix 1 in the supplementary material). The standard time control dataset was used to cluster foraminiferal communities, as it included a greater number of locations in the data-sparse Pacific and Indian Oceans. The effect of time averaging on the correlates of community similarity were considered by analyzing trends in both the standard and strict time control BFD datasets.

Community similarity, environmental similarity, and geographic distance was calculated pairwise between all eight foraminiferal clusters (foraminiferal clustering described below) in both BFD datasets (standard and strict time control). Geographic distance was calculated as the great-circle distance in kilometers between the geographic centers of the foraminiferal clusters (median latitude and longitude). Environmental similarity was calculated pairwise as the Euclidean distance between the
median of all environmental variables within the foraminiferal clusters (environmental variables normalized to a zero mean and a unit standard deviation before calculating median value). Although I use the term “environmental similarity” in this study, the Euclidean distance is actually a metric of environmental dissimilarity. A Euclidean distance of zero indicates two identical environments (perfectly similar) and a large Euclidean distance indicates two dissimilar environments. Community similarity was calculated by Morisita’s Index of Similarity between all foraminiferal cluster pairs using the median abundance of species within each cluster (site abundances were normalized relative to the total sample size before calculating the median value). Correlations were investigated using a Mantel test with the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient and 10,000 permutations.

Morisita’s Index of Similarity was used as it includes the relative abundance of species in the calculation of community similarity (calculation in Appendix 1); the conclusions of this study were unchanged by the use of presence/absence metrics like Jaccard’s and Sorensens’s similarity coefficients (results not shown). The results of this study were also unchanged by the direct pairwise consideration of all 855 BFD sites (Figure 2-7 and Appendix 4), indicating that the relationships are not an artifact of the foraminiferal community clustering.

**Cryptic Species**

The correlation between genetic relatedness and geographic separation versus environmental similarity is investigated in two well-studied morphospecies of
planktonic foraminifera, *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and *Globigerina bulloides* (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007; Darling et al. 2003). Both morphospecies have been genetically examined across most of their range and are considered to be cryptic species complexes (with 7-genetic species in each complex). These subpolar-to-polar species (with bi-polar distributions), provide an extreme test of dispersal limitation (Darling *et al.* 2007). Unlike several subtropical cryptic species complexes, neither *N. pachyderma* nor *G. bulloides* appears to subdivide the water column vertically by genotype (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007; Stewart et al. 2001), although seasonal variation in the numerically dominant genotype is known in a small portion of the range of *G. bulloides* (Darling et al. 2003). Genetic distance among *N. pachyderma* and *G. bulloides* genotypes (7 and 5 genotypes analyzed respectively) was calculated pairwise as the mean number of nucleotide changes per 100 nucleotides (small-subunit ribosomal DNA, SSU rDNA, and SSU rRNA respectively) since the last common ancestor (see Appendix 2 in the supplementary material for the published references used, methodological details, and an extended discussion). Environmental similarity and geographic distance were calculated pairwise among genotypes using all sites with confirmed genotypic presence (methodological details as described in the above section, *Global planktonic foraminifera*).

**Biome and Community Clustering**

*K*-means clustering, a basic unsupervised classification algorithm that seeks to minimize within cluster variance (MacQueen 1967), was used to cluster oceanic regions
based either on environmental characteristics or planktonic foraminifera communities. In spite of several well-known limitations with basic $k$-means clustering (Hartigan 1975), a comparison of multiple methods determined that basic $k$-means clustering performs as well or better than more sophisticated methods in identifying global ocean biomes (on regional scales, dimensionality reduction may improve $k$-means clustering performance) (Lewis et al. 2008). $K$-means clustering was run until convergence in Matlab (MathWorks, version 7.2.0.0283 R2006a), with the most variable cluster at $k$-1 seeded randomly to determine the $k^{th}$ cluster. Each cluster level (from 2 to $k$) was reseeded 40 times, with the lowest intra-cluster variance cluster assignment saved at each level. The entire clustering routine looped 10-times to ensure that $k$-means clustering converges on the same, optimal cluster assignment (cluster assignments converged in 9 out of 10 environmental trials and in 10 out of 10 BFD trials). Before clustering, all environmental variables were normalized to a zero mean and a unit standard deviation and percent abundance was calculated for the planktonic foraminifera from the BFD.

One shortcoming of $k$-means clustering is that it does not provide a method for choosing $k$, the number of clusters (e.g., the number of biomes or foraminiferal communities). Statistical methods exist for informing the choice of $k$; however, these methods have a number of assumptions that appear to be violated by the environmental data in this study (see Appendix 1 for details). Critically, methods like optimizing the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) or Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) assume a Gaussian distribution of points around centroids (Pelleg and Moore 2000), while other
methods used in spectral clustering and agglomerative clustering require regions of low
density parameter space in order to identify significant clusters (Ng et al. 2001). When
these criteria are not satisfied (non-Gaussian data distributions and/or continuous
occupancy of parameter space), then the use of the statistical methods for informing \( k \)
may not be justified. In this study, I failed to statistically find a best \( k \) for clustering
open ocean biomes as was previously predicted (Longhurst 2007). As a result, I
clustered biomes at \( k=10 \) as a compromise between the five global and basinal faunal
groupings (Bradshaw 1959; McGowan 1974) and the 15 to 16 oceanic provinces
recognized in the Pacific and Atlantic (Longhurst 2007). A comparable \( k=8 \) was used
for the foraminiferal community clusters, as two biomes (the Subpolar-North Pacific
and Polar-Arctic Coastal biomes) were effectively absent in the trimmed BFD database.

RESULTS

Open Ocean Surface Biomes

This study finds a geographically disjunct distribution of global surface ocean
biomes (Figure 2-2a) as determined by \( k \)-means clustering of environmental variables
and, independently, of pelagic communities by \( k \)-means clustering of planktonic
foraminifera communities (Figure 2-2b). Major features of both classifications are
similar. Three foraminiferal groups (see table in Figure 2-2) correspond to unique
oceanic biomes (\( FG \ II, V, \) and \( VI \) correspond by 86% to the Tropical-Upwelling biome,
by 72% to the Subtropical-East biome, and by 77% to the Coastal biome respectively).
Four foraminiferal groups (\( FG \ I, III, VII, \) and \( VIII \) ) correspond to oceanic biomes with
conflicts arising from the correspondence of an additional foraminiferal group with the same biome. In the first conflict, 65% of all FG I sites occur in the Tropical-Open biome, while FG III corresponds to the Tropical-Open biome by 52% and the Subtropical-Central biome by 49%. In the second conflict, 61% of FG VII sites correspond to the Subpolar-Antipodal biome, while FG VIII corresponds by 67% to the Subpolar-Antipodal biome and 37% to the Polar-Southern Ocean biome. Finally, one foraminiferal group (FG IV) and one biome (Subtropical-West) do not correspond well between the two classifications (see grey bars in the Figure 2-2b table). This difference in regions included in a biome and a community cluster (Subtropical-West and Subtropical-East are split relative to FG V), the potential causes of the lone foraminiferal cluster (FG IV), and a discussion of the conflicts in aligning the biomes and foraminiferal groups is provided in the supporting information along with an environmental characterization and discussion of the biomes (Appendix 3 and Table 2-2).

**Planktonic Foraminifera Community Similarity**

Planktonic foraminifera community similarity among the eight foraminiferal community clusters is significantly correlated with environmental similarity but not geographic distance (Figure 2-3). Consistent with an environmental-decay relationship, an increase in environmental similarity (e.g., a decrease in Euclidean distance) is correlated by an increase in community similarity (Figure 2-3a; Pearson’s r = -0.58 and p=0.005). The correlation between environmental similarity and community similarity
increases when time averaging is minimized within the BFD database (Figure 2-3c; r = -0.78 and \( p < 0.001 \)). In contrast, community similarity among foraminiferal groups is not significantly correlated to geographic distance in the standard or the strict time controlled communities (\( p = 0.689 \) and \( p = 0.637 \) respectively) and, in both cases, there is a weak increase in community similarity with increasing geographic distance (Pearson’s \( r = 0.10 \) and \( r = 0.08 \) respectively).

A pairwise comparison of all 855 BFD sites reveals similar trends (Figure 2-7; see results and discussion Appendix 4 in the supplementary material). Community similarity among all BFD sites in the global ocean is better predicted by environmental similarity than geographic distance. When individual BFD sites are considered within ocean basins, community similarity is better predicted by environmental similarity in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans and by geographic distance in the Indian Ocean. This difference between ocean basins may be due the presence (Atlantic Ocean and Pacific Ocean) or absence (Indian Ocean) of species with antitropical distributions, which account for the existence of highly similar communities in remote geographic locations within basins.

**Planktonic Foraminifera Genetic Similarity**

The potential effect of cryptic speciation within planktonic foraminifera on inferences based on community composition is considered with an investigation of the correlates of genotypic similarity within two cryptic complexes, *Globigerina bulloides* and *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007; Darling et
al. 2003). Genetic distance within the cryptic species complex *Globigerina bulloides* (5 genotypes, 36 locations) is significantly correlated to environmental similarity (Figure 2-4a; Pearson’s r = 0.66 and p = 0.065) but not geographic distance (Figure 2-4b; r = 0.14 and p = 0.396). I interpret a p-value of 0.065 as significant in the *G. bulloides* analysis due to the distribution of possible correlation coefficients in the *G. bulloides* complex using a permuted Mantel test. The small size and structure of the *G. bulloides* matrices (5 genotypes compared) results in a limited number of possible correlation coefficients between genetic distance and environmental similarity (Figure 2-1). While a perfect correlation between genetic distance and environmental similarity would have a p-value of 0.033, the measured correlation (Pearson’s r = 0.66) is the next highest possible correlation coefficient for this comparison.

**Figure 2-1.** Permuted correlation coefficients for the comparison of genetic distance and environmental similarity within the *G. bulloides* complex. Pearson’s correlation coefficients observed in 10,000 random permutations of the *G. bulloides* genetic distance matrix. Star indicates the empirical correlation from the original matrices.
In contrast, genetic similarity within the species complex *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (7 genotypes, 89 locations) is better predicted by geographic distance (Figure 2-4d; Pearson’s r=0.22 and p=0.25) than environmental similarity (Figure 2-4c, Pearson’s r = 0.05 and p=0.278), although neither correlation is significant. The determination of significance or non-significance was unchanged by the use of Spearman’s rank correlation with the Mantel test for both species complexes.

**DISCUSSION**

**Open Ocean Surface Biomes**

Through an unsupervised classification of open ocean biomes and of planktonic foraminifera communities, I find that pelagic communities in two geographically isolated regions can be more similar than those found in adjacent regions (Figure 2-2b), thereby confirming classic biogeographic subdivisions (Bé and Tolderlund 1971; Bradshaw 1959) and corresponding with the overall distribution of pelagic biomes (Figure 2-2a). This result is robust to the number of biomes classified; disjunct environmental biome distributions were identified regardless of the number of biomes specified ($k = 2$-16; Figure 2-6). Furthermore, the biomes identified with the 14-environmental variables are similar in location and extent to those determined in past studies of species distributions (McGowan and Walker 1993) and environmental characteristics (Longhurst 1998) (additional details in Appendix 3 in the supplementary material). Major geographic features (location, extent and membership) are broadly similar between the biomes and the foraminiferal community clusters; three of the eight
Figure 2-2. Global surface ocean biomes and community clusters. Unsupervised $k$-means clustering of (A) environmental variables and (B) planktonic foraminifera communities (Brown Foraminiferal Database, 855 locations) at $k=10$ & $k=8$ respectively. White indicates excluded areas (either shelf environments or areas with insufficient information); black indicates landmasses. Color-coded biome names listed for environmentally determined biomes (A). Coloring of the 8 BFD clusters ($FG$ I-VIII) reflects the best match between the foraminiferal clusters and the biomes as determined by the percent geographical correspondence. The table (B) highlights the best biome match for the foraminiferal groups (with a matching color square), and lists the relative correspondence of each biome and foraminiferal group. In two instances, a foraminiferal group was colored according to the second best biome match and is identified by a star. The BFD cluster ($FG$ IV) without a clear biome match is highlighted in grey in the table and mapped in yellow (by default).
foraminiferal clusters coincide with one predominant biome, and an additional four foraminiferal clusters match biomes with offsets (Figure 2-2b table).

Most geographical discrepancies between clustered communities and biomes are likely due to limitations of the foraminiferal data, since the foraminiferal assemblages from surface sediments average together several thousand years of communities and the environmental biomes have a greater correspondence to known range boundaries of living species. Temporal averaging in planktonic foraminiferal assemblages may combine individuals from spatially as well as temporally non-contemporaneous communities, as oceanographic fronts move geographically on a variety of time scales (from seasonal to millennial scales). Although quantitative approaches have been suggested on regional (Gregr and Bodtker 2007; Oliver et al. 2004) and global (Oliver and Irwin 2008; Sarmiento et al. 2004) scales to identify biogeochemical provinces, this study is the first to quantitatively correlate global biomes and pelagic communities preserved in surface sediments. These results support the use of objectively defined biomes for identifying, tracking and investigating pelagic communities.

**Planktonic Foraminifera Community Similarity**

At a global scale, environmental conditions explain more of the variance in planktonic foraminifera community similarity than does geographic proximity (Figure 2-3; Figure 2-7). Although the relative importance of environment is well known from pelagic species distributions (de Vargas et al. 1999; McGowan and Walker 1993; Norris 2000), this finding is noteworthy in several regards. Distance-decay relationships are
widespread in terrestrial and benthic environments (Nekola and White 1999), although the relative explanatory power of environmental characteristics and geographic separation is strongly scale dependent. For example, environmental constraints have

**Figure 2-3. Community similarity versus environmental similarity and geographic distance.**

Planktonic foraminifera community similarity (Morisita’s Index) considered pairwise among the 8-foraminiferal community clusters and (left column) environmental similarity (Euclidean distance between environmental variables, 0 = most similar), and (right column) geographic distance (great-circle distance, kilometers). The top row contains results from the 855-site analysis with standard time control for community similarity versus (A) environmental similarity (Pearson’s r = -0.62) and (B) geographic distance (Pearson’s r = 0.10). The bottom row contains results from the 507-site time controlled analysis with community similarity versus (C) environmental similarity (Pearson’s r = -0.78) and (D) geographic distance (Pearson’s r = 0.08). Significant correlations (<0.01) as determined with a Mantel test indicated by solid linear regression lines; insignificant correlations indicated with a dashed linear regression line.
been shown to be more important than dispersal limitation on certain scales in forests (Jones et al. 2006; Tuomisto et al. 2003), mammalian parasites (Vinarski et al. 2007), and marine benthic environments (Becking et al. 2006; Ellingsen 2002). In general, geographic distance is the primary determinant of community similarity on broad spatial scales while environmental factors can dominate on smaller scales (Freestone and Inouye 2006; Parmentier et al. 2005).

Open ocean community similarity in planktonic foraminifera is atypical by comparison, with open-ocean communities on a global scale best described as having an environmental-decay relationship (Figure 2-3). Geographic distance is not significantly correlated with community similarity at this scale and the directionality of the relationship is the opposite of that expected for a distance-decay relationship. The relative importance of environmental similarity among the 8-foraminiferal groups is not an artifact of clustering; environmental similarity is a better predictor of community similarity in a pairwise consideration of all 855 BFD locations (see Figure 2-7 and Appendix 4 in the supplementary material). Additionally, decreasing time averaging of planktonic foraminiferal communities in the BFD by restricting included sites to those sampled in the uppermost sediments increases the correlation between environmental similarity and community similarity from a correlation coefficient of -0.62 to -0.78 (Figure 2-3a,c). The increased correlation with greater time control suggests that environmental similarity may be a stronger determinant of pelagic community similarity than is measured in this study with the use of assemblages from surface sediments. Finally, the correlation between community similarity and environmental similarity
reveals clear biogeographic structure in planktonic foraminiferal communities and provides further evidence against the hypothesis that protist morphospecies lack biogeographies (Finlay 2002; Finlay et al. 1999).

The relative importance of environmental similarity on a global scale for planktonic foraminifera embodies a key difference between non-bacterial terrestrial, benthic, and near-coastal ecosystems and open ocean ecosystems. While geographic distance dictates community similarity on the largest spatial scales in most other ecosystems, similarity among open-ocean foraminiferal communities appears to be driven by environmental similarity and habitat selection.

It is unclear if environmental similarity is the dominant determinant of community similarity for other pelagic taxa with potentially differing dispersal capabilities. As with some planktonic foraminifera (de Vargas et al. 1999), genetic similarity is not related to geographic proximity in the cryptic complex of the copepod, *Rhincalanus nasutus* (Goetze 2003). For instance, the *R. nasutus* southwest Pacific genotype is most closely related to the North Atlantic genotype and not the adjacent Peru Current genotype. In contrast, biogeographic distributions of euphausiids suggest dispersal limitation; euphausiids lack any truly bipolar species and tropical species in the Atlantic Ocean are endemic (Brinton 1962).
Planktonic Foraminifera Genetic Similarity

Given the widespread presence of cryptic diversity in pelagic species, I investigated the degree to which the current conclusions about the relative importance of environmental similarity versus geographic distance might change with increasing

![Figure 2-4. Genetic distance in Neogloboquadrina pachyderma and Globigerina bulloides versus environmental similarity and geographic distance.](image)

The correlation between phylogenetic information about planktonic foraminifera. The correlation between genotypic similarity and environmental similarity versus geographic distance was
examined in two cryptic species complexes, *Globigerina bulloides* and *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma*. Although both come from comparable environments (predominately subpolar/polar), they differ in their correlation to environmental similarity and geographic isolation (Darling et al. 2007). Genetic distance within the *G. bulloides* complex is significantly explained by environmental similarity (Pearson’s $r=0.66$) but not geographic distance (Figure 2-4a-b). Neither environmental similarity or geographic distance are significantly correlated with genetic distance in *N. pachyderma*, although the relative correlation of geographic distance is greater (Figure 2-4c-d). The difference between correlates of genetic distance in *G. bulloides* and *N. pachyderma* could result from interspecific variation in the degree of environmental specialization and dispersal limitation. For the environmental characteristics and timescales measured, genotypes of *N. pachyderma* are environmental generalists compared to *G. bulloides*, with genotypes spanning environmental distances as great as ~6 in *G. bulloides* and ~11 in *N. pachyderma*. Complementing this scenario, genotypes within the *G. bulloides* complex appear to be less dispersal limited; a given genotype of *N. pachyderma* has a geographic range of up to 4,000 km compared to 14,500 km in *G. bulloides*. However, the contrast between *G. bulloides* and *N. pachyderma* may be caused or exacerbated by a number of factors including: differences in the geographic coverage of the genotypes included, the number of locations sampled per genotype, the geographic distance calculation, and/or the presence of poorly resolved branches within the *N. pachyderma* complex (see discussion in Appendix 2 in the supplementary material).
*Globigerina bulloides* and *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* provide three main insights into how the perception of dispersal-limitation may change with phylogeographic studies of pelagic species. First, the geographic distribution of genotypes within the polar to subpolar *G. bulloides* complex confirms that it is possible for environmentally conservative species in the open ocean to occur in similar environments regardless of their geographic proximity (Darling et al. 2007). On a regional scale, comparable results led authors to evoke habitat choice and niche conservatism as the likely mechanism for population divergence in Galapagos warbler finches unconstrained by dispersal (Tonnis et al. 2005). Similarly, cryptic speciation in the case of *G. bulloides* may occur as a result of wide dispersal to similar environments globally, followed by regional environmental adaptations, genetic isolation, and speciation. Phylogenetic niche conservatism describes the isolation of populations (leading to speciation) due to the retention of ancestral characteristics (Wiens 2004); cryptic speciation within *G. bulloides* appears to be a globe-spanning example of the importance of phylogenetic niche conservatism in pelagic speciation. Second, the importance of dispersal limitation and environmental constraints to speciation within ecologically similar species complexes may vary substantially. Interspecific variation in dispersal limitation is not limited to planktonic foraminifera; differences in dispersal-limitation have been found among species within a family of copepods (Goetze 2003) and among haplotypes in two sibling-species of copepods (Goetze 2005). Third, considered together, an increase in taxonomic resolution (to a genetic species concept) is likely to increase the correlation of geographic proximity with community similarity.
To what extent is unclear and habitat selection could remain the dominant determinant of global community similarity in planktonic foraminifera.

CONCLUSIONS

Environmental similarity and habitat selection are more important than geographic proximity in determining foraminiferal community similarity on the largest spatial scales, in sharp contrast to most terrestrial biota. The empirical support for the dominance of environmental selection is of interest for macroecological and macroevolutionary research in the open ocean, since dispersal limitation should not be assumed. Notably, pelagic species richness patterns in opposite hemispheres may be driven by the occurrence of the same species in two ecologically similar but geographically isolated regions, and speciation may arise more commonly due to phylogenetic niche conservatism rather than vicariance. Finally, the evidence for habitat specificity in planktonic foraminifera supports the hypothesis that pelagic species are vulnerable to global environmental perturbations in spite of vast ranges and large populations (Norris 2000).

SUPPLEMENT

Appendix 1: Additional background on the environmental correlates of species’ distributions

Pelagic species’ distributions have historically been correlated to a small subset of environmental parameters. Initial studies of open ocean biogeography linked species
distributions with variability in light and temperature (Giesbrecht 1892; Steuer 1933) and nutrient availability (Ekman 1953). Western biogeographers in the 1950s-70s attributed biogeographic distributions primarily to water mass distributions (Bé and Tolderlund 1971; Ekman 1953; Fager and McGowan 1963; Kanaya and Koizumi 1966; McGowan 1974), and one or more additional “physical-chemical” characteristics, such as dissolved nutrients, oxygen, or surface currents (Bieri 1959; Bradshaw 1959; Brinton 1962). Contemporaneous Russian biogeographers correlated “homologous pelagic biotopes” with physical processes and current patterns (Beklemishev 1969), as well as chemical factors, primary productivity and ecological interactions (Bogdanov 1961; Bogorov 1969). Supporting earlier findings, a recent analysis of planktonic foraminifera assemblages from surface sediments with 35 environmental and preservation factors found faunal variance to be primarily correlated with mean annual sea-surface temperature followed by environmental variability (Morey et al. 2005). In other studies, temperature is the primary correlate of species richness patterns globally in planktonic foraminifera (Rutherford et al. 1999) and tuna and billfish (Worm et al. 2005).

Environmental data preparation. Additional environmental data preparation included averaging annual mean and mean annual range of net primary productivity (NPP) and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) from a 1/6° by 1/6° to a 1° by 1° resolution to match the initial resolution of the WOA05 climatologies. Neritic zones (Ekman 1953) were excluded for all variables using a 200-meter depth mask from WOA05. The resulting dataset was then trimmed to exclude all locations lacking any of the 14-
variables (annual mean and variability of temperature, salinity, phosphate, dissolved oxygen, stratification, NPP, and PAR). Finally, all variables were averaged to a $2^\circ$ by $2^\circ$
resolution to minimize the effect of low data density in the most sparsely sampled WOA05 factors (phosphate and dissolved oxygen) on the resulting analyses. The complete environmental dataset includes 14 variables at a 2° by 2° resolution for 9105 locations (see Figure 2-5 for data coverage, excluded and missing regions indicated in white).

**Environmental variable selection.** The variables included span many of the biologically relevant environmental parameters in the open ocean. Although nitrate climatologies were available from WOA05, the global coverage was less extensive than that for phosphate. As concentrations of nitrate and phosphate in surface ocean waters have a well-known linear relationship (Redfield 1958), I choose to use the phosphate climatologies as representative of nitrate, the more limiting macronutrient in the open ocean (Chester 2000). Although global datasets were available for mixed layer depth and sea surface height (a proxy for surface currents) (Montegut et al. 2004; Niiler et al. 2003), these were deliberately excluded parameters in spite of their importance in structuring oceanic ecosystems. Both factors were included in the initial analyses but were found to impair biome classification. When mixed layer depth was included, mixed layer depth variation within highly variable regions (like the Southern Ocean) dominated the subsequent clustering while the rest of the ocean remained classified into a few main regions. A similar problem was encountered when sea surface height was included. The first difference of sea surface height was included as a proxy for surface ocean currents. When included in the biome analysis, clusters predominately defined
the boundaries between the biomes, rather than the biomes proper. Increased clustering simply lead to finer subdivisions within these boundary regions.

**K-means clustering.** One shortcoming of *k*-means clustering is that it does not provide a method for choosing *k*, the number of clusters (or biomes, in this case). An attempt to determine *k* based on a recent method proposed for spectral clustering (Azran and Ghahramani 2006) failed to produce sensible results (per. comm. J. Lewis and P.M. Hull). This approach potentially failed because the oceanic environmental variables violate the principle assumption of the method, namely, that clusters are separated in the environmental parameter space by regions with few observations. For this spectral clustering method to work, there cannot be many cases of sites which could be equally well classified into more than one cluster. Additional statistical approaches were investigated to determine *k* (plotting of intra-cluster residual variance vs. *k* and optimizing the Bayesian Information Criterion) and similarly failed to provide strong support for a single best *k*, perhaps due to the expectation of a Gaussian data distributions for these methods (Pelleg and Moore 2000). The failure to find a single “best” *k* using automated methods confirms a well know feature of oceanic ecosystems: oceanic environments are known to be divisible at a variety of scales (Longhurst 2007).

In order to investigate the utility of *k*-means clustering to identify oceanic biomes, a cluster number of *k*=10 was selected for the environmental biomes as a compromise between the 5 main faunal distribution types (Bradshaw 1959; McGowan 1974) and the 15 and 16 oceanic provinces recognized by Longhurst in the Pacific and
Atlantic respectively (Longhurst 1998). I choose this biome number as suitable to the working hypothesis that regions within different ocean basins might comprise the same biome and support similarly communities; support for this hypothesis was found within biomes for $k$’s ranging from 2-16 (Figure 2-6). If the $k$-means clustering had instead

![Figure 2-6. Global surface ocean biomes for 2 to 16 clusters. Unsupervised $k$-means clustering of environmental variables for $k = 2-16$ (A-O). Colors assigned based on the order of clustering and do not have ecological significance. White indicates excluded areas (either shelf environments or areas with insufficient information); black indicates landmasses.](image)
identified geographically contiguous biomes or communities, $k$ would have been increased to higher levels in keeping with that scenario.

Relative species abundances from the Brown Foraminiferal Database were similarly clustered with $k$-means clustering to compare with the biome classification. In order to investigate comparable cluster results, a lower $k$ for the BFD clusters was chosen due to the limited geographic coverage of the BFD. Two biomes identified in the environmental clustering, the Subpolar-North Pacific and Polar-Arctic Coastal biomes, are represented by 1 and 0 BFD sites respectively, effectively lowering the appropriate $k$ for comparisons to $k=8$. The similarity of the BFD clustering results to the environmental biome classification is determined by the percent of BFD sites within each environmental biome (Figure 2-2). The total percent of BFD clusters across all environmental biomes can add up to more than 100% because of the resolution of the BFD site locations (1°) versus the environmental variables (2°). When a BFD site fell on the boundary between two biomes, it was considered as being present at both surrounding cells (+/- 1°) resulting in the effective double counting of some BFD sites.

A recent objective classification of surface ocean provinces (using a combination of $k$-means clustering and Ward’s linkage agglomerative clustering), used a Figure of Merit to automatically halt clustering when further subdivision failed to improved the predictive nature of the cluster centroid (Oliver and Irwin 2008). The number of ocean provinces identified by this method (81) is much higher than what is used in the present study, in part because the classification includes a number of coastal areas deliberately excluded from this analysis and in part due to biases introduced by
the reliance on remote sensing in Oliver et al. and the reliance on temporally and spatially average data in the current study. The topology of the 17 main clusters identified by Oliver et al. is comparable to those found in this study, with the additional biomes in Oliver et al. appearing to occur primarily along hydrographic boundaries. As methods develop in regards to objective ocean classification, promising approaches – like Oliver et al.’s – should be fully tested in future studies in regards to the ecological relevance of province divisions. Investigating the correlation between community clusters and biomes (or oceanic provinces), provides one such test.

Table 2-1. Correlation coefficient (r) among environmental variables. Linear correlations among all 14 environmental variables included in biome clustering and environmental analyses. All variable abbreviations are described in Materials and methods except for the following: Sal. = salinity, Strat.= index of water column stratification, Phos. = Phosphate, and Dis. O₂ = dissolved oxygen. Italicized “m” and “v” indicate long-term annual mean and average annual variability, respectively. Values in bold have an absolute r greater than or equal to 0.90, values italicized and in bold have an absolute r greater than or equal to 0.70, and italicized values have an absolute r greater than or equal to 0.50 and less than 0.70.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phos.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dis. O₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study, one concern in including multiple variables in a *k*-means analysis is that covariance among variables could lead to an overemphasis of certain
features of the data. Of the 14-environmental variables (Figure 2-5), only 3 variables were highly covariable; annual mean temperature has an correlation coefficient of $r = -0.99$ and 0.96 with annual mean dissolved oxygen and PAR respectively (see Table 2-1 for the covariance among all environmental variables). Likewise, annual mean dissolved oxygen and PAR have an $r = -0.95$. Although all 14-variables were included in the biome analysis, the exclusion of annual mean dissolved oxygen and PAR has little effect on the resulting biome classification at $k=10$.

**Appendix 2 : Planktonic foraminifera community similarity**

In the Brown Foraminiferal Database (BFD) sites from more than 4500 meters water depth in the Atlantic and 3500 meters water depth in the Pacific and Indian Ocean (excluding the Southern Ocean) were excluded to minimize the effects of calcium carbonate dissolution on faunal assemblages (Broecker 1974).

Morisita’s Index of Similarity was used as the metric of community similarity, as it is relatively robust to sample size and includes abundance information (not just species presence/absence) (Krebs 1999). Morisita’s Index of Similarity between samples $j$ and $k$ ($C_{\lambda}$) is defined as

$$C_{\lambda} = \frac{2 \sum X_{ij}X_{ik}}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)N_jN_k}$$

where $X_{ij}$ and $X_{ik}$ represent the number of individuals of species $i$ in sample $j$ and $k$ and $N_j$ and $N_k$ represent the total number of individuals in samples $j$ and $k$ respectively. $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are respectively defined as
Morisita’s Index of Similarity was calculated using the raw abundances from the BFD sites.

\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{\sum [X_{ij}(X_{ij} - 1)]}{N_j(N_j - 1)}
\]

\[
\lambda_2 = \frac{\sum [X_{ik}(X_{ik} - 1)]}{N_k(N_k - 1)}
\]

**Cryptic species.** Genetic distance among *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* and *Globigerina bulloides* genotypes is calculated for each genotype pair as the mean number of nucleotide changes per 100 nucleotides since the last common ancestor. The number of nucleotide changes were estimated from maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees in published papers: *N. pachyderma* (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007) and *G. bulloides* (Darling et al. 2007; Darling et al. 2003), where the phylogenetic trees were determined using partial SSU rDNA at 840 sites for *N. pachyderma* and partial SSU rRNA at 729 for *G. bulloides* (Darling et al. 2007). Given the large genetic distance between *G. bulloides* genotypes I and II, I restricted the analyses to the 5 genotypes within the complex *G. bulloides* Type II. The most recent maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree for *N. pachyderma* failed to resolve the relationship between Type II and III; therefore, in this analysis the genotypes Type II and Type III are treated as having a nucleotide difference of 0 changes per 100 nucleotides. Furthermore, evolutionary relationship for *N. pachyderma* Type V is also poorly resolved, resulting in a polytomy.
To calculate genetic distance versus geographic or environmental distance, I included all geographic locations with confirmed genotypic presence estimated from published papers for *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007) and *Globigerina bulloides* (Darling et al. 2007; Darling et al. 2003; Darling et al. 2000) respectively. While both species complexes have been sampled globally, greater geographic coverage and sampling effort (within the data-sparse upwelling regions and in the Indian Ocean, in particular) could affect the outcome of this analysis. Additionally, given the bipolar distribution of some genotypes, the use of median latitude and longitude in this analysis will capture the geographic distances relative to more sampled region.

While *G. bulloides* and *N. pachyderma* provide a geographically extreme test of dispersal limitation, they provide little insight into speciation in tropical and subtropical species. Biological characteristics of species within certain environments may serve as a pre-adaptation for (or against) long-distance dispersal. For example, many polar and subpolar zooplankton species have highly seasonal life histories including diapause during the winter months and rapid growth during spring blooms (Broms and Melle 2007; Pasternak and Schnack-Schiel 2001); such life history characteristics might pre-adapt species for long distance dispersal through unfavorable environments. Furthermore, depth parapatry could be a relatively more important mechanism of speciation in subtropical and tropical species living in environments with well-developed vertical gradients in habitat; indeed, genotypes from the subtropics are
known to co-occur in a single geographic region (Huber et al. 1997) and to respond
differentially to light intensity variation in laboratory manipulations (Faber et al. 1989).

Appendix 3 : Biome descriptions at \( k = 10 \)

Biomes were named according to their correspondence to 4-latitudinal bands
(Tropical, Subtropical, Subpolar, Polar) followed by a descriptive locator (e.g., open,
upwelling, east, west). Biome names do not fully describe the geographic location of
all subregions within a biome, but rather act as an indicator to help readers locate and
identify the oceanic biomes.

Low latitude biomes. Latitudinally speaking, \( k\)-means clustering identifies two
predominately tropical biomes (Tropical-Open and Tropical-Upwelling) and one
tropical-subtropical biome (Subtropical-Central ). The Tropical-Upwelling biome
contains low latitude regions affected by boundary currents and coastal upwelling: the
Somali Current region, coastal Indonesian and the South China Sea, coastal Pacific
Mexico and Central America, coastal Columbia, Ecuador and northern Peru with an off-
shore extension, the Angola and Guinea Domes with a large westward extension south
of the Ivory Coast, and the Guyana and Caribbean Countercurrent regions. In contrast,
the Tropical-Open biome typically includes equatorial regions removed from the
continents, including large regions in the tropical Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans.
Although both biomes (Tropical-Open and Tropical-Upwelling) have comparable
annual mean physical conditions (Table 2-2), Tropical-Upwelling has more than 1.5
times the mean annual range in temperature (3.90°C versus 1.98 °C), salinity (1.03 versus 0.57) and stratification (stratification ratios of 1.70 versus 0.96). The biomes are further differentiated by phosphate and primary productivity; Tropical-Upwelling has approximately 1.5 times the mean and annual range of phosphate and mean primary productivity, with more than 3 times the mean annual range in NPP (Table 2-2).

Mid latitude biomes. In addition to the Subtropical-Central biome, there are three predominately mid-latitude biomes: Subtropical-West, Subtropical-East, and Coastal. Subtropical-Central is the most unproductive of this group (263.78 mg C/m²/day), boasting the warmest SST (24.17°C), the highest PAR (43.45 mol quanta/m²/day), and the lowest concentrations of phosphate (0.16 µmol/L). Additionally, the Subtropical-Central biome has the lowest intra-annual variability in temperature (4.33°C) and NPP (151.87 mg C/m²/day). The Subtropical-Central, Subtropical-East, and Coastal biomes have antitropical distributions and occur in both the subtropical North and South Atlantic and North and South Pacific. The Subtropical-West biome, in contrast, is restricted to the northern hemisphere and includes the Kuroshio Current and Extension, the Gulf Stream and Extension, and the Mediterranean. With annual mean NPP of 473.13 mg C/m²/day and mean annual range NPP of 523.31 mg C/m²/day, the Subtropical-West biome has more than 1.5 times the annual mean and nearly 3.5 times the intra-annual variability of the Subtropical-Central biome. Additional characteristics
Table 2-2. Environmental characterization of biomes in a 10-biome ocean. The mean ($\mu$), standard deviation ($\sigma$), and coefficient of variation (CV) within each of the 10 biomes are listed for all 14 environmental variables. Environmental variable abbreviations described in Table 2-1 caption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Variable</th>
<th>Tropical-Open</th>
<th>Tropical-Upwelling</th>
<th>Subtropical-Central</th>
<th>Subtropical-West</th>
<th>Subtropical-East</th>
<th>Coastal</th>
<th>Subpolar-N Pacific</th>
<th>Subpolar-Antarctic</th>
<th>Polar-Coastal</th>
<th>Polar-S</th>
<th>Ocean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SST $T$ (°C)</td>
<td>27.74</td>
<td>26.54</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>17.41</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-127.01</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST $v$ (°C)</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR ($\mu$ mol quanta/m²/day)</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>36.34</td>
<td>37.95</td>
<td>36.22</td>
<td>26.62</td>
<td>34.34</td>
<td>38.95</td>
<td>23.45</td>
<td>32.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal. $m$</td>
<td>34.73</td>
<td>34.89</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td>35.35</td>
<td>35.08</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>32.93</td>
<td>34.59</td>
<td>31.88</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS $\mu$</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Str. $w$</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di. $O_2$ (ml/L)</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di. $O_2$ (ml/L)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP $\mu$ (mg C/m²/day)</td>
<td>291.47</td>
<td>357.21</td>
<td>263.78</td>
<td>473.13</td>
<td>441.12</td>
<td>1373.34</td>
<td>463.56</td>
<td>446.59</td>
<td>63.42</td>
<td>111.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>88.41</td>
<td>171.55</td>
<td>92.03</td>
<td>134.09</td>
<td>154.04</td>
<td>471.25</td>
<td>163.56</td>
<td>168.35</td>
<td>112.59</td>
<td>74.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP $v$ (mg C/m²/day)</td>
<td>143.83</td>
<td>490.63</td>
<td>151.87</td>
<td>523.31</td>
<td>352.73</td>
<td>2194.10</td>
<td>946.86</td>
<td>793.21</td>
<td>406.97</td>
<td>259.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>82.95</td>
<td>317.32</td>
<td>80.11</td>
<td>255.54</td>
<td>218.24</td>
<td>1051.96</td>
<td>482.80</td>
<td>420.55</td>
<td>266.56</td>
<td>133.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$CV$</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the Subtropical-West biome include the highest intra-annual variability of SST (9.42°C) and of stratification (stratification ratio of 2.61) of the mid-latitude biomes.

The Subtropical-East biome includes three main geographic regions: the eastern North Pacific offshore of the California Current, the eastern North Atlantic offshore of the Iberian Peninsula, and circumglobally in the Subtropical Convergence (ringing the Southern Ocean). The Subtropical-East biome has the coolest waters (17.41°C), low PAR (35.13 mol quanta/m²/day), relatively low salinity (35.08 PSS), and the lowest stratification index of any mid-latitude biome (stratification ratio of 0.99). In spite of higher phosphate (0.31 versus 0.19 µmol/L) levels, the Subtropical-East biome is less productive than the Subtropical-West biome (with mean NPP of 441.12 versus 473.13 mg C/m²/day) and has lower intra-annual variability (352.73 versus 523.31 mg C/m²/day). Finally, the Coastal biome is found in both tropical and subtropical latitudes often lying inshore of the Tropical-Upwelling biome, including the west coast of Africa inshore of the Tropical-Upwelling biome, the Humboldt Current inshore and south of the Tropical-Upwelling biome, the southern Brazil Current, the near-coastal Guyana Current, in the near-coastal region California Current, the Sea of Cortez, and in the East Arabian Current inshore of the Tropical-Upwelling biome, as well as a few scattered locations in the Caribbean and off the east coast of the United States. Like Polar-Arctic Coastal, the Coastal biome includes coastal regions beyond the original purview of this study but captured nonetheless by the geographic coverage of the environmental variables. Coastal is characterized by the highest productivity of any biome (1373.34 mg C/m²/day) with 2.5 to 5 times the productivity of other mid and low latitude biomes,
which include the second most productive global biome (Tropical-Upwelling).
Additional characteristics of the Coastal include relatively warm annual SST (20.53°C),
high PAR (37.67 mol quanta/m²/day), and a well-stratified water column (stratification
ratio of 2.14).

High latitude biomes. K-means clustering at $k=10$ identifies four high latitude biomes
(Subpolar-North Pacific, Subpolar-Antipodal, Polar-Arctic Coastal, and Polar-Southern
Ocean). The Subpolar- Antipodal biome includes the subantarctic zone of the Southern
Ocean (bounded by the Subantarctic and Subtropical Fronts) and the subpolar North
Atlantic. The Subpolar-North Pacific biome includes the subpolar North Pacific and the
Labrador Current along the coast of Newfoundland. Compared to Subpolar-Antipodal,
Subpolar-North Pacific is characterized by a higher mean and intra-annual variability in
NPP (mean: 463.56 versus 446.59 mg C/m²/day, range: 946.66 versus 793.21 mg
C/m²/day), in stratification (mean: 1.04 versus 0.41, range: 1.56 versus 0.81), and
phosphate (mean: 1.09 versus 0.76 µmol/L, range: 1.06 versus 0.63 µmol/L). The
Subpolar-Antipodal biome is generally warmer (9.46°C versus 6.46°C) and saltier
(34.59 versus 32.93 PSS) than the Subpolar-North Pacific biome, with slightly more
than half the intra-annual variability in temperature and salinity. It is notable, that while
both of the predominately subpolar biomes’ (Subpolar-North Pacific and Subpolar-
Antipodal) mean annual NPP is only slightly greater than the Subtropical-Central and
Subtropical-West biomes, the intra-annual variability of Subpolar-North Pacific and
Subpolar-Antipodal is 2-3 times greater.
A single biome was identified in the Antarctic (Polar-Southern Ocean) and Arctic (Polar-Arctic Coastal) respectively. The Polar-Southern Ocean biome ranges from the Subantarctic Front to the Antarctic continent. It is characterized by consistently cold temperatures (mean: 1.37°C, intra-annual range: 2.91°C), a mean annual salinity of 33.95, and the lowest stratification index (0.34) and highest phosphate concentrations (1.65 µmol/L) of any biome. Satellite derived NPP is low (111.60 mg C/m²/day) and seasonal (mean annual range: 259.62 mg C/m²/day).

Due to a lack of data throughout much of the Arctic, the single Arctic biome, Polar-Arctic Coastal, is restricted to coastal locations, including Baffin Bay, the Northwest Passage (excluding Hudson Bay), the East Greenland Current, and a few scattered locations along the coast in the Kara and Beaufort Seas. The Polar-Arctic Coastal biome has the coldest mean annual SST (-0.03°C) and lowest PAR (4.77 mol quanta/m²/day), the freshest and most variable salinities (mean: 31.88, range: 3.12), and the lowest NPP (63.42 mg C/m²/day) with the relatively greatest annual range in productivity (406.97 mg C/m²/day) of any biome. Phosphate concentrations in Polar-Arctic Coastal are comparable to Coastal (mean: 0.54 µmol/L, range: 0.63 µmol/L).

**Validity of environmentally identified biomes.** The general concordance of the 10-biome subdivision with known physical and biological features suggests that *k*-means clustering provides a simple and effective means of identifying open ocean biomes. The Southern Ocean biome, Polar-Southern Ocean, is divided along the Subantarctic Front from the subpolar Subpolar-Antipodal biome to the north, which is divided along
the Subtropical Front from subtropical Subtropical-East biome. These biome boundaries correspond with the major fronts in the Southern Ocean (with the exception of the Polar Front, which is a line of division at \( k = 11 \)) and coincide with major biogeographic transitions. Among krill, Subtropical-East is inhabited by subtropical gyre species like *Euphausia recurva*, Subpolar-Antipodal by subantarctic species like *E. lucens, E. longirostris*, and *E. vallentini*, Polar-Southern Ocean by species (from north to south) like *E. similis*, *E. frigida*, and *E. superba* (Brinton 1962), with biogeographic patterns supported by many other Antarctic organisms (for example, see (Hunt and Hosie 2005)).

There are a number of differences between the biomes and Brown Foraminiferal Database clusters (BFD clusters), although most discrepancies are likely due to limitations of the foraminiferal data. Many BFD clusters have range boundaries that are offset latitudinally from the biome boundaries. As the biome boundaries have a greater correspondence to known range distributions of species collected in the water column (McGowan and Walker 1993) and biome boundaries (Longhurst 1998), I hypothesize that the offset of the BFD clusters may reflect the temporal averaging of foraminiferal assemblages in sediments from several thousand years of latitudinal variation in the location of the overlying planktonic ecosystems (due to seasonal and climatic forcing). The correlation of *FG I* with the Tropical-Open biome and *FG III* with the Subtropical-Central biome requires a latitudinally contracted and expanded range for the respective communities, and provides the best example of cluster boundary offsets. Similarly, inconsistent BFD cluster assignment in the tropical Pacific (resulting in the non-
analogous cluster, $FG\ IV$, interspersed with $FG\ I$ and $FG\ II$) may also be a sedimentary artifact reflecting the post-depositional alteration of foraminifera community assemblages in the acidic bottom waters of the Pacific Ocean.

The southern subtropical gyres in the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Ocean contain one dominant biome (Subtropical-Central) and are bounded by the Subtropical-East and Tropical-Open to the south and north, respectively. In contrast, the northern hemisphere subtropical gyres in the Pacific and Atlantic are both divided into the 3-biomes: Subtropical-Central, Subtropical-West, and Subtropical-East. The existence of two biomes (as in the southern hemisphere) is well supported by pelagic species distributions of “Pacific Central” and “Transitional” species groups as described by biogeographers (Brinton 1962; Fager and McGowan 1963; McGowan 1971). However, there is only weak evidence for east-west subdivision in species distributions corresponding with the Subtropical-West and Subtropical-East biomes. This east-west division was noted by Longhurst, who argued for an east-west subdivision predominately on the grounds of relative species abundance and primary productivity (Longhurst 2007). Exceptional species ranges do correspond with the Subtropical-West and Subtropical-East subdivision; for example, the distribution of the euphausiid $Thysanopoda\ acutifrons$ corresponds with Subtropical-East biome in the California Current and in the South Pacific (Brinton 1962). At eight clusters, foraminiferal communities from the Brown Foraminiferal Database (BFD) did not cluster along this subtropical east-west divide ($FG\ V$ spans the region). Indeed, even at higher numbers of clusters, $k$-means clustering of the BFD failed to reproduce this subdivision. It is
possible that the east-west environmental difference in the subtropical gyre can only be exploited by species with complex behaviors or greater locomotory powers, which could allow them to move against the surface current in order to stay within a single environment. This east-west environmental difference is likely not exploited by the majority of phytoplankton and zooplankton, and maybe over-split relative to species and community distributions. In contrast, the subdivision of the tropics into the Tropical-Open and Tropical-Upwelling biomes is well-supported by species distributions (e.g. for krill see \textit{E. distinguenda, E. eximia, Stylocheiron microphthalmum} [Brinton 1962] and for chaetognaths see \textit{Sagitta bedoti, S. robusta, S. neglecta, and S. pulchra} [Bieri 1959]).

One of the strengths of the \textit{k-means} clustering is being able to isolate the relative importance of one biome separation relative to the next. For instance, at \(k=11\) the Southern Ocean is subdivided along the Polar Front, separating the Antarctic and Polar Frontal zones of the Southern Ocean (Tomczak and Godfrey 2003). In the increase in cluster number from 12 to 13, the Bering Sea and Sea of Okhotsk are separated from the rest of the subpolar Pacific. The lower priority of this split is reflected by the decisions of past biogeographers: 1) Longhurst separated this region on the basis of basin depth, seasonal ice cover, water stratification, environmental variability, and the community structure (Longhurst 2007), and 2) Pacific biogeographers did not divide it because of the continuous ranges of most species (Bieri 1959; Brinton 1962; McGowan 1971). Notably, increasing the number of biome clusters from 12 all the way to 16 does not result in a subdivision of the North Pacific by the North Atlantic Current, a classic
physical and biogeographic boundary (Barnard et al. 2004; Tomczak and Godfrey 2003) supported by the division of BFD communities (at \( k=8 \), foraminiferal groups \( VII \) and \( VIII \) share the geographic boundary). The failure of the 10-biome environmental assessment to identify a clear biogeographic boundary in the subpolar North Atlantic highlights a key shortcoming of this analysis, due potentially to the use of \textit{k-means} clustering or the linear combination of 14-environmental variables, the lack of inclusion of an important environmental variable, the correlation of multiple environmental variables, or the metric and scale of environmental variability included. Further modifications to the \textit{k-means} clustering algorithm (like those used by Oliver \textit{et al.} 2008) to fully address the biogeographic concerns raised by this initial investigation (concerning to the split between Subtropical-West and Subtropical-East, and the missed biogeographic break at the North Atlantic Current), and to incorporate variables that are poorly sampled (e.g., community composition, micronutrient availability), difficult to integrate (e.g., sea surface height, current strength, seasonal ice cover), or hard to quantify (e.g., connectivity), will increase the robustness this bioinformatic approaches to biome classification and community clustering.

Appendix 4: Pairwise investigation of community similarity among individual BFD locations

I investigated pairwise all 855 BDF sites in order to i) test the possibility that the correlation between community similarity in the 8-foraminiferal clusters and environmental similarity was an artifact of the community clustering, and ii) to examine
Figure 2-7. Community similarity versus environmental similarity and geographic distance among BFD sites. Planktonic foraminifera community similarity (Morisita’s Index) versus environmental similarity (Euclidean distance between environmental variables, identical communities have a distance of zero) and geographic distance (great-circle distance, kilometers) in the (A, B) Pacific, (D, E) Atlantic, and (G, H) Indian Oceans and (J, K) globally. The correlation between geographic distance and environmental similarity is shown for the respective regional comparisons (C,F,I,L); note, the axis for environmental similarity is inverted in these comparisons. Loess regression lines (span = 0.75) and Spearman Rank Correlations (rho) displayed for all relationships. All correlations were significant (< 0.001) as determined with a Mantel test.

distance-decay and environment decay relationships within ocean basins (Atlantic, Pacific and Indian, including adjacent sections of the Southern Ocean). The within-
ocean basin comparisons minimize improbable great circle paths, like cross continental connections, in the calculation of geographic distance, thus removing one potential bias against detecting dispersal limitation from this study. As these comparisons lack clear linear relationships, I test for correlations using a Mantel test with a Spearman’s rank correlation (rho) and I visualize correlations using a Loess regression. All correlations were significant (< 0.001) as determined with a Mantel test.

Planktonic foraminifera community similarity is better predicted by environmental similarity than geographic distance in the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans (Figure 2-7a-b,d-e, rho = -0.60 and -0.49 in the Pacific and -0.73 and -0.46 in the Atlantic for environmental and geographic comparisons respectively). The converse is true in the Indian Ocean; community similarity is better predicted by geographic distance rather than environmental similarity (Figure 2-7g-h, rho = -0.57 and -0.49 respectively). Environmental similarity is correlated with geographic distance for distances of less than ~10,000 km in the Pacific, less than ~7,000 km in the Atlantic, and less than ~4,000 km in the Indian Ocean (Figure 2-7c,f,i). Considering all ocean basins together, variance in community similarity is predicted predominately by environmental similarity rather than by geographic distance (Figure 2-7j-l, rho = -0.52 and -0.09 respectively).

Importantly, this comparison of all 855 BFD sites indicates that the conclusions of this study are not an artifact of considering relationships among the eight planktonic foraminiferal community groups identified by cluster analysis. While all relationships are significant given the greater sample size, environmental similarity explains more
than 25% of the global variation in community similarity while geographic distance explains less than 1% (Figure 2-7j-k).

The relative importance of environmental similarity is reflected within most ocean basins as well. While the distance-decay relationship can describe the decrease in community similarity at spatial scales of approximately less than 10,000 km in the Pacific Ocean or 7000 km in the Atlantic Ocean (Figure 2-7b,e), above the respective thresholds there is no relationship between community similarity and geographic separation. In contrast, the correlation between environmental and community similarity persists throughout the range of environmental distances (excepting a small upwelling region in the Atlantic accounting for the scatter between environmental distances of 11-14, Figure 2-7a,d). The relatively small environmental range of communities within the Pacific Ocean does not necessarily imply a greater uniformity of environments across the basin; there are simply relative few BFD sites in the Pacific as a result of bottom water conditions.

One exception to the finding that community similarity is primarily correlated with environmental similarity is found in the Indian Ocean. Communities in the Indian Ocean are better correlated with geographic distance rather than environmental similarity (Figure 2-7g-i). This contrary result may primarily arise from the unique geography of the basin; the Indian Ocean is restricted primarily to a single hemisphere and therefore lacks species and biomes with antitropical distributions. Furthermore, upwelling along the extensive coastlines and a monsoonal climate are potentially suboptimally captured by the environmental variables included in this analysis.
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In contrast with speciation in terrestrial organisms, marine plankton frequently display gradual morphological change without lineage division (e.g., phyletic gradation or gradual evolution), which has raised the possibility that a different mode of evolution dominates within pelagic environments. Here, we reexamine a classic case of putative gradual evolution with the Globorotalia plexirotumida–G. tumida lineage of planktonic foraminifera, and find both compelling evidence for the existence of a third cryptic species during the speciation event and the abrupt evolution of the descendant G. tumida. The third morphotype, not recognized in previous analyses, differs in shape and coiling direction from its ancestor, G. plexirotumida. This species dominates the globorotaliid population for 414,000 years just before the appearance of G. tumida. The first population of the descendant, G. tumida, evolves abruptly within a 44,000-year interval. A combination of morphological data and biostratigraphic evidence suggests that G. tumida evolved by cladogenesis. Our findings provide an unexpected twist on one of the best-documented cases of within-lineage phyletic gradation and, in doing so, revisit the limitations and promise of the study of speciation in the fossil record.

cladogenesis | evolutionary dynamics | foraminifera | fossil record | plankton

The fossil record in marine plankton is characterized by gradual morphological change both with and without apparent cladogenesis (1–10). Phyletic gradation has been attributed to a lack of barriers to gene flow in species that are both cosmopolitan and phenotypically plastic (1–13). However, a growing number of phylogenetic studies have revealed the presence of multiple cryptic species within named marine morphospecies (14–16). In some cases, within-species morphological variation has been subsequently found to be due towithin-lineage gradual evolution. We consider the effect of methodology on our perception of evolutionary trends, test for the possibility of phyletic splitting and within-lineage change, and reconsider the morphological species concept in planktonic foraminifera.

Results and Discussion

We analyze morphological change in the Globorotalia plexirotumida–G. tumida evolutionary series in a deep-sea sediment core record from the western tropical Pacific (Ocean Drilling Program [ODP] site 806B, Ontong Java Plateau, 10°19’11.7”N, 159°22’12”E, 2,520 m water depth). Using eigenshape analysis (a morphometric technique for comparing outlines) (41, 42) and an updated time scale (supporting information [SI] Fig. S1), we
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obtained a similar pattern of morphological evolution in the western tropical Pacific as was found in an earlier study in the central Indian Ocean (Fig. 1d and Fig. S2). The similarity of both results, despite using materials from widely separated sites, suggests that the evolutionary transition is synchronous across a large stretch of the ocean. Indeed, *G. zumaia* displays a near-simultaneous first appearance throughout the tropical Indo-Pacific with a geographic range overlapping that of *G. plesiotumida* at the Miocene/Pliocene boundary (refs. 43–45; see also SI Materials and Methods and Fig. S1). Our study design was therefore predicated on the hypothesis that the morphological evolution of *G. zumaia* in the western tropical Pacific occurred in situ.

Our analysis departs from previous analyses by controlling for the effects of shell size on morphology, and by using a different morphometric method. In Malgrange et al.’s study (35), a 3-fold increase in mean size accompanied the morphological transition in the *G. tumida–G. plesiotumida* lineage and is strongly correlated with mean morphology along eigenvaxis 2 (EA 2; Pearson’s r = 0.89 and P < 0.001). Here we explicitly control for the effect of size on the perceived evolutionary trend by sampling individuals in a narrower size range (250–500 μm) in contrast to the ~150–500 μm originally used). Given this greater control for size, the correlation between size and EA 3 is weak (Pearson’s r = 0.23 and P < 0.01). However, we still note a ~1.4-fold increase in mean centroid size in the equatorial Pacific (Fig. 1C).

In an additional departure, we use a second morphometric technique for analyzing outlines, semilandmark thin-plate spline analysis (SI Materials and Methods). The second relative warp (RW 2, a morphological eigenvaxis capturing 26% of morphological variance) is correlated to EA 3 from eigenshape analysis (Pearson’s r = 0.70 and P < 0.001) for sinistrally coiled individuals; see also Fig. S4) and reveals a comparable shift in mean morphology (Fig. 1B). However, our findings also show critical differences between the two methods.

Surprisingly, the first relative warp (RW 1) of the semilandmark TPS analysis indicates that some individuals present during the transition are morphologically more distinct than *Globorotalia plesiotumida* and *G. zumaia* are from each other (Fig. 1D). RW 1 captures 53% of morphological variance). These divergent individuals are distinctly flattened (see Fig. 3C Middle and Movie S1) and are easily differentiated by eye. Furthermore, the flattened morphotype is almost exclusively dextrally coiled (clockwise chamber addition from the spiral perspective) and rarely coexists with sinistral *G. plesiotumida* (Figs. 2 and S4). Grouping individuals by coiling direction reveals a significant difference in the RW 1 scores of sinistral and dextral coiled individuals (Fig. 2; test, P < 0.001). A few dextral individuals occur in our oldest sample at 0.480 Ma, but these are more *G. plesiotumida*-like in their outline morphology than any subsequent dextral population. Dextral morphotypes display the typical elongated final chamber often used as a defining characteristic of *G. plesiotumida*. Where dextral and sinistral individuals do co-occur (20% or greater overlap), the populations exhibit higher morphological variance along RW 1 (Fig. 1F) than in the case for populations dominated by one coiling morphology. Additionally, we find significant differences in RW 1 scores between coiling groups in each of the seven time periods containing at least three individuals per coiling direction (test, P < 0.001). A maximum likelihood analysis of mixture models provides statistical support for the interpretation of two coexisting morphotypes rather than a single morphotype in six time periods (Fig. S4; stars indicate significance at 0.05 and squares at 0.001 significance level; details in Materials and Methods and ref. 47).
The correspondence between coiling direction and morphology suggests that the flattened, dextral morphotype represents a species fully differentiated from the sinistral G. pleistomina. Our evidence for a cryptic species in the G. pleistomina complex is consistent with evidence in other groups of foraminifers that coiling direction is a heritable trait (48, 49). In two modern planktonic foraminiferal species, Neogloboquadrina pachyderma and Globorotalia truncatuloides, coiling direction was found to be indicative of cryptic species (17, 28).

The dextral, flattened morphotype becomes abruptly dominant in our record at 6.225 Ma, and persists for 414,000 years until 5.819 Ma. During this period, which overlaps the start of the gradual evolution of G. tumida in eigenshape analyses, the dextrally coiled morphotype oscillates in dominance with the sinistrally coiled morphotype. In many oscillations, the abundance of the rare morphotype (sinistral or dextral) is zero. These recorded absences may coincide with time periods during which a given morphotype is globally rare and therefore unsampled. Alternatively, the absences may indicate periods of changing biogeographic distributions, with rare morphotypes found in abundance in other locations. Based on our sampling scheme, we cannot determine which of these two alternatives is more likely.

After the period of oscillating dominance, rare dextrally coiled individuals are present for an additional 444,000 years with a second peak in dextral abundance at 5.303 Ma. Together, these data suggest that the flattened, dextral taxon evolved by cladogenesis and coexisted with its ancestor for at least 850,000 years. If the global first appearance of the dextral species is recorded in our sample set at 6.256 Ma, then its evolution was very rapid, occurring within a 26,000-year window. However, we caution that it is possible that the first appearance of the flattened dextral taxon could reflect immigration or have occurred at or before 6.403 Ma in the equatorial Pacific. Dextral individuals are recorded in the Indian Ocean before 6.4 Ma (31), but whether these have the flattened morphology of the cryptic taxon described here is yet to be determined.

A second cladogetic event may accompany the first appearance of fully differentiated Globorotalia tumida between 5.865 and 5.819 Ma. In this case, both the ancestor, G. pleistomina, and the descendant, G. tumida, are sinistrally coiled and therefore differentiated entirely upon a change in outline morphology (Fig. 3B). Cladogenesis is indicated by several factors, including (i) the abrupt shift in mean morphology toward G. tumida within a 44,000-year span (mean shift >1.5 SD), (ii) the co-occurrence of pre- and postshift morphologies at 5.819 Ma, a period of elevated population variance (one of the three highest observed), (iii) the observation of several reversals in the population morphology toward the G. pleistomina type, and (iv) periods of elevated variance between 5.819 and ~5.3 Ma. Finally, a short interval of co-occurrence (several 100 kya) between G. pleistomina and G. tumida is indicated in Indian Ocean records (50). In the Atlantic, G. pleistomina persists well into the middle Pliocene (51, 52).

Throughout the entire time series, maximum likelihood analysis of mixture models provides support for only one sinistral population per time interval (Fig. 3B). RW analysis results show, approximately equivalent to RW 2a (as explained in Materials and Methods and see Fig. S5), in possible agreement with Malmgren et al.’s (33) interpretation of gradual, within-lineage evolution. However, the high variance in morphology within some samples (particularly between 5.5 and 6.6 Ma) suggests that there could be two coexisting species at several points in the time series. Unfortunately, our maximum likelihood analysis has very low power (0.01–0.48) to detect overlapping populations if they exist, due in part to our small sample size. We conclude that we cannot unambiguously determine whether G. pleistomina co-occurs in the same samples with G. tumida during the transition period from approximately 6–5.5 Ma. Both larger sample sizes and more informative traits could help resolve this ambiguity in future studies.

Our conclusions differ from those of Malmgren et al. (1, 33) in two critical ways. First, our methods clearly identify the ecological dominance of a flattened, dextral cryptic species just before the appearance of G. tumida, which is inferred to...
have arisen cladogenetically from a G. pleistocenica ancestor. Malmgren et al. (33) noted the presence of dextra, biconvex forms during the transitional period like the compressed, dextrally coiled individuals we see in the western equatorial Pacific. However, they did not find morphometric evidence that would distinguish these dextral forms as species in their own right. Second, previous work has not detected as rapid an appearance of fully formed G. tumida as we observe here at 5.81 Ma. Malmgren et al. (31) did observe a step in both shell size and morphology about this time, but they attributed these morphological shifts to oscillations within a longer evolution- ary trend.

Our findings show that morphometric techniques and measurement strategy are key to the interpretation of evolution in the fossil record. It is disturbing that eigenvalue analysis (used by previous authors) fails to detect differences in compression of individuals in the equatorial Pacific, where both semilandmark TPS analysis and visual inspection confirm the distinct morphology of dextrally coiled individuals. We hypothesize that eigenvalue analysis cannot detect differences in shell compression that are not accompanied by major changes in the angles between many points (see SI Materials and Methods). Malmgren et al. (33) also ruled out the possibility of cladogenesis due to the apparent lack of bimodality within populations. This test is less powerful than the mixture models used here, because distributions never appear bimodal within RW 1 even when multiple morphospecies coexist (Fig. 3D). Finally, both our outline data and those collected by Malmgren et al. (33) fail to capture essential aspects of shell morphology, such as the shape of the final chamber, that are used by taxonomists to differentiate Gibberoida pleistocenica and G. tumida. Without examining a larger subset of informative characters, it is difficult to assess whether the perceived mode of evolution of the equatorial Pacific G. pleistocenica was a simple, unadorned, evolutionary process and a fact of the morphological traits under consideration. Consequently, this and other morphometric studies may lack the ability to clearly differentiate speciation from within lineage evolution.

A synthesis of past studies of cladogenesis has documented a common pattern of sympatric speciation in open ocean taxa (6), with the gradual divergence of the motherdaughter morphotype from a stable ancestral morphotype (for ~50,000 years following speciation in foraminifers (8, 9), radiolarians (30, 53), and diatoms (7)). In contrast to instances of sympatric speciation, morphological change associated with allopatric speciation in marine microfossils can be rapid (10 ms) (54).

Our findings add to these observations by showing that, at least in this classic case, the evolution of G. tumida from G. pleistocenica was not a simple affair. Evolution involved both the cryptic evolution of a dextral, compressed morphotype and the rapid evolution of G. tumida, in both cases likely by cladogenesis. The dramatic decrease in abundance of the flattened dextral forms coincides with the abrupt appearance of G. tumida. It is clear that the first G. tumida appeared very rapidly, in less than 45,000 years, rather than the hundreds of thousands of years inferred from previous work. Furthermore, stratigraphic analysis from other sites has shown that G. pleistocenica persists after the evolution of G. tumida. Changes in the dominance of coexisting ancestral and descendant morphotypes occur several times and may be due to an oscillation in the environment. Our work underscores the inherent difficulty of inferring evolutionary mechanisms from fossils. At the same time, our study highlights observations unique to the fossil record, including the measurement of ecological patterns, species coexistence, and changing population variance through time.
Maximum Likelihood Analysis of Mixture Models. A maximum likelihood analysis of mixture models was used to assess the number of distinct populations among WW, LW, and RW Lw/Lw. The maximum likelihood framework allowed us to test the relative support for one or more overlapping populations within a single time period and morphological distribution specifically, the history among populations in Fig. 3). All analyses were performed using the program Mixture Model Analysis (version 1.3.2, created by G. Hunt) and a bootstrapped approach (40).

In brief, we calculated the likelihood of one to two populations for each time interval along WW, LW, and RW Lw/Lw (though roughly equivalent to WW Lw/Lw) using 200 random iterations, and assigning equal population variance and a normal distribution. A bootstrap approach was used to determine the relative support for one or more distributions, as increasing parameters generally models more fit (e.g., the log-likelihood ratio will favor the model with more populations). To compare the relative support for one versus two overlapping populations, we generated 1,000 data distributions based on the mean and variance calculated for a single population and compared these maximum likelihood estimates with that determined empirically for two overlapping populations. If the two population log-likelihood ratio was greater than 95% of those generated from a single population (α = 0.05), we considered two overlapping populations more likely than a single population in a given time period. In interpreting negative results (e.g., the failure to reject a single population), we assessed the power of the maximum likelihood test using a second bootstrapped approach (log-likelihood ratio obtained from alpha in the first bootstrap test and assumed population parameters for two populations to generate distributions; see details in ref. 47).
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SI Materials and Methods

Stratigraphic Concerns. MacLeod (1) called into question the interpretation of the rate of evolutionary change described by Malmgren et al. (2), noting the potential for small changes in sedimentation rate to have large cascading effects on the perceived rate of evolution. In particular, MacLeod was concerned with the classification of evolution in the G. plectostoma-G. tumida lineage as “punctuated anagenesis” rather than just gradual anagenesis. For the current study we are more concerned with the type of evolutionary change (cladogenesis versus within-species evolution) rather than the rate. However, the effect of sedimentation rate on time averaging and population variance is of some importance as an increase in variance coincidences with the abrupt transition to the G. tumida morphology. Given the sample spacing (typically over a meter) and the small changes in mean morphology between successive samples, the stratigraphic concerns of MacLeod are unlikely to have a large effect on the contribution of time averaging to perceived patterns of population variance.

Additionally, we sampled at a more consistent interval to minimize the effect of sampling resolution on the perceived rate of change. While our sampling was largely consistent with regards to depth in the core (1,835–1,869 m), with regards to inferred absolute age, sample spacing varied from 8-143kry. During the comparable time interval in Site 214, the sampling interval of Malmgren et al. varies between 1-522kry with the highest resolution sampling occurring during the transitional interval (12). However, both studies find comparable patterns of morphological evolution using eigenshape analysis (Fig. S2 A and B).

Biogeography. Our assumption in studying morphological evolution primarily at ODP site 808B in the western tropical Pacific is that evolution occurred in situ and does not represent the immigration of G. tumida from a geographically separated location of origin, as has been observed in other lineages (3). With reservations, we justify this assumption based on the following evidence: 1) The appearance of G. tumida is tied to the base of magnetostratigraphic Cm.4n throughout the tropical Indo-Pacific (5), indicating of a roughly synchronous origin throughout the region. G. tumida is known to appear much later and then sporadically in the Atlantic Ocean (5), largely excluding the Atlantic as a possible location of G. tumida origin. Consistent with this observation, we do not find evidence for a morphological transition during the same time interval in the eastern tropical Atlantic (Fig. S5C, ODP site 959B 3°37′65.7″N, 24°44′15.3″W, 2000 m water depth). 2) There is no indication of localized geographic speciation within the tropical Indo-Pacific. At the time of origination, G. tumida is a tropical species with the same geographic range as the ancestral G. plectostoma (Fig. S1 B and C) (6). Furthermore, a later first occurrence of G. tumida is correlated with distance from the equator along a latitudinal transect in the south Pacific (7), the opposite of what might be expected if G. tumida arose by geographic isolation across a water mass boundary from a tropical ancestor. Similarly, a latitudinal transect across the tropical Indian Ocean also discounts the possibility of allopatric speciation, with a synchronous first occurrence of G. tumida across all sites (6). 3) Environmental change preceding and at the Miocene/Pliocene boundary (detailed in ref. 8) may have provided increased habitat differentiation for sympatric or depth parapatric speciation. Notably, tropical species have elevated speciation rates at the Miocene/Pliocene boundary, a pattern which has been attributed to increased habitat availability due to increased surface water stratification (9).

Morphological Characters. A critical assumption in both Malmgren et al.’s and our study is that an edge view outline captures some aspect of the traits that distinguish species. The relative warp results call this assumption into question. However, the weak morphological separation of two recognized species (Globorotalia plectostoma and G. tumida) relative to the dextral, G. plectostoma by (i) being larger relative to the total number of chambers, (ii) having a more rapid increase in whorl height, (iii) possessing a more rapidly increasing shell (iv) thickened walls, (vi) more coarse granules on early chambers, and (vii) higher, broader-lipped aperture (10, 11). Not only do all of the characters used to distinguish between the species, only two will likely be detectable from the edge view outline: the whorl height and keel shape. Of the other characters, size is factored out, and would need to be included after controlling for chamber number rather than size fraction (12), and the rest are unmeasured. Therefore, while it is possible to say that some combination of whorl height and keel shape appear to evolve gradually within the G. plectostoma-G. tumida lineage, it is difficult to reject the possibility of cladogenesis during this morphological transition without examining more of the informative characters.

Methodology. We considered two variants of eigenshape analysis:

1) standard eigenshape analysis (13, 14) as applied by Malmgren et al. using a correlation matrix and within-sample normalization and 2) eigenshape analysis using a covariance matrix (e.g., refs. 15–17). For maximal comparability with the original study of Malmgren et al. (2), we display and discuss the results of standard eigenshape analysis in the comparison of trends in the Indian and Pacific Ocean (Fig. S2B). Eigenshape analysis requires the conversion of digitized coordinate points for each individual from a Cartesian (x,y) system to a φ* function form using the Zahn and Rostek’s shape function (18), a normalized function of net angular change (15). After the conversion to the Zahn and Rostek’s shape function, the similarity among shapes is assessed with a principal component analysis. Malmgren et al. used Löhmus’s original technique for eigenshape analysis (2, 13). This technique includes 1) the standardization of each φ* function to zero mean and unit variance, 2) the approximate calculation of the correlation matrix of the standardized φ* functions, and 3) a preliminary within sample eigenshape analysis to normalize for any sample effects (outgroup and metric error). Over the years there have been a number of discussions on the effect of these normalization procedures and the use of a correlation rather than covariance matrix on eigenshape analysis (14–16). We therefore performed a second eigenshape analysis using the covariance matrix and without standardizing to the angularity of individual φ* functions or within sample morphology. We used Löhmus’s original code to perform an eigenshape analysis comparable to Malmgren et al. (19) and code modified from that of J. Claude (19) in R (version 2.2.1) to perform the second eigenshape analysis (EA-covariance). Among the top 10 eigenaxes from both analyses, the most comparable eigenaxes are EA-standard and EA-covariance with an r² = 0.24 (Fig. S4 A, C, and E, P < 0.001).
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The eigenshape analysis used by Malinong et al. is biased against detecting single time-step changes in morphology because each time interval is normalized to the mean morphology and each individual is normalized by angularity, the very characteristic varying in compressed individuals. In the Pacific Ocean sinistral and dextral populations rarely co-occur, so the normalization for angularity and mean sample morphology could effectively subtract out the differences due to coiling direction twice. However, we failed to find morphometric support for the dextral-sinistral morphological difference using the second eigenshape analysis with a covariance matrix and without within sample standardized (Fig. 3A, B, D, and F).

We tentatively interpret the results as indicative of the differing methodological strengths of eigenshape and semilandmark TPS analysis. Theoretically, the semilandmark approach will minimize noise arising from the location of points along an outline. We suspected that this aspect of the relative warp analysis led to the detection of the morphological difference between sinistral and dextral individuals. However, in a direct comparison of relative warps based on semilandmark TPS (minimizing along outline error) and standard TPS (not minimizing along outline error), we obtained highly comparable relative warp results along the first 3 RWs ($r^2 > 0.97, P < 0.001$). The similarity of the relative warp scores from semilandmark TPS and TPS analyses indicates that the methodological innovation of semilandmark analysis (sliding points along outlines in an iterative alignment) does not account for the marked difference in results obtained from eigenshape analysis and semilandmark TPS analysis.

Instead, we suspect that the relative advantage of the TPS analysis arises from the specific measurement for uniform deformations (compression and shear). In this regard, landmark-based methods may be more sensitive to the visually apparent shape differences that differentiate sinistral and dextral coiled individuals (Fig. 3A and C). Eigenshape analysis examines trends in shape angularity, and may miss a uniform shape deformation if the compression is manifested at any one of a number of corner points (diffuse across individuals), affects only a few points (localized within individuals), and/or has a small effect on angularity relative to intradimensional measurement error.

Fig. S1. Age model for ODP site 806B, equatorial Pacific, and for ODP site 959C, equatorial Atlantic. Age model for (A) ODP site 806B using five stratigraphic markers and (B) ODP site 959C using four stratigraphic markers (red dots). Depth, meters composite depth; LAD, last appearance datum; FO, first occurrence.
Fig. S2. Morphological trends in the Globorotalia plesiostoma-G. tumida lineage in 3 ocean basins. Morphological trends in the Globorotalia plesiostoma-G. tumida lineage in (A) the Indian Ocean, DSDP Site 214 (data from Malmgren et al. 1983), (B) the Pacific Ocean, DSDP Site 806 (this study), and (C) the Atlantic Ocean, OD铭记 Site 909C (this study). Eigenshape analysis was used at all sites to compare results from the Pacific and Atlantic with Malmgren et al.’s original study in the Indian Ocean.
Fig. S3. Paleoreconstruction of 6 Ma with all sites considered and species geographic ranges. (A) Morphological trends in the Globorotalia plesiostomula- G. tumida lineage were considered in three ocean basins: the Indian Ocean (DSOP Site 214), the Pacific Ocean (ODP Site 8063), and the Atlantic Ocean (ODP Site 9583C). These sites span the tropical distribution of both (B) Globorotalia plesiostomula and (C) G. tumida as mapped using occurrence data from the Neptune database (http://services.chronos.org/databases/neptunex-index.html).
Fig. S4. Methodological comparison of eigenshape and semilandmark TPS results. Morphological trends in the Globoceraia ptelotastrus–G. tumida lineage compared between eigenshape analysis using Lohmann’s original methodology and eigenshape analysis on a covariance matrix (A, C, and E), and between eigenshape analysis on a covariance matrix and relative warps from semilandmark thin-plate spline analysis (B, D, and F). Best correlations shown in E and F. Eigenshapes and relative warps were not reversed for any of the comparisons in this figure.
Fig. S6. Multiple perspectives on morphological trends in Glossolepis pleistomoides-G. tumida. Morphological trends in the Glossolepis pleistomoides-G. tumida lineage in (A) eigenshape 3 using the original methodology, (B) eigenshape 1 using the covariance matrix without intrasample standardization, (C) 2nd relative warp (TPM-based methodology) using all individuals, and (D) 2nd relative warp (TPM-based methodology) displaying only sinistral individuals.
Movie S1. Outlines of all individuals analyzed in the Pacific Ocean at DDO site 8068 after Procrustes alignment. Red outlines indicate dextral coiling.
Movie S2. Morphological change through time in the Globorotalia lineage. All individuals shown in black along relative warp 1 and relative warp 2. For each time period, individuals from that time are shown in color; orange indicates sinistral coiling and green indicates dextral coiling.
Table S1. Thin-plate spline method comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.995</td>
<td>-0.905</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.988</td>
<td>-0.955</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.983</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>-0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The correlation (Pearson’s r) of the first five relative warps among three thin-plate spline methods. Methods include traditional thin-plate spline analysis (TPS), semilandmark thin-plate spline analysis without recursion (Semi), and semilandmark thin-plate spline analysis with recursion (Semi-Rec.). All comparisons were computed using a 594-individual subset of the full tropical Pacific dataset (1140 individuals) and were significant at a P < 0.001. Relative warps were not reversed for any of the comparisons in this table.
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CHAPTER IV

The Cretaceous-Paleogene Mass Extinction
INTRODUCTION

The remaining data chapters of my dissertation focus on the response of open ocean ecosystems to the Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg) mass extinction. The KPg mass extinction provides an excellent case study for understanding how open ocean ecosystems respond to large, widespread, and rapid disturbance. As the most recent of the five major mass extinction events, the KPg mass extinction has the best fossil record of extinction and recovery and the most similar oceanic boundaries, currents, floras and faunas to the modern ocean. My motivation for studying biotic recovery from the KPg mass extinction was the hope that increased understanding of past global disturbances and recovery might inform both our understanding of and response to the current biotic crisis.

In this chapter that provides background to Chapters V-VII, I introduce the KPg mass extinction and its effects. Detailed descriptions of sites studied in the following chapters are given in Appendix 1.

THE CRETACEOUS-PALEOGENE MASS EXTINCTION

It is now widely agreed that the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction was precipitated by the impact of a 10-14 km wide extraterrestrial bolide into the Yucatan Peninsula approximately 65.5 million years ago (Alvarez et al. 1980; Hildebrand et al. 1991; Morgan et al. 1997). The impactor, possibly a fragment of the carbonaceous-chondrite asteroid Baptistina (Bottke et al. 2007), left a 165-200 km wide crater in the Yucatan (Hildebrand et al. 1991; Morgan et al. 1997) and expelled approximately
50,000 km$^3$ of ejecta (Morgan et al. 1997). The force of the impact created physical disturbances with earthquakes modeled at magnitudes of 10-13 on the Richter scale causing vertical displacements of 1 m or more within 7000 km of the crater and massive submarine landslides from the Caribbean to Canada (Bralower et al. 1998; Norris et al. 2000; Toon et al. 1997). Tsunamis pounded the shores globally with wave crests estimated at 50-100 m in Texas (Bourgeois et al. 1988; Toon et al. 1997). As the largest pieces of hot ejecta rained out, regional or global wildfires may have been ignited (Kring 2007; Kring and Durda 2002; Wolbach et al. 1988), although new research questions whether the thermal stresses were severe enough to incinerate, rather than just kill, terrestrial flora and fauna (Belcher 2009; Belcher et al. 2003; Goldin and Melosh 2009).

The KPg impact hypothesis, which proposed that a large extraterrestrial impactor precipitated the KPg mass extinction, has been thoroughly examined since it was first proposed by Alvarez and others (Alvarez et al. 1980; Smit and Hertogen 1980; Urey 1973). Evidence for an impact at the KPg boundary is now overwhelming and includes global iridium and other platinum group element anomalies, impact ejecta, and shocked quartz (as reviewed in [Claeys et al. 2002; Schulte et al. 2010; Smit 1999]). Similarly, there is extensive evidence for synchronous extinction across oceanic and terrestrial taxa with the KPg impact (e.g., D'Hondt et al. 1996; Fastovsky and Sheehan 2005; Labandeira et al. 2002; Pospichal 1996). The scientific evidence supports the consensus that the KPg mass extinction was directly precipitated by an extraterrestrial impact (Fastovsky and Sheehan 2005; Kring 2007; Schulte et al. 2010).
A small, vocal minority maintains that KPg mass extinction was not caused by a single extraterrestrial impact, but has failed to provide any counter-evidence that is free from preservational effects like the Signor-Lipps Effect or stratigraphic complexities of near-impact sites in the Gulf of Mexico (e.g., Keller et al. 2007; Keller et al. 2009; Keller et al. 1993; Keller et al. 2003).

In spite of the consensus surrounding the KPg impact hypothesis, the exact kill mechanism(s) is still debated. Possible global kill mechanisms include global darkness, acid rain, global cooling, global warming, and poisoning (as reviewed in D'Hondt 2005; Kring 2007; Toon et al. 1997). Upon proposing an extraterrestrial impact at the KPg boundary, Alvarez et al. hypothesized that the impact ejecta might have caused a temporary absence of sunlight leading to the collapse of terrestrial and aquatic foodwebs (1980). Ejecta modeling suggests that the period of global darkness was relatively short, 2-months to a year (Toon et al. 1982), but sufficient to cause the pattern and level of extinction (Alvarez et al. 1982; Thierstein 1982; Toon et al. 1997), although see (Pope 2002). Other authors variously invoke death by global cooling or global warming; the first due to decreased incident radiation from ejecta, gases, aerosols, and soot, and the second to adiabatic compression from shock waves and greenhouse gases (Brett 1992; Emiliani et al. 1981; Lewis et al. 1982; Toon et al. 1982). Following the impact, widespread acid rain would likely have resulted from increased atmospheric nitric oxide and carbonic and sulfuric acid (Brett 1992; Kring 2007; Lewis et al. 1982; Zahnle 1990). Modeling suggests that compression heating of the atmosphere may have evolved $\sim10^{15}$ mol of nitric acid rain (Zahnle 1990).
Additionally, the impact of the bolide into the anhydrite (CaSO₄) rich Yucatan released hundreds of billions of tons of sulfuric acid (Brett 1992; Pierazzo et al. 1998). Sulfuric and nitric acid may have had multifarious negative effects including global cooling, acid rain, acidification of near shore waters, increased weathering, and direct deleterious biological impacts (Kring 2007; Lewis et al. 1982). Finally, chemical and/or heavy metal poisoning may have provided short and longterm kill mechanisms respectively (Erickson and Dickson 1987; Hsu 1980; Toon et al. 1997), in addition to the direct negative effects from nitrous oxide and sulfuric acid.

The Chicxulub impact affected some ecosystems and taxa more than others, possibly reflecting differences in the life histories of pre-extinction species. While some taxa went entirely extinct at the KPg boundary, including ammonites, non-avian dinosaurs, and marine and flying reptiles (Alvarez et al. 1980), other taxa like benthic foraminifera were relatively unaffected (Culver 2003). Surface ocean ecosystems experienced disproportionately large negative effects from KPg boundary impact with large losses in diversity and ecosystem function (Norris 2001). Species richness decreased by nearly 95% in planktonic foraminifera (e.g., D'Hondt et al. 1996; Smit 1982) and 88% in coccolithophorids (Thierstein 1982) in contrast to extinction levels between 40-76% across all environments (Jablonski 1995; Jablonski and Chaloner 1994). Open ocean species that fared well include dinoflagellates, many of which have resting cysts (Thierstein 1982) and benthic foraminifera that rely on sporadic detrital influxes from the surface ocean (Culver 2003). In addition to variation among taxa, the KPg impact had spatially explicit effects on extinction and recovery within taxa. For
example, although near-coastal bivalves may not have suffered spatially-explicit patterns of species extinction (Raup and Jablonski 1993), recovery was more rapid in sites far removed from the Chicxulub impact (Jablonski 1998).

In addition to widespread extinction, the K Pg impact appears to have affected global primary productivity with evidence for decreased productivity in terrestrial and open ocean communities (D'Hondt 2005). In the open ocean, a global decline in surface ocean export productivity is primarily indicated by a precipitous decrease in the surface-to-deep water $\delta^{13}C$ gradients, decreased sedimentation rates, and decreased deep water aging (D'Hondt 2005; Hsu et al. 1982; Zachos et al. 1989). Recovery to pre-extinction surface-to-deep water $\delta^{13}C$ gradients and, putatively, export productivity occurred in several steps with a full recovery indicated approximately 2-3 million years after the extinction (Coxall et al. 2006; D'Hondt et al. 1998). Benthic foraminiferal assemblage structure can be used as an independent proxy for changes in productivity (e.g., Gooday 2003; Thomas 2007). In sites throughout the North Atlantic and Tethys, benthic foraminifera proxies provide additional support for a prolonged suppression of export productivity (e.g., Alegret et al. 2004; Alegret et al. 2001; Alegret et al. 2003). Surprisingly, benthic proxies in the Pacific Ocean and South Atlantic appear to contradict evidence from $\delta^{13}C$ and sedimentation rate proxies by recording either no change or increased export productivity in the early Danian (Alegret and Thomas 2007; Alegret and Thomas 2009). The lack of K Pg boundary extinction among benthic foraminifera combined with the evidence for high levels of export productivity in some
early Danian locales has fueled a debate on effect of the end-Cretaceous mass extinction on surface ocean ecosystem function.

On land, plant and sedimentary δ¹³C also indicate suppressed productivity in the earliest Danian (Arens and Jahren 2000; Beerling et al. 2001). In contrast to open ocean δ¹³C gradients, terrestrial proxies suggests a more rapid recovery to pre-extinction primary productivity levels (Arens and Jahren 2000; Beerling et al. 2001). A similar contrast is apparent in the rate of recovery of species richness between terrestrial and open ocean ecosystems. Highly diverse floral assemblages approximately 1.4 million years post-impact in Castle Rock, Denver suggest that some terrestrial ecosystems recovered to pre-extinction diversity levels more quickly than the 2-3 million years observed in open ocean ecosystems (Coxall et al. 2006; Johnson and Ellis 2002). However, it is notable that most terrestrial plant assemblages studied to date did not recover until the late Paleocene to early Eocene (Johnson and Ellis 2002; McElwain and Punyasena 2007).

In the context of mass extinctions, the idea of recovery can refer to the recovery of pre-extinction levels of species richness and diversity, or to the re-establishment of community structure or ecosystem functions like primary or export production and biogeochemical cycling. In general, biotic recoveries from mass extinctions share several of the following features, including i) low diversity assemblages dominated by eurytopic taxa (e.g., taxa able to thrive in a wide range of environments), ii) reduced guild structure (e.g., loss of specific life history strategies) iii) early dominance of opportunistic, “bloom” taxa, and iv) reappearance of Lazarus taxa at the end of the
recovery (Erwin 1998; Erwin 2001). Early Paleocene communities on land and in the sea are characterized by the dominance of a small number of eurytopic, bloom taxa that thrive in the wake of the extinction. On land, a global fungal spore spike followed by a fern spike precedes the recovery of diverse floral assemblages (McElwain and Punyasena 2007; Tschudy et al. 1984; Vajda and McLoughlin 2004). In the open ocean, opportunistic taxa of previously coastal planktonic foraminifera, e.g., the triserial *Guembelitria*, briefly dominate planktonic assemblages (D'Hondt et al. 1996; MacLeod 1993). Disaster fauna are replaced by recovery fauna within ~500 kyr in planktonic foraminifera (D'Hondt and Keller 1991), although the recovery to full species diversity takes around 2-3 My (Coxall et al. 2006). In addition, guild structure in planktonic foraminifera is reduced, with some life histories, like photosymbiosis, requiring several million years to re-evolve (Berggren and Norris 1997; Coxall et al. 2006). Finally, a theoretical expectation of recoveries from mass extinctions is a delayed onset and stepped pattern of recovery (Sole et al. 2002). Recovery of both export productivity and species richness appear to conform to this model, with recovery occurring in several steps over 2-3 million years (Coxall et al. 2006; D'Hondt et al. 1998).
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CHAPTER V

Using mixing models and iridium anomalies to quantify sediment mixing at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary
ABSTRACT

Sediment mixing models can provide a means to quantify and account for the effect of sediment mixing on the geological record. Here, we introduce a Lagrangian mixing model that differs from typical one-dimensional advection-diffusion models in modeling mixing as a non-deterministic process. We use this model to explore the theoretical effects of sediment mixing on records of rapid events and to investigate the effect of mixing on a Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg) boundary record from the North Pacific. A site-specific tracer of sediment mixing is needed to fit mixing models to empirical records. We suggest that iridium, an elemental impact marker, can provide a good tracer of sediment mixing at the KPg boundary in oxic pelagic sites after a review of the potential determinants of anomaly shape. The correspondence in mixing extent between iridium and micro- to nanofossils suggests that iridium may provide a better tracer of the sedimentary constituents of interest to many researchers than previously proposed mixing tracers such as Ni-spinels. Our discussion of theoretical mixing effects and review of the processes determining iridium anomaly shape provides a needed revision of the expectations for and interpretation of the effect of sediment mixing at the KPg boundary.

INTRODUCTION

An extraterrestrial impact like that at the Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg) boundary results in the rapid deposition of a number of physical and chemical markers of the event (Kyte 2002), including iridium (Ir) and other platinum group elements (PGEs),
shocked quartz, nickel-spinels, microtektites, and microkrystites (as reviewed in Alvarez 1996; Claeys et al. 2002; Smit 1999). Impact markers like iridium are primarily deposited in narrow, <<1 cm thick, event horizons at distal sites (Claeys et al. 2002; Smit 1999) before being remobilized by sedimentary processes like bioturbation, diagenesis or slumping. As a result, geologically instantaneous markers like impact ejecta and volcanic ashes have long been used to quantitatively understand deep-sea sediment mixing (e.g., Glass 1969; Guinasso and Schink 1975; Ruddiman and Glover 1972; Ruddiman et al. 1980). Mixing profiles can be used to quantify the magnitude and duration of the initial, unmixed record of both the impact marker and other sedimentary constituents like foraminifera, diatoms, and other nannofossils (Ruddiman and Glover 1972). At the KPg boundary a number of questions exist about the ecological, evolutionary, and biogeochemical response in the decades to millennia following the impact, as most records are well mixed over these time scales. However, despite an abundance of impact markers and the potential utility of sediment mixing models, we are unaware of any previous attempt to quantitatively describe sediment mixing profiles across the KPg boundary. In this study, we use one impact marker, elemental iridium, as a tracer of deep-sea sediment mixing in oxic, pelagic sites in the early Danian. Iridium anomalies at the KPg boundary have the potential to be useful tracers of sedimentary mixing as they were deposited in a geological brief interval and have been measured at more sites and at a higher resolution than other KPg impact markers (Claeys et al. 2002).
Here, we introduce and use a Lagrangian particle-tracking model of sediment mixing, which has the advantage of allowing for non-deterministic sediment mixing within a one-dimensional advection-diffusion model. The simplest and most widely used sediment mixing models are advection-diffusion models. In an advection-diffusion model, the record of a sedimentary event is mixed within a mixed layer according to a mixing coefficient (e.g., diffusion coefficient), before being advected into a historic layer of sediment that predates the event (Goldberg and Koide 1962; Guinasso and Schink 1975). Numerical simulations of time-dependent mixing (e.g., models involving multiple time steps rather than the integration of all mixing in a single step), typically model the sedimentary column as a stack of discrete layers with a constant mixing coefficient within each layer (e.g., Peng et al. 1979; Ridgwell 2007; Ridgwell and Hargreaves 2007). Basic advection-diffusion approaches generally do not model non-local mixing like the lumpy mixing of large burrowers that occasionally carry surface sediment to great depths. While non-local sediment mixing models do exist (e.g., Boudreau and Imboden 1987; Shull 2001; Trauth 1998), they are hard to parameterize from tracer profiles alone (Boudreau 1986a; Boudreau 1986b; Boudreau and Imboden 1987) and do not necessarily outperform advection-diffusion models (Meysman et al. 2003). Notably, simple one-dimensional advection-diffusion mixing models have been used to understand or describe mixing in the deep sea (e.g., Guinasso and Schink 1975; Peng et al. 1979), the effect of sediment mixing on the record of past events (e.g., Charbit et al. 2002; Ridgwell 2007), and to account for sediment buffering effects in future climate projections (e.g., Archer et al. 1998; Ridgwell and Hargreaves 2007).
We address two questions in this study. First, does the shape of iridium anomalies primarily reflect sediment mixing in oxic, pelagic sites distal to the impact or does it primarily reflect other processes, like diagenetic remobilization? In order to be a useful tracer of sediment mixing, the shape of iridium anomalies must be primarily determined by sedimentary mixing and should be representative of the sedimentary constituents of interest. However, the two-tailed peaked shape of the iridium anomaly has been attributed to a number of sedimentary processes (e.g., Smit 1999; Stueben et al. 2002) including i) bioturbation and other physical mixing (Alvarez et al. 1990; Kyte et al. 1985), ii) diagenetic remobilization and diffusion (Kyte et al. 1985; Schmitz 1985; Wallace et al. 1990), iii) prolonged deposition due to oceanic residence times (Anbar et al. 1996), iv) volcanism (Crocket et al. 1988; Officer and Drake 1985; Rocchia et al. 1990), and v) redeposition from primary deposits (Preisinger et al. 1986). Of these mechanisms, only the first four provide a global mechanism for shaping iridium anomalies.

Second, we then test the ability of our Lagrangian advection-diffusion sediment mixing model to fit the shape of a highly resolved iridium anomaly at the Shatsky Rise in the North Pacific. We compare the sediment mixing model fits with evidence for the other two mechanisms, including tracers of diagenetic remobilization and modeled effects of prolonged iridium deposition. A good fit between profiles obtained from the mixing model and the measured iridium anomaly indicates that highly resolved iridium anomalies may provide a site-specific means of estimating sediment mixing in early Danian oceans. We also explore the sensitivity of theoretical mixing profiles to different
model parameters, discuss the range in possible mixing profile shapes, and list a number of theoretical expectations for mixed KPg boundary sections.

**MIXING MODEL**

Our model is a one-dimensional Lagrangian particle-tracking model with a depth-dependent eddy diffusivity modified from Tanaka and Franks (Tanaka and Franks 2008). Sediment mixing was driven by vertical diffusivity \( (K_v) \), which we modeled as a decreasing hyperbolic tangent (tanh) function (Figure 5-1). The tanh function captures a fundamental feature of sediment mixing, namely, the existence of a superficial well-mixed layer underlain by increasingly unmixed sediments. The tanh function generates

\[
K_v = \frac{K_0}{1 + e^{-z/z_0}}
\]

**Figure 5-1. The Lagrangian sediment mixing model.** Three mixing curves highlight the effect of \( z_{scale} \) on depth-dependent diffusivity \( (K_v) \). All curves are parameterized with the same \( z_0 \), indicated on the depth axis, and the same \( K_0 \). Larger values for \( z_{scale} \) (black) increase the depth range over which \( K_v \) asymptotes to zero relative to a smaller \( z_{scale} \) values (grey).
a vertical diffusivity profile that is continuously differentiable with depth (Ross and Sharples 2004). The depth of sediment mixing and diffusivity are expected to primarily be a function of the flux of organic matter to the deep sea (e.g., Smith and Rabouille 2002; Trauth et al. 1997), and are used here as free parameters for tuning the mixing model to fit measured iridium anomalies.

Three parameters define sediment mixing: i) $z_0$ is the depth of the inflection point in the tanh profile, delineating the bottom of the well-mixed layer of sediment, ii) $z_{\text{scale}}$ is the $e$-folding scale for the tanh profile and determines the depth over which mixing asymptotes to zero, and iii) $K_0$ is the maximum vertical diffusivity. $K_v$, or depth-dependent diffusivity, decreases with depth according to:

$$K_v(z) = \frac{K_0}{2} \left[ 1 - \tanh \left( \frac{z - z_0}{z_{\text{scale}}} \right) \right]$$

Unlike many mixing models, $K_0$ defines the upper limit of mixing in the tanh profile, and not diffusivity at the sediment-water interface. As $z_{\text{scale}}$ approaches zero, the diffusivity at the sediment-water-interface ($K_{\text{surface}}$) will approach $K_0$ (Fig. 1a versus Fig. 1b).

Modeled iridium was moved vertically by vertical diffusivity after the algorithms of Visser (Visser 1997) and Ross and Sharples (Ross and Sharples 2004), as a Markov process where the depth $z_{t+\Delta t}$ of a particle at time $t+\Delta t$ was a function of the depth ($z_t$) in the previous time step ($t$):

$$z_{t+\Delta t} = z_t + \frac{\partial K_v(z_t)}{\partial z} \Delta t + R \left[ 2K_v(z_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial K_v}{\partial z} \Delta t) \right]^{1/2} + w_\phi \Delta t$$
where $R$ is a random process with a zero mean and a variance of $r$. We set $r = 1/3$, and
drew $R$ from a uniform distribution ranging from -1 to 1 (Ross and Sharples 2004;
Tanaka and Franks 2008). Sedimentation ($w_s$) drives the continual sinking of sediment
out of the mixed layers. The mixing model time step $\Delta t$ was 10 years, representing a
compromise between model run-time and an accurate depiction of mixing.

Iridium deposition was simulated by the introduction of “particles” into the
model at the sedimentary surface, with each particle representing an equal amount of
iridium. 10,000 and 100,000 particles were injected into the model and mixed according
to the model parameterization in order to fit empirical iridium profiles and explore
mixing model sensitivity respectively. Particle numbers were binned over 0.2 cm deep
increments to obtain concentrations; the magnitude of the iridium anomaly was matched
by multiplying the modeled profile by a constant multiplier. Model simulations were
repeated 20 times during empirical model fitting, as individual model runs can vary due
to the non-deterministic nature of our approach. Model fit is reported as the $r^2$ between
the scaled median model and measured iridium. We included 27 data points in the
calculation of $r^2$, truncating the long tail of background measurements as our model
does not include any background iridium.

We approximate a one-layer model to contrast with the tanh-profile model by
setting $z_{\text{scale}}$ equal to 1.5cm. This truncates the mixing model to approximately one,
well-mixed layer, but allows the simulation to model the bottom boundary without a
large boundary artifact (e.g., Ross and Sharples 2004). In general, model artifacts are
introduced when:

$$\Delta t \geq \frac{(z_{\text{scale}})^2}{2K_0}$$

When $\Delta t$ is equal to or larger than this ratio, the model will generate artificial accumulations of particles along boundaries (Ross and Sharples 2004).

We model the sediment-sea water interface as a reflecting boundary to handle instances where modeled sediment would be moved above the sea floor. The values of $K_0$, $z_0$, and $z_{\text{scale}}$ were fit through an iterative procedure. In practice, we explored the model parameter space to identify promising parameter combinations. $K_0$, $z_0$, and $z_{\text{scale}}$ were then optimized by randomly combining parameters drawn from uniform distributions in parameter space centered around initial $K_0$, $z_0$, and $z_{\text{scale}}$ values. The best parameter combination was selected as the one that minimized the absolute average model error.

**MODELING EXPERIMENTS**

Model sensitivity was explored using a standard theoretical model with defaults of $K_0 = 1.0 \text{ cm}^2/\text{kyr}$, $z_0 = 5 \text{ cm}$, $z_{\text{scale}} = 1.5 \text{ cm}$, sedimentation rate ($w_s$) = 0.5 cm/kyr and a single input of 100,000 tracer particles at time zero (Figure 5-2). In all cases, modeled concentrations were scaled to match the peak tracer count, to mimic the scaling of models to a given iridium profile.

The first three modeling experiments explored the effect of varying a single model parameter on modeled profile shape. $K_0$ was explored from 1-100 cm$^2$/kyr in the
mixing rate experiment (Figure 5-2a), $w_s$ from 0.5-15 cm/kyr in the sedimentation rate experiment (Figure 5-2b), and $z_0$ from 2-15 cm in the mixing depth experiment (Figure 5-2c), while holding all other parameters constant.

A no mixing experiment investigated the contribution of iridium dissolved in seawater to the shape of KPg boundary iridium anomalies. On impact, part of the KPg bolide was vaporized, delivering a portion of the original iridium in a dissolved rather than particulate state (Paquay et al. 2008). As dissolved iridium has an oceanic residence time of 2000 – 20,000 years (Anbar et al. 1996), the vaporization of a portion of the KPg impactor resulted in a prolonged input of iridium to pelagic sediments. This prolonged iridium deposition has been hypothesized to be sufficient to account for the shape of deep-sea boundary anomalies. We modeled multiple impactor vaporization scenarios with the assumption of no sedimentary mixing in order to visualize the sensitivity of the iridium peak to the large uncertainties in both the portion of the impactor vaporized, 10-70% vaporization tested, and the residence time of oceanic iridium (Figure 5-2d).

In mixing scenario 1, we tested the relative effect of including versus excluding impactor dissolution on the resulting iridium anomaly shape given sediment mixing. We quantified the similarity between the resulting two profiles using a subsample of 22 evenly spaced points along the anomaly to calculate $r^2$.

In mixing scenario 2, we explored the possible complexity of iridium profiles that could be obtained given assumptions of temporally heterogeneous mixing depths and intensities and the prolonged deposition of iridium following the KPg impact.
Specifically, we modeled a large increase in mixing depth and intensity for 50 years following the KPg impact. This boundary mixing spike model was parameterized with base parameters of $K_0 = 1.0 \text{ cm}^2/\text{kyr}$, $z_0 = 5 \text{ cm}$, $z_{\text{scale}} = 1.5 \text{ cm}$, $w_s = 0.5 \text{ cm/kyr}$, and a 50 year period of enhanced mixing beginning with the iridium injection with parameters of $K_0 = 1000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{kyr}$, $z_0 = 100 \text{ cm}$, $z_{\text{scale}} = 1.5 \text{ cm}$, $w_s = 0.5 \text{ cm/kyr}$. Iridium deposition assumptions included the 30% dissolution of the impactor, a 6,300 year oceanic residence time for dissolved iridium, and a 60 year residence time for particulate iridium.

**SAMPLE MATERIALS**

We fit a highly resolved iridium anomaly measured by Michel *et al.* (1985) at the Deep Sea Drilling Program (DSDP) Site 577B, Shatsky Rise, North Pacific (32°26.48’N, 157°43.39’E) (Heath *et al.* 1985). The biostratigraphically-complete KPg boundary section is described as “a slightly mottled nannofossil ooze”, with mottling of the boundary reflecting the bioturbation of the very pale brown Danian sediments into the white upper Maastrichtian nannofossil ooze (Heath *et al.* 1985). Relatively shallow sediment mixing is indicated by mixing of rare thoracosphaerids down to 5 cm below the nannofossil KPg boundary and the near-complete replacement of Mesozoic nannofossils (90-95%) at 10 cm above the boundary (Wright *et al.* 1985). Relatively deeper mixing is indicated by the mixing of Paleocene foraminifera more than 1 m into the Mesozoic (D'Hondt and Keller 1991).
We inferred sedimentation rates for DSDP Site 577B by tuning an x-ray florescence (XRF) record of Fe to a nearby astronomically calibrated site, Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 1211. ODP Site 1211 was astronomically calibrated through the Paleocene to a number of sites by Westerhold et al. (Westerhold et al. 2008), and thus provides the most reliable set of relative ages for calculating sedimentation rates at Site 577B (see Table 5-1 and 5-2 for age model and age model tie points). In addition to fitting sediment mixing models to measured iridium anomalies, we also considered the distributions of three additional elements measured by Michel et al. (1985), Fe, Ni, and Al, as additional proxies for diagenetic remobilization.

RESULTS

Modeling Experiments

Varying mixing rate \(K_0\) while keeping all other parameters constant resulted in profiles with varying up-core slopes, in contrast to similar down-core profiles (Figure 5-2a). The highest diffusivities corresponded to the widest spread in boundary iridium and the most gradual up-core slope. Varying sedimentation rate \(w_s\), Figure 5-2b) effected iridium profile symmetry in addition to up-core and down-core iridium anomaly width and slope. Relatively high sedimentation rates, 5 and 15 cm/kyr, resulted in relatively symmetrical and narrow iridium anomalies as compared to profiles obtained with sedimentation rates of 0.5 and 1 cm/kyr. The extent of down-core mixing was most sensitive to the relative mixing depth \(z_0\) (Figure 5-2c). Deep mixing
Figure 5-2. Theoretical examples of the effect of mixing parameters on model iridium profile shape. A standard mixing model was used to illustrate the model sensitivity to changes in (A) sediment diffusivity, $K_0$, (B) sedimentation rate, $w_s$, and (C) the depth of the well-mixed zone, $(z_0)$. (D) Three scenarios for a prolonged iridium injection are shown assuming no sediment mixing and an iridium oceanic residence time of 6.3 kyr (10 and 30% impactor dissolution) or 20 kyr (70% dissolution). (E) Mixing scenario 1 contrasts the standard mixing model with and without a prolonged input of iridium from an assumed 30% impactor dissolution and 6.3 kyr residence time. Rectangles indicate samples used to calculate $r^2$. (F) Mixing scenario 2 contrasts the standard mixing model against a scenario assuming prolonged iridium deposition and elevated sediment mixing (depth and rate) for a short period following the KPg impact.

Increased the depth of the down-core tail, while increasing overall profile symmetry.

Relative to the effect of sediment mixing on the modeled iridium anomaly, the inclusion or exclusion of impactor dissolution and ocean residence time had little effect on anomaly shape (Figure 5-2d, $r^2$ between profiles of 0.99 and $p < 0.001$).
Figure 5-2f demonstrates that it is possible to obtain complex, down-core sloping profiles with an advection-diffusion model given assumptions of temporally heterogeneous mixing and prolonged iridium deposition. The modeled boundary spike in sedimentary during part of an initial prolonged iridium injection period generated a down-core mixing profiles like that sometimes observed in heavily bioturbated sites such as Maud Rise (Figure 5-3a).

**Shatsky Rise Iridium Anomaly**

At Shatsky Rise DSDP Site 577B, the peak position and width of the iridium anomaly coincide with the peak position and width of elements with a range in redox sensitivities, from Al, which is relatively resistant to diagenetic remobilization, to Ni and Fe, which are remobilized under reducing conditions (Figure 5-4a). We fit three models to the iridium anomaly at Shatsky Rise. The first model was a no-mixing model that assumed no sediment mixing but incorporated the oceanic residence time of dissolved iridium. The no-mixing model failed to explain much of the anomaly shape (Figure 5-4c, $r^2=0.12$, $p=0.07$).

For the two subsequent models, both of which included sediment mixing, we assume that 90% of iridium was deposited instantaneously and 10% was impact vaporized with an oceanic residence time of 6,300 years. At the sedimentation rates typical at Shatsky Rise (0.5 - 1 cm/1000 years), the prolonged input of iridium has a minimal effect on profile shape after sediment mixing (Figure 5-2e), as well as on model parameterization and fit.
In contrast to the no-mixing model, both the one-layer and tanh mixing models explained most of the iridium anomaly shape, with $r^2$ of 0.81 and 0.87 respectively ($p<0.001$). The one-layer model was parameterized with $K_0=0.5$ cm$^2$/kyr, $z_0=13$ cm, and $z_{\text{scale}}=1.5$ cm. The tanh model was parameterized with $K_0=1$ cm$^2$/kyr, $z_0=2.5$ cm, and $z_{\text{scale}}=9.5$ cm.

DETERMINANTS OF KPg IRIDIUM ANOMALY SHAPE IN OPEN OCEAN SEDIMENTS

Evidence for the diagenetic remobilization of iridium

Among the siderophilic elements enriched in KPg boundary sediments, iridium is the best candidate for a tracer of mixing as it is the least likely to be diagenetically remobilized (Colodner et al. 1992; Evans et al. 1993), and unlikely to be confounded by other iridium sources (Kyte 2002). Although relatively immobile, recent work has shown that iridium like other PGEs can be mobilized in reducing, low-temperature pore fluids (Colodner et al. 1992; Evans et al. 1993; Sawlowicz 1993). For example, remobilization of iridium in recent pelagic sediments under changes in redox conditions has been studied in an abyssal plain periodically influenced by distal turbidite flows (Colodner et al. 1992). Iridium becomes mobile by the reduction of oxic sediments below a newly deposited turbidite, resulting in a depletion of iridium at the oxic/suboxic transition (Colodner et al. 1992). This mechanism cannot account for the larger iridium anomalies at the KPg boundary, but has been evoked to explain iridium anomalies in other intervals marked by a large change in sedimentary environments and redox
conditions (Colodner et al. 1992; Sawlowicz 1993; Wallace et al. 1990; Wang et al. 1993). There is evidence, however, for the remobilization of the KPg boundary iridium anomaly in reducing environments, including terrestrial coal deposits (Evans et al. 1993; Izett 1990) and, possibly, reducing marine settings (Martinez-Ruiz et al. 1999). Remobilization of iridium in reducing KPg sediments is not a universal feature, as iridium can lack evidence of remobilization in reducing sites where other PGEs are remobilized (Evans et al. 1993; Kyte 2002) and PGE ratios often differ from expected values given their relative diagenetic mobility (e.g., Lee et al. 2003; Stueben et al. 2002).

Pelagic sections have relatively low organic carbon content and, outside of the Tethys and other neritic sites, appear to have been largely oxic in the early Danian (e.g., Barker et al. 1988; Heath et al. 1985; Perch-Nielsen et al. 1977). Oxic bottom waters and low organic fluxes are both characteristics which theoretically reduce the likelihood of iridium remobilization (e.g., Colodner et al. 1992).

**Evidence for prolonged deposition shaping deep sea iridium profiles**

The spread in the iridium anomaly at the KPg boundary has also been attributed to prolonged iridium input from the partial dissolution of the impactor (Anbar et al. 1996, although see Smit 1999). We were unable to simulate a comparable spread in the iridium anomaly from simply assuming a prolonged iridium input (Figure 5-2d) with very permissive assumptions including a higher impactor dissolution than has previously been hypothesized (70% in contrast to the 5-30% typically considered,
[Paquay et al. 2008]), the uppermost bound for iridium residence times (20 kyr, [Anbar et al. 1996]), and low sedimentation rates (0.5 cm/kyr).

Evidence of volcanism in deep sea iridium profiles

Many KPg iridium profiles have low, wide shoulders bracketing the steep boundary anomaly with Ir concentrations above background levels (e.g., Officer and Drake 1983; Robin et al. 1991; Rocchia et al. 1990). This enrichment above background iridium, readily apparent only on a log scale, has been variously attributed to volcanism (Officer and Drake 1985; Rocchia et al. 1990), multiple impacts (Rocchia et al. 1990), prolonged iridium input (Anbar et al. 1996), a change in sedimentary dilution (Robinson et al. 2009), and diagenetic remobilization of iridium (Robin et al. 1991; Rocchia et al. 1990). Growing evidence suggests that Deccan volcanism may provide the most parsimonious explanation for this increase in background iridium.

The time period of the main phase of Deccan volcanism generally coincides with a pre- and post- boundary elevation in background iridium. Peak Deccan emplacement occurred during C29r which lasted ~800 kyr (Courtillot et al. 1986), with the main volcanic phase beginning ~340 kyr prior to the impact (Robinson et al. 2009) and possibly ending at the KPg boundary with a subsequent eruption in late C29r to early C29n (Chenet et al. 2007; Keller et al. 2009). The increase in background iridium spans a comparable time interval at some sites (e.g., Robin et al. 1991; Robinson et al. 2009; Rocchia et al. 1990), although is considerably shorter at others (Officer and Drake 1985; Robin et al. 1991). Osmium isotopes and Os and iridium levels at multiple
sites indicate a distinct pre-boundary shift coincident with the onset of Deccan volcanism (Ravizza and Peucker-Ehrenbrink 2003; Robinson et al. 2009), with PGE ratios (Pt/Ir) ruling out an influence of extensive diagenetic remobilization. Deccan volcanism may influence Ir, Os and other PGEs directly by the addition of new PGEs to the ocean or indirectly via a change in sedimentary dilution (e.g., decreased sedimentation rates due to volcanogenic CO2/acidification; Robinson et al. 2009).

Alternative mechanisms for the increase in background iridium fail to fully explain the observed patterns: i) slow deposition of dissolved impact iridium cannot account for the pre-impact elevation in background concentrations, ii) diagenetic explanations have been rejected in a recent investigation on the basis of Pt/Ir ratios (Robinson et al. 2009); evidence which also precludes a sediment mixing mechanism, and iii) evidence for multiple impacts driving the elevation is lacking as small extra-KPg peaks occur at different stratigraphic intervals between cores and correspond with burrows within cores (e.g., Pospichal et al. 1990). Thus, the relative timing and explanatory power suggests that Deccan volcanism provides the most likely explanation for the increase in background iridium.

Evidence for sediment mixing in boundary anomaly shapes

From the earliest discussions of the distribution of boundary ejecta and microfossils, bioturbation and other sedimentary processes have been used to explain the apparent temporal spread of impact markers and the faunal mixing of the late Cretaceous and early Paleocene species (e.g., Kyte et al. 1985; Robin et al. 1991;
Thierstein 1981). Pervasive burrowing of complete distal KPg boundary sections is readily apparent due to a distinct color change between light Maastrichtian and dark sedimentary layers. Figure 5-3. Core photographs illustrate physical mixing of sediment at Maud Rise and Hess Rise. Iridium anomalies are plotted adjacent to photographs of cores for (A) the heavily bioturbated Maud Rise KPg boundary, and (B) the coring-disturbed KPg boundary at Hess Rise.
Danian sediments (Smit 1999). Among the visibly bioturbated boundary sections are sites like Agost (Rodriguez-Tovar 2005), Caravaca (Rodriguez-Tovar and Uchman 2008), and Maud (Pospichal et al. 1990), to name just a few. Burrowing by early Danian benthic communities into Masstrichtian sediments is confirmed by the presence of impact ejecta (Rodriguez-Tovar 2005) and Danian fossils (e.g., Pospichal et al. 1990; Premoli Silva et al. 2005) in the boundary-crossing burrows. The correspondence between the extent of visible burrows, fossil reworking, and the iridium anomalies at sites like Maud Rise (Figure 5-3a, [Barker et al. 1988; Michel et al. 1990]) and El Kef (Pospichal 1994; Robin et al. 1991), and the extent of coring disturbance and the iridium anomaly at Hess Rise (Figure 5-3b, [Michel et al. 1981; Vallier et al. 1981]), provides some support for the hypothesis that physical mixing is the primary determinant of iridium anomaly shape in oxic, pelagic sites distal to the impact.

The correspondence in mixing extent between iridium and micro- to nanofossils suggests that iridium has a key characteristic of a useful tracer, namely, it tracks the sedimentary constituent of interest. Sediment mixing is generally biased by size, with smaller particles being transported over greater distances than larger particles (e.g., Thomson et al. 1995; Wheatcroft 1992). In a comparison between boundary profiles of iridium and Ni-spinels, iridium anomalies had greater peak widths than Ni-spinels in the three sites analyzed (Robin et al. 1991). Ni-spinels are much larger (Robin et al. only quantified spinels >1 mm) and denser than the main constituents of carbonate oozes (foraminifera, nannoplankton, and clay). In contrast iridium is thought to likely be bound to the clay or fine fraction of sediments (Claeys et al. 2002; Rocchia et al.)
A comparison between nannofossil reworking (Pospichal 1994) and the up-core tail of iridium at El Kef (Robin et al. 1991), indicates that iridium provides a better tracer than Ni-spinels of the sedimentary mixing of nannofossils. The Ni-spinel peak at all sites studied by Robin et al. is narrow compared to typical burrowing depth and fossil reworking as well as iridium anomaly width, suggesting that iridium may behave more like the carbonate fossils that comprise most of the sediment.

In some pelagic sites, a sediment mixing driver of iridium anomaly shape has been disregarded in favor chemical remobilization on the grounds of an overly symmetrical anomaly shape (e.g., Lee et al. 2003; Officer and Drake 1985) and a width (uncompacted thickness of 61 cm) requiring an unrealistically large mixing depth (Officer and Drake 1983). However, our results show that realistic mixing depths and rates can readily generate anomalies with comparable dimensions (Figure 5-2).

**MODELING SEDIMENT MIXING AT THE CRETACEOUS-PALEOGENE BOUNDARY**

**Sediment mixing at Shatsky Rise (DSDP 577B), North Pacific**

Diagenetic remobilization and prolonged iridium inputs do not appear to explain much of the shape in KPg boundary iridium at Shatsky Rise. Fe, Ni, and Al anomalies match the iridium anomaly shape (Figure 5-4a) despite the diversity of diagenetic mobility of these elements in reducing environments. We would expect diagenetic remobilization to cause the divergence of elemental profiles from one another; this is not observed. Similarly, modeled prolonged iridium input from impact vaporization
alone poorly fits the observed iridium shape (Figure 5-4b). Without mixing, 70% of the iridium input is contained in 3cm spanning the KPg boundary as compared with the approximately 20 cm spanned by 70% of the boundary iridium at DSDP Site 577B.

In contrast to diagenesis and prolonged iridium input, Lagrangian advection-

**Figure 5-4. Investigation of potential mechanisms leading to iridium anomaly shape at DSDP 577B, Shatsky Rise.** The 577B iridium anomaly is plotted against (A) elements ranging in redox sensitivity, (B) a model of prolonged iridium deposition assuming no mixing, (C) a model of iridium mixing assuming a near one-layer mixing profile, and (D) a model of iridium mixing assuming an asymptotic decrease in sediment mixing with depth. In all panels iridium is plotted with red or pink circles; in panels B-D the pink circles indicate samples that were excluded from the calculation of $r^2$. 
diffusion mixing models explain most of the observed iridium anomaly shape. We test a one-layer model against a more complex, tahn mixing model (Figure 5-4c and 4d, one-layer approximated with the smallest \( z_{\text{scale}} \) possible given model conditions, \( z_{\text{scale}} = 1.5 \) cm) and find that both models perform nearly as well (0.81 and 0.87 respectively, \( p < 0.001 \)). As the one-layer model is effectively the simpler model, we choose this as the best model of sediment mixing at DSDP Site 577B. Iridium anomalies reported from other sites can have more complex shapes than the anomalies at Shatsky Rise in the North Pacific. In these more complex profiles, the independent manipulation of \( z_0 \) and \( z_{\text{scale}} \) may be of greater import.

Both models failed to fit two Maastrichtian peaks between 75 and 85 cm. Given the distinct mottled appearance of boundary mixing, we suspect that both peaks are due to lumpy mixing rather than pre-boundary events. These pre-boundary peaks highlight a general limitation of basic advection-diffusion models; namely, they are not designed to model non-local mixing like the rare transport of surface sediment to great depths by large burrowers. Notably, the Lagrangian mixing model used in this study can be readily modified to include non-local mixing like lumpy mixing.

**General expectations for mixed records of rapid events**

The model sensitivity analysis, literature review, and analysis at the Shatsky Rise, North Pacific suggest a number of general expectations for mixed records of geologically instantaneous events like the impact at the KPg boundary.

1) **Strict tests of relative symmetry cannot be used to test for sediment mixing.**

Sediment mixing can mix a point event into a wide-range of profile shapes
from relatively symmetrical to down-core tailing under assumptions of no-change in mixing depth or rate, to up-core tailing with assumptions of decreased mixing rates with the time (Figure 5-2).

2) **For any event, small sedimentary constituents may be transported farther than large ones.** The differential reworking of nannofossils and foraminifera across the KPg boundary, and different KPg boundary placement on the basis of iridium, nannofossil and foraminiferal biostratigraphy have all been the subject of some debate in the past. Such offsets are expected given sediment mixing; the relative depth of sediment mixing can range from effectively the same across size classes to increasing with decreasing size from foraminifera to nannofossils to iridium. The largest sedimentary constituents (Ni-spinels and other large ejecta at the KPg boundary) will typically have narrow distributions as compared to all common sedimentary constituents.

3) **Sediment mixing tends to move the peak depth of a mixing tracer into stratigraphically older sediments.** The relative magnitude of the peak offset from the depth of initial emplacement is controlled by the combination of mixing parameters used to generate a given mixing profile. As two similar profiles can be obtained from different combinations of mixing parameters (Figure 5-2), it will be difficult to accurately estimate the depth of peak shift from fitting profiles alone. It may be possible to better estimate this movement by a comparison of relatively immobile particles like large ejecta and relatively mobile particles like sediment-associated iridium. Such comparisons may help constrain possible mixing parameter combinations to better approximate the mixing conditions that generated any given profile.

4) **Lumpy or non-local mixing can result in secondary peaks in the abundance of an event marker; peaks may not occur at all locales, will be diachronous when present, and may visibly correspond with displaced sediment.** Advection-diffusion models, including the model used in this study, typically do not model non-local, lumpy mixing. However, at the KPg boundary
secondary peak characteristics fit the description above suggesting that lumpy mixing provides a reasonable explanation for secondary peaks in KPg iridium anomalies when present.

5) If a chemical marker is transported to depths equal to or less than the depth of visible burrowing, then sediment mixing may provide the most parsimonious determinant of profile shape. Although diagenesis and diffusion are commonly evoked to explain KPg iridium profiles in the presence of visible burrowing, it is difficult to imagine conditions whereby mixing would move sediment but not iridium, with diagenetic remobilization of iridium only occurring once sediment sinks below the depth of active mixing. Sediment mixing is not always visible, so the converse of this statement is not valid.

CONCLUSIONS

The shape of the KPg iridium anomaly in oxic, pelagic sites appears to be primarily determined by sediment mixing. Evidence supporting sediment mixing includes: (1) the correspondence of iridium profiles with sedimentary burrows and boundary material, (2) the low mobility of iridium in oxic sediments, and (3) the similarity of element profiles despite differences in susceptibility to diagenetic remobilization. Many existing studies attribute the shape of the iridium anomaly to diagenesis by default despite the extensive documentation of burrowing and evidence for assemblage mixing across the boundary. We find more evidence supporting diagenetic remobilization of iridium in reducing sites and advise against the use of iridium as a mixing tracer in these instances.

We directly model sediment mixing at Shatsky Rise by fitting a Lagrangian advection-diffusion model to measured iridium and find that our simplest model
provides the best fit relative to model complexity. Thus, parameterizing sediment mixing models to KPg boundary iridium anomalies offers a powerful approach for quantitatively defining and accounting for the extent of mixing effects in KPg boundary records. It remains to be tested whether mixing models can fit highly resolved iridium anomalies from other pelagic environments with more complicated mixing histories. If sediment mixing models can be fit to a range of pelagic boundary sections, it may be possible to statistically increase the temporal resolution of our interpretation of boundary impacts and recovery in the earliest Danian.
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Table 5-1. Iridium measurements from DSDP Site 577B (Michel et al. 1985), Shatsky Rise, with revised age model based on current model for ODP Site 1211 (Westerhold et al. 2008). mbsf= meters below sea floor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site-Hole-Core-Section</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>mbsf (m)</th>
<th>Age (my)</th>
<th>Ir (ppb)</th>
<th>Ir error (+/-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>577B-1-4</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>108.915</td>
<td>65.178</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>109.005</td>
<td>65.195</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-21</td>
<td>109.105</td>
<td>65.214</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-31</td>
<td>109.205</td>
<td>65.232</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35-36</td>
<td>109.255</td>
<td>65.241</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40-41</td>
<td>109.305</td>
<td>65.251</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-46</td>
<td>109.355</td>
<td>65.260</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-51</td>
<td>109.405</td>
<td>65.264</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51-52</td>
<td>109.415</td>
<td>65.265</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52-53</td>
<td>109.425</td>
<td>65.266</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53-54</td>
<td>109.435</td>
<td>65.266</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54-55</td>
<td>109.445</td>
<td>65.267</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55-56</td>
<td>109.455</td>
<td>65.268</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56-57</td>
<td>109.465</td>
<td>65.268</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57-58</td>
<td>109.475</td>
<td>65.269</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58-59</td>
<td>109.485</td>
<td>65.270</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59-60</td>
<td>109.495</td>
<td>65.271</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60-61</td>
<td>109.505</td>
<td>65.271</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61-62</td>
<td>109.515</td>
<td>65.272</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62-63</td>
<td>109.525</td>
<td>65.273</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63-64</td>
<td>109.535</td>
<td>65.274</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64-65</td>
<td>109.545</td>
<td>65.274</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65-66</td>
<td>109.555</td>
<td>65.275</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66-67</td>
<td>109.565</td>
<td>65.276</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67-68</td>
<td>109.575</td>
<td>65.277</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68-69</td>
<td>109.585</td>
<td>65.277</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69-70</td>
<td>109.595</td>
<td>65.278</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70-71</td>
<td>109.605</td>
<td>65.279</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71-72</td>
<td>109.615</td>
<td>65.280</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KPg boundary</td>
<td>72-73</td>
<td>109.625</td>
<td>65.281</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73-74</td>
<td>109.635</td>
<td>65.282</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74-75</td>
<td>109.645</td>
<td>65.284</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75-76</td>
<td>109.655</td>
<td>65.285</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76-77</td>
<td>109.665</td>
<td>65.287</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77-78</td>
<td>109.675</td>
<td>65.288</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78-79</td>
<td>109.685</td>
<td>65.290</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79-80</td>
<td>109.695</td>
<td>65.292</td>
<td>0.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80-81</td>
<td>109.705</td>
<td>65.293</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81-82</td>
<td>109.715</td>
<td>65.295</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5-1. Continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site-Hole-Core-Section</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>mbsf (m)</th>
<th>Age (my)</th>
<th>Ir (ppb)</th>
<th>Ir error (+/-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82-83</td>
<td>109.725</td>
<td>65.296</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83-84</td>
<td>109.735</td>
<td>65.298</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-85</td>
<td>109.745</td>
<td>65.299</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-86</td>
<td>109.755</td>
<td>65.301</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-91</td>
<td>109.805</td>
<td>65.308</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-101</td>
<td>109.905</td>
<td>65.324</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-111</td>
<td>110.005</td>
<td>65.339</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-121</td>
<td>110.105</td>
<td>65.355</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130-131</td>
<td>110.205</td>
<td>65.370</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140-141</td>
<td>110.305</td>
<td>65.385</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-151</td>
<td>110.405</td>
<td>65.401</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-2. DSDP Site 577B, Shatsky Rise, mbsf tie points to ODP Site 1211 age model (Westerhold et al. 2008) based on matching features in x-ray florescence models of iron from both sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mbsf (m)</th>
<th>Age (my)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107.460</td>
<td>64.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.629</td>
<td>64.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.672</td>
<td>64.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.754</td>
<td>65.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.155</td>
<td>65.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.311</td>
<td>65.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.795</td>
<td>65.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.853</td>
<td>65.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.358</td>
<td>65.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.620</td>
<td>65.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.313</td>
<td>65.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.449</td>
<td>65.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.539</td>
<td>65.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.672</td>
<td>65.625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER VI

Geographically and temporally heterogeneous changes in ocean export productivity at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary
ABSTRACT

The impact at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary led to a mass extinction in the open sea and reduced export of organic matter from the surface to deep ocean. Here, we consider barium, along with other proxies for oceanic export productivity, and find evidence for geographically and temporally heterogeneous changes following the Cretaceous-Paleogene event. These patterns suggest the possible existence of spatial refugia with relatively unaltered or a rapid recovery in total organic fluxes for benthic communities in the earliest Danian, and may help explain the paradoxical lack of extinction in the deep sea.

INTRODUCTION

The Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg) mass extinction provides a valuable natural experiment for understanding processes of extinction and recovery, as it is the most recent and well studied of the five major mass extinctions. In addition to widespread extinction (Jablonski and Chaloner 1994), the K Pg impact is thought to have precipitated a sudden decrease in global export productivity. Decreased export of organic matter from the surface ocean is indicated by evidence for a precipitous decrease in the surface-to-deep water δ^{13}C gradient, sedimentation rates, and interocean basin deep water aging (D'Hondt 2005; Hsu et al. 1982; Zachos et al. 1989). During the recovery interval, the stepped pattern in the reestablishment of pre-impact surface-to-deep gradients in δ^{13}C coincides with the recovery of planktonic foraminiferal species richness (Coxall et al. 2006). This diversity-productivity correlation is striking, and
suggests that stable, species-rich ocean ecosystems are either necessary for and/or dependent on high export production (Coxall et al. 2006; D'Hondt et al. 1998).

In contrast to the plankton, benthic foraminifera did not suffer a mass extinction at the KPg boundary (e.g., Culver 2003, and references therein). This presents a paradox (Thomas 2007), as most benthic communities are largely dependent on the flux of organic matter from the pelagic realm (e.g., Gooday 2003). While extinctions were rare, many benthic foraminiferal communities do experience a period of altered community composition across the KPg boundary, suggestive of a decrease in the local food supply (e.g., Alegret and Thomas 2005; Culver 2003; Widmark and Malmgren 1992). Surprisingly, this is not true throughout the ocean, contradicting evidence from carbonate proxies. At some early Paleocene sites, benthic proxies suggest a robust or even increased organic flux across the KPg boundary (Alegret and Thomas 2009).

Here, we seek to resolve the paradox of conflicting effects of the KPg boundary in the plankton and benthos by investigating the magnitude and change in primary productivity in multiple ocean basins using barium proxies like biogenic barium (Ba\textsubscript{bio}). Ba\textsubscript{bio} is a productivity proxy that correlates well with modern export production (e.g., Dymond et al. 1992; Francois et al. 1995) and has been used to trace changes in Cenozoic productivity (e.g., Bains et al. 2000; Paytan et al. 1996; Thompson and Schmitz 1997). We conclude by considering the new records of biogenic barium and Ba/Al (Ba/Ti) together with results from studies involving benthic foraminiferal proxies and from other studies of non-carbonate geochemical productivity proxies. These approaches provide a largely coherent picture of a post-extinction ocean in which
changes in primary productivity are synchronous with the KPg boundary, but vary geographically in magnitude and duration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We examined biogenic barium, Ba/Al, Ba/Ti, and/or Ba/Fe at five sites (Figure 6-2): i) the Vigo Seamount, North Atlantic, Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Site 398D, ii) São Paulo Plateau, South Atlantic, DSDP Site 356, iii) Maud Rise, Antarctica, Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 690C, iv) Shatsky Rise, North Pacific, DSDP Site 577B and ODP Site 1209, and v) Wombat Plateau, Indian Ocean, ODP Site 761C. Bio- and magnetostratigraphic markers from shipboard stratigraphy were used to infer relative age using a consistent relative time scale (Berggren et al. 1995), except for Shatsky Rise where we used the age model of Westerhold et al. for ODP Site 1209 and tied DSDP Site 577B to Westerhold et al.’s age model for ODP Site 1211 using XRF Fe measurements (Westerhold et al. 2008).

Biogenic barium (B_{bio}) can be inferred by normalizing the total barium content of sediment (B_{total}) to the non-biogenic component (B_{detrital}) by use of a conserved tracer such as aluminum (Al) or titanium (Ti) (e.g., Dymond et al. 1992). Alternatively, barite (BaSO_4), the primary form of biogenic barium in marine sediments (e.g., Dymond et al. 1992; Paytan and Griffith 2007), can be directly enumerated after extraction, by scanning electron microscopy (e.g., Paytan 1996; Paytan and Griffith 2007). In pure carbonate oozes, calculated B_{bio} and direct barite enumeration can yield comparable results (Eagle et al. 2003; Gonneea and Paytan 2006). Both methods
require accurate mass accumulation rates (MAR) to interpret barite and/or Ba\textsubscript{bio} in terms of relative or absolute export productivity, which introduces a large source of potential error in productivity calculations (e.g., Dymond et al. 1992). Ba/Al or Ba/Ti ratios provide an alternate means of inferring export productivity and are not dependent on accurate MAR (e.g., Calvert and Pedersen 2007; Goldberg and Arrhenius 1958; Murray et al. 2000). However, concerns exist for Ba/Al (or, Ba/Ti) as well, as different sources contain varying amounts of Ba relative to Ti and Al (Paytan and Griffith 2007). Given the differing strengths of each approach, here we include and compare both Ba\textsubscript{bio} and Ba/Al (or Ba/Ti) ratios when possible. We also consider Ba/Fe ratios, as Fe is better measured by XRF core scanning than Ti and, in certain environments, should mirror trends in Ti. Al is too poorly detected by XRF in our deep sea sediments to calculate Ba/Al.

General site characteristics suggest that biogenic barium may be well preserved: all sites are biostratigraphically complete within the boundary sections examined here, are comprised of nannofossil ooze to chalks with minor amounts of biogenic silica, and have evidence of oxic depositional environments including well bioturbated KPg boundaries (e.g., Barker et al. 1988; Bralower et al. 2002; Heath et al. 1985; Moore et al. 1984; Perch-Nielsen et al. 1977; Ryan and al. 1979, additional site information in the initial and scientific results volumes of DSDP and ODP). We considered, but did not include, barium proxies at DSDP Site 527, Walvis Ridge, South Atlantic as this site had a relatively high proportion of detrital to biogenic barium (20-100% detrital). In cores with high detrital barium, small changes in source Ba and Al composition can
dramatically affect the calculated $\text{Ba}_{\text{bio}}$ or $\text{Ba}/\text{Al}$, thereby precluding the use of $\text{Ba}_{\text{bio}}$ or $\text{Ba}/\text{Al}$ for inferences about productivity (e.g., Dymond et al. 1992; Reitz et al. 2004).

We used X-ray fluorescence (XRF) measurements at 10 kV and 50 kV to obtain high resolution records of barium (Ba), iron (Fe) and titanium (Ti) in total counts from four sites: Vigo, Sao Paulo, Maud and Shatsky. $\text{Ba}/\text{Ti}$ records are shown with a three-point and seven-point running median at Maud and Shatsky respectively to reduce the noise associated with poor Ti detection at low abundances. We also use existing Ba, Fe, and aluminum (Al) records from Shatsky Rise (DSDP Site 577, Michel et al. 1985) and the Wombat Plateau (Rocchia et al. 1992) to calculate $\text{Ba}/\text{Al}$, $\text{Ba}/\text{Fe}$ and $\text{Ba}_{\text{bio}}$. $\text{Ba}_{\text{bio}}$ was calculated according to Dymond (1992) with the detrital barium ratio of 0.0037 determined empirically rather than the crustal average of 0.0075 used by Dymond (Reitz et al. 2004).

We compare our results with published accounts of early Paleocene primary productivity from studies of benthic foraminifera and non-carbonate geochemical proxies. We restrict our comparison to a small subset of the available benthic foraminifera proxy record, choosing the taxonomic and stratigraphic stability of a single research group over the extensive coverage offered by considering studies from the entire community. Our map represents the dominant conclusion pertaining to the relative organic flux based on benthic foraminiferal proxies reached by Alegret, Thomas, and others at 16 sites (Figure 6-1, sites and references in figure caption). We also consider the results and interpretations of three geochemical studies (Figure 6-1).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results from biogenic barium, benthic foraminifera, and other geochemical proxies suggest geographically heterogeneous effects of the KPg extinction on inferred early Paleocene export productivity (Figure 6-1; heterogeneous benthic patterns previously discussed in Alegret and Thomas 2005; Alegret and Thomas 2007; Alegret and Thomas 2009 among others). The barium proxies (Ba_{biol}, Ba/Al, Ba/Ti, and Ba/Fe)
indicate a decrease in export productivity coincident with the KPg boundary in the Atlantic (Vigo and Sao Paulo), Antarctic (Maud), and Indian (Wombat) Oceans (Figure 6-2). At Maud Rise, Ba/Ti and Ba/Al ratios recover to pre-impact levels within ~350 kyr, supporting the rapid resurgence in export productivity previously hypothesized on the basis of surface to deep $\delta^{13}C$ gradients (e.g., Stott and Kennett 1989). In contrast, barium proxies and inferred organic fluxes fail to recover in the interval scanned at Sao Paulo, Wombat, and Vigo, a period of more than 600 kyr at Sao Paulo and Wombat (Figure 6-2) and more than 2 million years at Vigo (Figure 6-3).

At Shatsky Rise, the barium proxies are somewhat ambiguous due to differences between Ba/Al, Ba/Fe, and Babio at DSDP 577B and Ba/Ti and Ba/Fe at 1209 (Figure 6-2e-f), but provide no evidence for a distinct KPg-associated decline in export productivity. At Site 577B, Ba/Al ratios and Babio actually increase sharply in the very earliest Danian, supporting inferences of increased export production based on benthic foraminifera proxies at Shatsky and Hess Rise (Alegret and Thomas 2009). XRF Ba/Ti measurements also increase sharply at 577B, matching Ba/Al results in expressing a longer duration excursion than revealed by Babio (Figure 6-4). At both 577B and 1209, Ba/Fe ratios are unchanged or increase slightly across the KPg boundary but diverge from coinciding measurements of Ba/Ti or Ba/Al. At 1209, Ba/Ti decreases slightly across the boundary but is well within the range of pre-boundary oscillations, exceeds pre-boundary organic fluxes within ~300 kyr, and is analytically suspect given XRF limitations measuring the very low Ti concentrations of this interval. When considered together, the best proxies at each site support either roughly
Figure 6-2. Barium proxies of export production in the latest Maastrichtian to early Danian. Ba/Ti and Ba/Fe ratios of total counts from XRF core scanning in dotted grey and solid black respectively at (A) the Vigo Seamount, North Atlantic, (B) Sao Paulo, South Atlantic, (C) Maud Rise, Antarctica, and (F) Shatsky Rise, ODP Site 1209, North Pacific. Ba/Al, Ba/Fe, and BaBio in solid grey, solid black and dotted black respectively and calculated from existing records of Ba (ppm), Al (ppm) and Fe (ppm) for (D) Wombat Plateau (Rocchia et al. 1992), and (E) Shatsky Rise 577B (Michel et al. 1985). The KPg boundary is placed at 0 million years in relative age.

no change (1209) or a short, ~100 kyr burst (557B) in export production at Shatsky Rise.

The sites investigated to date using barium, benthic foraminifera, and other geochemical proxies indicate differences in biotic responses by geography and habitat. At the largest scale, organic fluxes at sites in the Pacific appear to be maintained or increased in the earliest Danian, while most sites in the North Atlantic show large, persistent declines in export production (Figure 6-1). This does not appear to be a proxy artifact. Benthic foraminifera and barium proxies in sites near Vigo (e.g., Loya, Bidart,
Agost and Ain Settara) show boundary declines, while benthic foraminifera and barium at Shatsky and Hess Rise support maintained to increased organic fluxes in the very earliest Danian.

The pattern in the South Atlantic is more complex. Sao Paulo shows distinct boundary declines in Ba/Ti beyond the range of pre-boundary oscillations. In contrast, Maud Rise, the highest latitude site, shows boundary declines in export in both benthic foraminifer and barium proxies, but these declines are within the scope of pre-boundary oscillations (Figure 6-2c) and are reversed and surpassed by ~350 kyr post-KPg. At Walvis, benthic foraminifera proxies indicate approximately similar levels of export production across the boundary. From this limited sample size, it is unclear whether South Atlantic sites are generally less affected by boundary events or if this pattern merely reflects the chance sampling of a few relatively unaffected sites in a region characterized by KPg-related declines in export production.

The two North Atlantic sites with relatively unaffected export productivity, Blake Nose and the Fish Clay, are generally more productive than the other North Atlantic sites during the time in question. Blake Nose, with roughly no boundary decline in benthic and geochemical proxies (Alegret and Thomas 2005; Faul et al. 2003), is hypothesized to have been located in a productive coastal upwelling region. Similarly, the Fish Clay is a neritic rather than oceanic site. The boundary effects at Blake Nose and Fish Clay together with the unchanged to increased export production described at the neritic Marlborough sites, support the suggestion that the K Pg impact
may have had heterogeneous ecological effects dependent upon habitat. It is possible that communities in highly productive regions, with pre-boundary communities more-
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**Figure 6-3. Full-length barium proxy records of export production in the latest Maastrichtian to early Danian plotted against core depth.** Ba/Ti and Ba/Fe ratios of total counts from XRF core scanning in dotted grey and solid black respectively at (A) the Vigo Seamount, North Atlantic, (B) Sao Paulo, South Atlantic, (C) Maud Rise, Antarctica, and (F) Shatsky Rise, ODP Site 1209, North Pacific. Ba/Al, Ba/Fe, and Babio in solid grey, solid black and dotted black respectively and calculated from existing records of Ba (ppm), Al (ppm) and Fe (ppm) for (D) Exmouth Plateau (Rocchia et al., 1992), and (E) Shatsky Rise 577B (Michel et al., 1985). The KPg boundary is placed at 0 m relative depth and 65 Mya. First (⊥) and last (T) occurrence of nanofossils (N) and foraminifera (F) and magnetostratigraphy (M) indicate relative age in panels A-E. In panel F, relative ages were obtained from a high resolution study of cyclostratigraphy (C), although age model uncertainties characterize the period indicated by the thick dashed line.

like those that flourished in the Danian, rebounded much more rapidly from the KPg impact. Indeed, early Paleocene bloom taxa in planktonic foraminifera are descendants of coastal taxa in the late Maastrichtian and therefore may have been adapted to the generally high productivity of coastal waters (D'Hondt et al. 1996; MacLeod 1993).

Unexplained is why foraminiferal faunas and export proxies take so long to recover in
North Atlantic and Indian Ocean sites (>2 million years for Vigo) and why the North Pacific sites fared relatively well in the Danian.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study provide independent support for the geographically heterogeneous changes in export productivity indicated by community structure in benthic foraminifera across the KPg boundary. The existence of spatial and temporal heterogeneity suggests a solution to the paradoxical lack of mass extinction in deep sea benthic foraminifera. Oceanic regions with relatively unaffected export production or very rapid recovery of pre-extinction organic fluxes, such as neritic regions, Pacific sites, and Maud Rise, may have provided refugia for benthic species temporally extirpated from more affected regions.

While some geographic differences in organic flux may be attributed to habitat type, it is unclear how geographical differences were maintained between similar oceanic environments. Furthermore, if some regions did rapidly recover to pre-extinction levels of export productivity then this would decouple the recovery of ecosystem diversity from the recovery of export production. Our data clearly indicate that export recovery sometimes preceeded the full recovery of species-rich ecosystems in the open ocean.
Figure 6-4. Barium proxies of export production in the latest Maastrichtian to early Danian at DSDP Site 577B, Shatsky Rise, North Pacific. Ba/Ti ratios of total counts from XRF core scanning in dotted grey against Ba/Al and Ba measured with neutron-activation analysis (Michel et al., 1985) in solid grey and dotted black respectively. The KPg boundary is placed at 0 million years relative age.
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CHAPTER VII

Rapid recovery of pelagic ecosystem function following the end-Cretaceous extinction
ABSTRACT

Mass extinctions provide case studies into the mechanisms and speed of ecosystem recovery from global biotic crises (Erwin 2008). In contrast to a link between diversity and function in extant ecosystems (e.g., Tilman et al. 2001), species richness can be decoupled from ecological structure and function during the recovery from mass extinctions (Droser et al. 2000; Sahney and Benton 2008; Wilf et al. 2006; Yedid et al. 2009). Here we show a similar decoupling between the evolution of ecosystems and species richness in open ocean communities after the Cretaceous-Paleogene (KPg) mass extinction. Although the diversification of planktonic foraminifera was nearly instantaneous (Coxall et al. 2006; D'Hondt and Keller 1991; D'Hondt 1991), early pelagic ecosystems existed in an alternative state characterized by the dominance of microperforate planktonic foraminifera, high foraminiferal fluxes relative to export productivity, high species dominance, and rapid dominance turnovers within the microperforate foraminifera for 100,000 - 350,000 years. The reestablishment of typical planktonic foraminiferal communities occurred independently of a change in species richness. The initial recovery of pelagic ecosystems to an alternative ecosystem state provides empirical support for a similar pattern recently described in freely evolving digital communities (Yedid et al. 2009), and may also reflect the importance of contingency in the ecology and evolution of depauperate ecosystems. These results suggest that open ocean ecosystems comprised of the same list of species can function in fundamentally different ways over geological time scales, with important
implications for the loss of ecologically meaningful densities of many species from the modern ocean.

INTRODUCTION

Similar trends in species richness and some export productivity proxies suggest that the recovery of species richness, ecosystem structure, and ecosystem function were coupled in pelagic ecosystems during the ~3-4 million year recovery from the KPg mass extinction (Coxall et al. 2006; D'Hondt 2005; Fuqua et al. 2008). Open ocean ecosystems experienced disproportionately large negative effects from KPg boundary impact with species extinction levels of nearly 95% in planktonic foraminifera (D'Hondt et al. 1996; Smit 1982) and 88% in calcareous nannofossils (Thierstein 1982), and with a precipitous decrease in surface ocean export productivity indicated by reduced surface-to-deep water $\delta^{13}C$ gradients, sedimentation rates, and interocean basin deep water aging (D'Hondt 2005; Hsu et al. 1982; Zachos et al. 1989). The recovery of surface-to-deep water $\delta^{13}C$ gradients, an export productivity proxy, occurred in two steps at ~1 and 3-4 million years post-KPg (D'Hondt et al. 1998; a pattern supported by new records in Figure 7-1a), and was accompanied by concurrent steps in species richness of planktonic foraminifera (Coxall et al. 2006). However, other work has shown that the initial diversification of planktonic foraminifera does not coincide with the initial recovery of community structure; instead early communities were dominated by a succession of disaster faunas (D'Hondt and Keller 1991). Here we seek to understand the linkage between ecosystem and species recovery in post-extinction
pelagic communities by synthesizing evidence described independently in past studies (e.g., D'Hondt and Keller 1991; Zachos et al. 1989), together with new, high resolution multiproxy records from the North Pacific and South Atlantic. We also propose a single hypothesis to unify previously conflicting or unexplained patterns including productivity proxies (Alegret and Thomas 2009; Zachos et al. 1989) and grain size change (D'Hondt 2005; Zachos et al. 1989) respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

High resolution grain size analyses (Brawlower et al. in review) reveals a large increase in the relative abundance of planktonic foraminifera to nannofossils during the earliest recovery interval, ranging from the KPg boundary through Carbon Isotope (CI) Recovery I (Figure 7-1a-b). Here we find that the flux of planktonic foraminifera actually increased by more than 8-fold in the North Pacific during the earliest Danian (Figure 7-1c). This large flux increase does not appear to be an artifact of the method used to calculate the mass accumulation rate (MAR) of foraminifera; foraminiferal MARs calculated with helium isotopes yield similar results (A. Bhattacharya unpublished results). Early Paleocene planktonic foraminifera communities at Shatsky Rise, North Pacific supported a far larger standing stock of individuals than pre-extinction or later-Danian communities.

The period of high foraminiferal flux is coincident with the dominance of 3 successive microperforate foraminiferal species (Parvularugoglobigerina eugibina, Guembelitria cretacea, and Woodringina hornerstownensis, Figure 7-2a-b).
Figure 7-1. An overview of >3 million years of recovery from the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction at the North Pacific. Three proxies for ecological recovery throughout the main pelagic recovery interval at Shatsky Rise, North Pacific, including (A) the difference in $\delta^{13}$C ratios between planktonic and benthic foraminifera, (B) grain size distributions with a peak in the nannofossil size range ($1-10 \, \mu m$) and a briefly visible peak in the foraminiferal size range ($\sim60 \, \mu m$), and (C) the mass accumulation rate of planktonic foraminiferal and nannofossil-sized carbonates. Data are plotted against the Westerhold et al. age model (Westerhold et al. 2008) and the KPg boundary (at 65.28 mya) is indicated across all panels in orange.
Microperforate foraminiferal taxa are often considered “disaster” or “bloom” species. *Guembelitria cretacea*, the founding Danian microperforate species, primarily inhabited eutrophic, coastal environments and stressed habitats in the Maastrichtian before expanding in both range and relative dominance following the end-Cretaceous extinction (Keller and Pardo 2004). In the initial post-extinction diversification, *G. cretacea* is hypothesized to have given rise to four genera in less than 30 kyrs (*Globoconusa*, *Parvularugoglobigerina*, *Woodringina*, and *Chiloguembelina*, the last of which founds a separate family) with 8 recognized species and 19 clearly distinguishable morphotypes (D'Hondt and Keller 1991; Macleod 1993; Olsson et al. 1999). This explosive diversification coincides with the initial diversification of the normal perforate foraminiferal taxa that gave rise to the fully recovered species and communities of the Paleocene (Olsson et al. 1999), and is consistent with models of adaptive radiation (Gavrilets and Vose 2005) and increased evolutionary rates during periods of environmental stress (e.g., Kashtan et al. 2007; Matic et al. 1995). The correspondence between the period of high foraminiferal to nannofossil fluxes and the dominance of microperforate species may be a general feature of early Danian pelagic communities, with similar patterns in the South Atlantic site at Walvis Ridge (Figure 7-3). Microperforate dominated communities, with rapid turnovers in the dominant microperforate species, have also been described for the first 100 to >500 kyr of the Danian in the Tethys and Gulf of Mexico (D'Hondt and Keller 1991) and may also characterize the >20 additional sites with known *G. cretacea* blooms (Keller and Pardo 2004).
Figure 7-2. Early ecological recovery in the North Pacific. Ecological and environmental change in the earliest Danian at Shatsky Rise, North Pacific as revealed by (A) grain size distributions with overlain planktonic foraminiferal fluxes (white line), (B) the dominant foraminiferal species or taxa (Guembelitria cretacea, Parvularugoglobigerina eugibina, and Woodringina hornerstownensis), (C) Ba/Ti (black) and Ba/Fe (red) ratios, and bulk carbonate (D) $d^{13}$C and (E) $d^{18}$O. Data are plotted against the Westerhold et al. age model (Westerhold et al. 2008) and the KPg boundary (at 65.28 mya) is indicated across all panels in orange.
We propose that the interval between the KPg boundary and the turnover from micro- to normal perforate dominance represents an alternative state in early Danian pelagic ecosystems. We propose an alternative, rather than disaster, ecosystem for this time because the presence of high foraminiferal fluxes and unaffected export productivity at some sites suggest that pelagic ecosystems i) had a different food web structure and ii) may have maintained pre-extinction levels of some ecosystem functions. During this microperforate-dominated period, foraminiferal MARs are high relative to export productivity, indicating that planktonic foraminifera comprise a relatively larger proportion of these ecosystems by biomass than is found in later recovery communities. Pacific foraminiferal MARs increase 8-fold over pre-KPg and later recovery communities and export productivity was generally unaffected by the KPg mass extinction (Figure 7-2c), with evidence for a post-boundary 10-30 kyr bloom in export production (Alegret and Thomas 2009). At Walvis Ridge, South Atlantic the evidence for a boundary decline in export production is mixed, with δ^{13}C suggesting a precipitous drop (Zachos and Arthur 1986) and benthic foraminifera indicating roughly equivalent total fluxes (Alegret and Thomas 2007). At Walvis, fluxes of foraminifera may have decreased by about half across the KPg boundary, but were on average 3 times larger in the alternative, microperforate communities than in later recovery communities (results not shown). Thus, regardless of the site-specific export productivity changes, foraminiferal biomass was greater in recovery communities characterized by microperforate dominance than in later recovery communities.
Intriguingly, Pacific coccolithophorid communities have the greatest delay in recovery (Jiang et al. *in press*). We propose that this delay in the Pacific may reflect the relative success a non-calcifying community of early Danian primary producers, which could have prevented the regional reestablishment of coccolithophorid abundances. The relative success of unfossilized primary producers in the North Pacific is reflected both in the maintained levels of export production across the KPg boundary and in the high standing stocks of grazers like the microperforate foraminifera.

**Figure 7-3. Early ecological recovery in the South Atlantic.** Ecological and environmental change in the earliest Danian at Walvis Ridge, South Atlantic as revealed by (A) grain size distributions at ODP Site 1262, and (B) the dominant foraminiferal species or taxa as determined by D’Hondt and Keller at DSDP Site 528 (D’Hondt and Keller 1991).
The pattern of microperforate dominance is not the same at all sites; inter-site variation exists in the relative order and timing of the community turnovers (Figure 7-2b and 3). Faunal changes between microperforate communities in different ocean basins are characterized by differing orders of faunal succession; in the North Pacific communities change from *P. eugibina* to *G. cretacea* to *W. hornerstownensis* while in the South Atlantic they change from *W. claytonensis* to *G. cretacea*. Within a given time period the ecologically dominant species may differ between sites. For instance, *P. eugibina* dominates in the North Pacific while *W. claytonensis* dominates in the South Pacific. The timing (but not order) of the two faunal turnovers in the South Atlantic coincide with the first two faunal changes in the North Pacific, providing support for the hypothesis that environmental perturbations can provide ecological opportunities for different species to gain dominance (Keller and Pardo 2004). This perturbation-dominance change hypothesis may be impossible to fully test in the fossil record given that the environmental perturbation and ecological response would have to occur on ecological time scales (years), rather than over the thousands of years averaged by deep sea sediments.

While turnovers in microperforate relative dominance within a site may be linked to environmental perturbations, we hypothesize that the recovery of normal perforate planktonic foraminiferal communities required an additional biotic change. First, once normal perforate communities gain dominance, communities do not return to the mono-dominance of single microperforate species (D'Hondt and Keller 1991). If chance turnover following an environmental perturbation alone led to the establishment
Figure 7-4. Selected size spectra at Shatsky Rise, ODP 1209. Size distributions were split between foraminiferal and nannofossil sized individuals at the minima between the two size peaks.
of normal perforate communities, then we would expect to see occasional reversals to microperforate-dominated communities. Second, the change from microperforate to normal perforate dominance is characterized by at least two ecological changes, including a change in depth habitat (surface to thermocline) and foraminiferal populations sizes (large to modest). Third, long-term changes in environmental conditions do not correlate with faunal turnover. In the Pacific, variation in environmental indicators of ocean temperature, productivity and carbon are unremarkable during transition from the disaster fauna to later Paleocene normal-perforate faunas and fall within the normal range of variation preceding and following the transition. Similarly, export production in the South Atlantic does not recover from its KPg boundary-related drop on the recovery of normal perforate communities. This ecosystem state change may have been top-down, due to ecological rise of grazers, predators, or parasites, or bottom-up, due to a change in the dominant primary producers.

Species richness, ecosystem structure and ecosystem function were decoupled during the very early stage evolutionary recovery of pelagic ecosystems (D'Hondt and Keller 1991), spanning from the KPg boundary up to the recovery of normal perforate foraminiferal communities. Notably, ecosystem function as measured by export productivity was as high in some microperforate communities as before the mass extinction, suggesting that export production is not tightly linked to community structure or species dominance. The recovery of more typical foraminiferal
communities occurred independently of any evolutionary turnover within planktonic foraminifera or any apparent change in ecosystem function as measured by Ba/Ti ratios and $\delta^{13}$C of bulk carbonates. The ecological recovery of pelagic ecosystems from the last mass extinction is not a simple function of the accumulation of species. Instead, it is the fundamental change in the composition of foraminiferal communities that correlates with the recovery of sediments once again dominated by nannofossils and, perhaps, with open ocean ecosystems more like those of the latest Maastrichtian.
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SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Our study primarily focuses on ecological recovery at Shatsky Rise in the equatorial North Pacific (paleolatitude ~10°N [D'Hondt and Keller 1991], Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 1209, currently 32°39.102’S by 158°30.359’E [Bralower et al. 2002]) , but also considers a high resolution record from Walvis Ridge in the South Atlantic (paleolatitude ~38°S [D'Hondt and Keller 1991], ODP Site 1262 and Deep Sea Drilling Site 528, located at 27°11.15’S by 1°34.62’E [Shipboard 2004] and
28°31.49’S by 2°19.44’E [Moore et al. 1984], respectively), a low resolution record from São Paulo Plateau (DSDP Site 356, 28°17.22’S by 41°05.28’W [Perch-Nielsen et al. 1977]), and supporting faunal data from a number of additional sites studied by D’Hondt and Keller (D’Hondt and Keller 1991). At Shatsky Rise, the highly calcareous (>90%) latest Maastrichtian-earliest Danian sediments are comprised primarily of nannofossils (~1-30 µm, primary producers) and planktonic foraminifera (~30-300 µm, 1st-2nd consumers [Bralower et al. 2002]), with nannofossils generally occupying > 90% of sediments by volume.

For each faunal sample, we identified 300-1000 planktonic foraminifera in the 63-102 µm size fraction at ODP Site 1209 Shatsky Rise and DSDP 356, São Paulo Plateau. Foraminifera were identified using the taxonomic concepts of the *Atlas of Paleocene Planktonic Foraminifera* (Olsson and Smithsonian Institution. Press 1999), with subspecific designations according to the species concepts of D’Hondt and Keller (D’Hondt and Keller 1991) and MacLeod (Macleod 1993). *G. cretacea* as plotted for both Shatsky Rise and Walvis Ridge combines the abundance counts of *G. cretacea*, *G. trifolia*, and *G. danica*. *G. trifolia* and *G. danica* are not recognized species in the *Atlas of Paleocene Planktonic Foraminifera*, but are recognized by some taxonomists (e.g., D’Hondt and Keller 1991 and Macleod 1993). Separating *G. cretacea* into finer taxonomic subdivisions has little effect on relative dominance at Shastky Rise as assemblages are highly dominated by the *G. cretacea* morphotype, but changes the picture at Walvis Ridge where *G. cretacea* and *G. trifolia* are roughly equally dominate with *G. trifolia* populations increasing over time.
All samples analyzed at Shatsky Rise Site 1209 follow the main spline, except at the KPg boundary where our sampling remained in the A rather than C hole. Similarly, grain size analysis at Walvis Ridge Site 1262 occurred along the main spline as well. Both sites are plotted using the Westerhold et al. age model solution 1, with the KPg boundary at 65.28 Mya (Westerhold et al. 2008). We investigated the effect of using alternative age models on the diachroneity of the size structure change between Sites 1209 and 1262, but found that all age models result in an offset in timing of at least 100kyr. Faunal counts of D’Hondt and Keller for Walvis Ridge Site 528 (D’Hondt and Keller 1991) were aligned to the Site 1262 size structure data using the KPg boundary and C29R magnetochron as tie points.

We used the Malvern Mastersizer in the Materials Research Laboratory at Pennsylvania State University for high resolution grain size analyses (Brawlower et al. in review). The relatively fine binning of grain sizes allowed us to reliably discriminate foraminiferal and nannofossil sized fossils. While a relative increase in the large grain size bin (>63 or >38µm) has been detected in a number of sites previously including Shatsky Rise (D’Hondt 2005; Zachos and Arthur 1986; Zachos et al. 1989), the fine subdivisions allowed us to more accurately calculate the relative flux of foraminifera and nannofossils leading to the surprising find of an 8-fold increase in foraminiferal accumulation at Shatsky Rise.

The carbonate mass accumulation rate at Site 1209 was calculated using sedimentation rates from the Westerhold *et al.* age model, a dry bulk density of 1.2 g/cm³, and a constant assumption of 90% carbonate in sediments. These age-model
MARs were spot checked with 8 He-derived MARs, which provided an independent, instantaneous MAR estimate robust to age model uncertainties. The relative percent of foraminiferal and nannofossil sized individuals was estimated by dividing the bimodal grain size distribution data at the abundance trough. At Shatsky Rise the trough between foraminifera and nannofossils had a medium size of 16.5\(\mu\)m and an interquartile range from 14.2-16.5\(\mu\)m (see example distributions in Supplementary Fig. 1). At Walvis Ridge the high degree of overlap between foraminiferal and nannofossil size distributions precluded the automated subdivision of distribution data and introduced a large source of uncertainty into group-specific estimates of MAR. Thus, inferences about the MAR of foraminifera at Walvis Ridge are suggestive rather than conclusive and should be interpreted with caution. Ba, Ti, and Fe, were measured using XRF core scanning at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography at 10 and 50kV (additional scanning details in [Hull and Norris in prep]). Bulk carbonate \(\delta^{13}C\) and \(\delta^{18}O\) were run on homogenized sediments in the Stable Isotope Laboratory at the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC). The surface-to-deep \(\delta^{13}C\) difference was calculated between benthic foraminifera (\textit{Nuttalides truempyi} and \textit{Stensioeina beccariiformis}) and planktonic foraminifera (the \textit{Pramurica taurica-Morozovella angulata} evolutionary series) picked from the 150-180 \(\mu\)m and >250 \(\mu\)m size fractions, respectively, and analyzed at UCSC and Scripps Institution of Oceanography.
CHAPTER VIII

Summary of the dissertation
INTRODUCTION

Recently in the course of preparation of chapters on the zooplankton for a forthcoming comprehensive work on all aspects of limnology, it has been necessary to study in detail a considerable number of papers on the freshwater copepoda, particularly the Calanoida and planktonic Cyclopoida. During the course of this study it became apparent that the literature contained a number of disconnected facts of considerable evolutionary significance.

- G. E. Hutchinson, 1951

The unifying goal of the research presented in this dissertation was to provide new insights into the long-term dynamical behavior of open ocean species and ecosystems. My research follows in a tradition of process-based and exploratory research in marine micropaleontology (e.g, Phleger 1954; Vincent and Berger 1981) that long ago began to ask and address the largest scale issues in the evolution of the open ocean. While some aspects of my thesis work primarily resulted in the development of new analytical approaches, like Chapter V on sediment mixing, most chapters provide new insights into some aspect of pelagic evolution. Like Hutchinson, I too have found myself compiling “disconnected facts” of evolutionary significance, and it is within a comparable context that I summarize both the contributions of my individual chapters as well as insights that arise from a cross-chapter synthesis. My summary focuses on the three main issues to which my thesis work pertains: i) speciation in the plankton, ii) pelagic community assembly, and iii) ecosystem recovery from massive disturbances.
SPECIATION IN THE PLANKTON

_Environmental differences may be relatively more important than geographic distance in isolating pelagic populations._ Pelagic biogeographers have long described the relatively large and disjunct ranges of many planktonic species (e.g., Bradshaw 1959; Ekman 1953; McGowan 1974). The discovery of cryptic, genetic species within classic morphospecies has not changed this basic feature of planktonic foraminiferal species distributions. In two cryptic complexes of planktonic foraminifera studied throughout the Atlantic, *Orbulina universa* and *Globorotalia truncatulinoides*, cryptic species had disjunct distributions and reoccurred in oceanographic regions with similar environmental conditions regardless of geographic separation (de Vargas et al. 1999; de Vargas et al. 2001). Similarly, two morphospecies of polar to upwelling foraminifera, *Globigerina bulloides* and *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma*, contain morphologically cryptic, genetic species with disjunct ranges (Darling et al. 2004; Darling et al. 2007; Darling et al. 2003; Darling et al. 2000). In Chapter II, I directly test the relative importance of geography and environment in driving genetic isolation by examining existing genetic and distribution data for *G. bulloides* and *N. pachyderma*. Here, I found that the genetic distance between cryptic species was more related to the environmental than the geographic distance between them. In past studies of morphological evolution in fossil planktonic foraminifera, some authors have attributed speciation events across currents to vicariance (e.g., Wei and Kennett 1988). However, given the large, disparate geographic ranges of modern and fossil foraminifera and fossil evidence for repeated
long-distance dispersal events (Sexton and Norris 2008), an ecological rather than geographic isolating mechanism may be more common.

It is unclear whether geographic speciation may be relatively more important in other pelagic organisms. While large, disjunct species ranges are known in a variety of plankton and nekton (McGowan 1974; McGowan and Walker 1993; Norris 2000), there is suggestive evidence that some taxa may be relatively more dispersal limited. For instance, while many species of euphausiids have geographically disjunct distributions, there are no truly bipolar species and many Atlantic species are endemic (Brinton 1962). In contrast, geographically disjunct distributions of genetic species or genotypes have been observed in copepods (Goetze 2005), coccolithophores (Saez et al. 2003) and cyanobacteria (Ferris and Palenik 1998), providing some evidence for relatively high dispersal in pelagic taxa other than planktonic foraminifera. The near-ubiquitous presence of cryptic species complexes in marine taxa (e.g., Knowlton 1993) makes molecular phylogenetic approaches critical in fully evaluating this question in future research.

Short lived cryptic species within fossil taxa may lead to an underestimation of the frequency of speciation and extinction, in addition to confounding estimates of tempo and mode. In Chapter III, I use a different ensemble of morphometric techniques to revisit a classic case of gradual evolution in fossil planktonic foraminifera. Here, I find the presence of a cryptic species during the evolution of *Globorotalia tumida* from *G. plesiotumida*. This research provides one concrete example of the effect of cryptic species in interpreting the fossil record of speciation.
Namely, it results in the underestimation of the frequency of speciation and extinction and of the tempo of speciation. These characteristics define key aspects of speciation in the fossil record, as mode is generally quite difficult to identify due to spatial and stratigraphic limitations.

If this case study is representative of other speciation events in planktonic foraminifera, then the implications of these results may be far reaching. For instance, past studies have indicated that simpler organisms like foraminifera and corals have long species durations as compared to more complex taxa like mammals, birds and fishes (Stanley 1979; Stanley 1998). However, if short-lived cryptic species, like that identified during the evolution of *Globorotalia tumida* from *G. plesiotumida*, are common, than we may have underestimated the frequency of speciation and extinction in planktonic foraminifera and, thereby, overestimated the average duration of species. My results provide an indication that the perceived trend in species duration may simply reflect a comparable trend in our taxonomic and morphometric acuity.

*Rapid evolution of species richness and morphological disparity following the KPg mass extinction suggests a fundamental difference in the establishment versus maintenance of pelagic diversity*. The initial diversification following the KPg mass extinction is unlike the morphological evolution detailed in Chapter III or in other investigations of speciation in planktonic foraminifera (e.g., Kucera and Malmgren 1998; Wei 1987; Wei and Kennett 1988). Although I argue that speciation in the *G. plesiotumida – G. tumida* lineage is rapid relative to what was previously described (45 kyr rather than >100 kyr (Malmgren et al. 1983)), it pales in comparison to the
explosive radiation following the KPg mass extinction when at least 8 species with 3
different coiling types arose from a common ancestor in less than 30 kyr (Olsson et al.
1999). This is not due to uncharacteristically slow rates of evolution in the *G.
plesiotumida* – *G. tumida* lineage, as the evolution of *G. tumida* is relatively rapid and
abrupt as compared to evolution in other lineages (Kucera and Malmgren 1998; Wei
1987; Wei and Kennett 1988; but see also Malmgren et al. 1996). The explosive
diversification at the KPg boundary is consistent with models of adaptive radiation
(Gavrilets and Vose 2005) and with models of increased rates of evolution during times
of environmental stress (e.g., Kashtan et al. 2007; Matic et al. 1995).

The rapid radiation of planktonic foraminifera following the KPg mass
extinction raises the question: what is the relative contribution of large, infrequent
disturbances to the establishment and maintenance of pelagic diversity? Rapid increases
in disparity relative to species richness are generally characteristic of evolutionary
recoveries from mass extinctions (e.g., Erwin 2007), but have yet to be studied in
planktonic foraminifera. Planktonic foraminifera provide an interesting contrast to other
groups studied to date in that both the rate of speciation and the rate of morphological
innovation appear relatively high during the early recovery from the KPg mass
extinction. Comparative studies across multiple types of oceanic disturbance regimes
could help elucidate the importance of rare events in driving changes in morphological
and species richness and potential differences in the dominant mode of speciation
during these times.
PELAGIC COMMUNITY ASSEMBLY

Community similarity in planktonic foraminifera is primarily related to environmental similarity, not geographic proximity. The dominant conclusion of Chapter II is the ecological correlate of a general lack of dispersal limitation in planktonic foraminiferal species. The use of a community similarity metric that accounts for relative abundance as well as the species present indicates that not only are individual morphospecies capable of long distance dispersal, species can reassemble similar communities in terms of relative species abundance throughout their ranges. The similarity of relative species composition in geographically isolated communities suggests that the relative niches occupied and exploited by species may be similar throughout the core of a given species’ range, and implies that species differ in their ecological adaptations even though we have yet to understand what these adaptive differences may be.

Relative patterns among taxa are not maintained following massive disturbances; different taxa may follow very different recovery trajectories. When I first considered biogeography in planktonic foraminifera (Chapter II), I was quite impressed by the similarity in faunal distribution patterns across a number of zooplankton taxa and nekton (Bé and Tolderlund 1971; Bieri 1959; Bradshaw 1959; Brinton 1962; McGowan 1971; Worm et al. 2005). I was similarly stuck by the difference in the ecological response of planktonic foraminifera and coccolithophorids to the KPg mass extinction (Chapter VII). While both groups suffer similarly high levels of extinction, the fluxes and inferred standing stock of planktonic foraminifera
rebound immediately during a period in which coccolithophorid fluxes are a small fraction of their normal levels. Modern studies of coccolithophorids suggest that they can be out competed by diatoms in unstable or fluctuating environmental conditions, and that dinoflagellates may also be relatively superior during times of environmental stress (Lichtman 2007, and references therein). Hence, following massive disturbances, coccolithophorids may simply be poorly adapted to thrive, while certain groups of coastal foraminifera may be pre-adapted to such an existence. Similar differences are likely to exist across zooplankton taxa as well, although it is difficult to predict which groups would be post-extinction winners and losers. Thus, while foraminifera may provide a good proxy for distribution patterns in zooplankton today, the fidelity of this relationship during times of acute perturbations or even under different oceanic configurations and/or states is unclear. If taxa respond individualistically to sea changes, than extrapolating from planktonic foraminifera to zooplankton in general may not be advisable. An increased understanding of the relative importance of different mechanisms in structuring modern communities and increased understanding of unfossilized organisms through the use of biomarkers could provide important insights into the relative responses of multiple, ecological disparate taxa to large-scale environmental change.

**ECOSYSTEM RECOVERY FROM MASSIVE DISTURBANCES**

The recovery of some ecosystem functions following biotic crises may be quite rapid and disconnected from the recovery of species richness. As the most recent and
well understood mass extinction, the KPg mass extinction and recovery have been the
subject of intensive study over the nearly 3 decades since the impact-extinction
mechanism was first hypothesized (Alvarez et al. 1980; Claeys et al. 2002; D'Hondt
2005; Smit 1999). Pelagic ecosystems have long represented the extreme end of the
destruction potential of this event. Pelagic taxa generally suffered much greater losses
of species (Norris 2001) and the recovery of normal export productivity appeared to lag
far behind the recovery of primary productivity on land (∼3-4 million years [D'Hondt et
al. 1998; Zachos et al. 1989] versus 10,000 years or less [Arens and Jahren 2000;
Beerling et al. 2001]).

In contrast to the slow recovery in species richness and some export productivity
proxies, I found that barium productivity proxies support inferences from benthic
foraminifera in indicating spatially and temporally heterogeneous declines in organic
fluxes to the deep sea. Indeed, barium proxies, benthic foraminifera, and other non-
carbonate productivity proxies indicate that some coastal and open ocean ecosystems
actually thrived in the earliest recovery stage long before the recovery of pre-extinction
levels of diversity (Chapter VI). Considered together, environmental, ecological, and
faunal records from the North Pacific and South Atlantic present an unusual
combination of conditions in the earliest recovery interval, and suggest an alternative
ecosystem, rather than an utterly devastated one, during the earliest recovery (Chapter
VII).

This hypothesis has the advantage of reconciling contradictory evidence and
explaining previously unexplained phenomena including a lack of mass extinction in
benthic foraminifera (Culver 2003), unaffected or increased fluxes of organic matter in some sites (benthic foraminiferal proxies: Alegret and Thomas 2005; Alegret and Thomas 2007; Alegret and Thomas 2009; geochemical proxies: Faul et al. 2003; Hollis et al. 2003; Sepulveda et al. 2009; Stott and Kennett 1990), a large increase in the relative abundance of large size fraction fossils (e.g., foraminifera relative to nannofossils; D'Hondt 2005; Zachos and Arthur 1986; Zachos et al. 1989), and the dominance of a typically coastal dwelling group of planktonic foraminifera, the microperforates (D'Hondt and Keller 1991; Keller and Pardo 2004). Chapter VII provides new insight into how pelagic ecosystems respond to massive perturbations by suggesting that open ocean communities can exist in alternative states on geological time-scales. These alternative ecosystems may function similarly when measured by some ecosystem metrics, like the total amount of export productivity, but may differ in others, like the long-term stability of primary production (Alegret and Thomas 2005; Alegret and Thomas 2007; Alegret and Thomas 2009)
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Appendix 1

Primary KPg Study Sites
PRIMARY STUDY SITES

I consider KPg boundary sections from six primary sites in the Chapters V-VIII (Figure A-1). Chapter V investigates iridium anomalies at two sites, Shatsky and Maud Rise. In Chapter VI, I consider barium proxies (biogenic barium, Ba/Al, and Ba/Ti) at all six sites described below: i) the Vigo Seamount, North Atlantic, ii) São Paulo Plateau, South Atlantic, iii) Walvis Ridge, South Atlantic, iv) Maud Rise, Antarctica, v) Shatsky Rise, North Pacific, and vi) and Wombat Plateau, Indian Ocean. In Chapter VII, I consider early ecological recovery at Walvis and Shatsky Rise.

I generally used biostratigraphic markers from shipboard biostratigraphy to infer relative age using a consistent relative time scale (Berggren et al. 1995). Brief site descriptions follow:

i) The Vigo Seamount Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Site 398D is located at 40°57.6’N by 10°43.1’W (Ryan and al. 1979), with a paleodepth of 2500m based on subsidence curves (Zachos and Arthur 1986). Early Paleocene to late Maastrichtian sediments at the Vigo Seamount are bioturbated marly nannofossil chalks with periodic thin silt or sand layers and laminations. Slumped sediments underlay the KPg boundary, which also coincides with a sharp change in sediment color between the light grey late Maastrichtian and red-brown Paleocene sediments (Ryan and al. 1979). No hiatus is described during the boundary interval, and all biozones are identified in the early Paleocene. Sediments range in carbonate content from ~34-61% carbonate in the
Figure A-1. Paleomap of primary study sites. Continental configuration reconstructed to 65 million years ago using ODSN plate reconstruction services (www.odsn.de/odsn/services/). Impact site indicated with starburst, sites with black circles.

sections examined in my dissertation (Ryan and al. 1979). Petrographic evidence suggests oxidizing conditions at Site 398 in the Maastrichtian and Paleocene (Bourbon 1979), and gains additional supported by the presences of extensively bioturbated sediments.

ii) DSDP Site 356 at the Sao Paulo Plateau is located at 28°17.22’S by 41°05.28’W (Perch-Nielsen et al. 1977), and has a paleodepth of 2200m based on subsidence curves (Zachos and Arthur 1986). Early Paleocene to late Maastrichtian sediments are marly nannofossils chalks, with a distinct color change at the KPg boundary from brown to grey sediments and clear evidence of bioturbation. A hiatus spans foraminiferal zone P1b but does not affect my short record (Perch-Nielsen et al. 1977), and is not indicated in the higher resolution nannofossil biostratigraphy.
Sediments are ~55-70% carbonates in the late Maastrichtian with a drop in percent carbonate to 18-40% in the early Danian (Emelyanov 1977; Perch-Nielsen et al. 1977). Site 356 is thought to be oxygenated at the KPg boundary (Kumar et al. 1977), with the last period of low-oxygen waters indicated in Campanian by benthic foraminifera and laminated sediments (Sliter 1977).

iii) DSDP Site 527 at Walvis Ridge, 28°02.49’S by 01°45.80’E (Moore et al. 1984a), has a paleodepth of 2700m based on subsidence curves (Moore et al. 1984b). KPg boundary sediments are marly nannofossil chalks with a distinct color change from light brown Maastrichtian to pale yellow brown early Danian sediments (Moore et al. 1984a). The boundary is mixed by planolites and zoophycos burrows and is thought to be complete (Moore et al. 1984a). Sediments are comprised of roughly 60-70% carbonate in the late Maastrichtian and earliest Danian, falling to 30% carbonate by the end of our study interval (Huffman et al. 1990). Low oxic bottom conditions are supported by the bulk geochemistry and clay mineralogy (Chamley et al. 1984; Maillot and Robert 1984), in addition to the presence of vigorous bioturbation.

iv) Maud Rise, Antarctica Site 690C was drilled by the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) at 65°09.621’S by 1°12.285’E (Barker et al. 1988), and has a paleodepth between 1500 and ~2000m based on benthic foraminifera and subsidence curves (Kennett and Stott 1988; Thomas 1990). KPg boundary sediments are predominately nannofossil ooze with minor amounts of foraminifera, clay and minerals; siliceous plankton are effectively absent. Extensive bioturbation of the boundary, predominately chondrites, planolites and zoophycos burrows, are clearly evident due to the color
change from white sediments in the latest Maastrichtian to brown in the early Danian (Barker et al. 1988). Clays during this interval are 100% smectite and are likely eolian deposits from the East Antarctic. The KPg boundary interval is biostratigraphically complete; however, magnetochron C29R appears relatively short. Using sedimentation rates of 7.4 m/my above the boundary, Hamilton calculated that the KPg boundary was approximately 35,000 years below the C29R boundary (Hamilton 1990), or approximately 617.5 kyr shorter than expected (Smit 1999). Thus, Hamilton hypothesizes a reduced sedimentation rates in C29R or a stratigraphically unrecognized hiatus. Site 690 is an open ocean site with sediments comprised of roughly 77-90% carbonate in the latest Maastrichtian and earliest Danian, falling to between 43-63% carbonate for the first 50cm of the Danian (Stott and Kennett 1990). Oxic bottom conditions in the latest Cretaceous to early Paleocene are suggested by clay composition (Robert and Maillot 1990), relatively high proportions of infaunal benthics (Thomas 1988), pore water sulfate concentrations (Ereberg and Abdullah 1990), and extensive bioturbation of the KPg boundary (Barker et al. 1988).

v) I consider two sites on the Southern High of the Shatsky Rise, DSDP 577B (32°26.48’N, 157°43.39’E) and ODP Site 1209 (32°39.102’N, 158°30.359’E), with paleodepths of 2400 and 2000-2500m respectively (Bralower et al. 2002b; Heath et al. 1985; Zachos and Arthur 1986). At DSDP 577B, the KPg boundary lies within the lithological Unit II, a white to pale brow nannofossil ooze with foraminifera comprising up to 35% of the sample, minerals and ash typically less than 10%, and an absence of diatoms and radiolarians (Heath et al. 1985). The KPg boundary section is described as
“a slightly mottle nannofossil ooze”, with mottling of the boundary reflecting the bioturbation of the very pale brown Danian sediments into the white upper Maastrichtian nannofossil ooze (Heath et al. 1985). The boundary is thought to be complete and is recognized by a very minor color change. Relatively shallow sediment mixing is indicated by mixing of rare Thoracosphaerids down to 5cm below the nannofossil KPg boundary and the near-complete replacement of Mesozoic nannofossils (90-95%) at 10cm above the boundary (Wright et al. 1985). At Site 577B, the KPg boundary falls relatively high in magnetochron C29R (Monechi 1985) suggesting relatively large declines in sedimentation rates or an unrecognized hiatus. However, the relative position of the KPg boundary to C29R is quite variable globally (Bleil 1985), and continuous deposition at Shatsky Rise is suggested by the presence of all Paleocene nannofossil zones between 577 and 577A (Monechi 1985).

Similarly, at Site 1209 the KPg boundary falls at the base of the lithological Unit II and separates light orange foraminiferal nannofossil Paleocene oozes from the white nannofossil oozes of the latest Maastrichtian, with burrows containing P0 fauna crossing the boundary by up to 10cm (Bralower et al. 2002a). The basal Paleocene ooze is speckled by pyrite, with the lowermost 2-3 cm containing spherules of diagenetically altered tektites. Nannofossil biostratigraphy indicates that the boundary sections drilled at 1209 are also complete (Bralower et al. 2002b), although a coring and splitting disturbance disrupts ~7cm of the latest Maastrichtian at 1209A. Sediment accumulation rates at 1209 are estimated to fall from 1.0 g/cm²/ky in the latest Maastrichtian to 0.5g/cm²/ky in the earliest Paleocene (Bralower et al. 2002b)
Late Maastrichtian through Early Paleocene sediments at DSDP 577B are generally comprised of 87-97% carbonates with lower percent carbonate values following the KPg boundary (Heath et al. 1985); comparable trends characterize Site 1209. The initial 577 reports vary in the description of relative carbonate preservation across the KPg boundary. While several authors described decreased nannofossil preservation in the very early Paleocene (Monechi 1985; Wright et al. 1985), Zachos presents evidence for stable to improved carbonate preservation at DSDP 577 on the basis of Sr/Ca ratios, Mg, Mn, and SEMs of calcareous nannofossils (Zachos et al. 1985). Furthermore, KPg sediment hydrocarbons at Site 577 suggest organic carbon deposition in oxic environments with extensive microbial reworking (Meyers and Simoneit 1990). Additional support for oxic deposition is gained from the ratio of the alkanes pristane (Pr) to phytane (Ph) and the absence of elemental sulfur (Simoneit and Beller 1985). Supporting Zachos’ interpretation at Site 577, preservation of nannofossils improves across the KPg boundary at Site 1209 (Bralower et al. 2002a). Red/blue reflectance ratios and Fe variation at Site 1209 during the Paleocene also suggest relatively oxidizing conditions (Bralower et al. 2002b).

vi) ODP Site 761C was drilled on the Wombat Plateau, Indian Ocean at 16°44.23’S by 115°32.10’E and has a paleodepth of roughly 1500m based on subsidence curves and a paleolatitude of approximately ~36° (Haq et al. 1990; Pospichal and Bralower 1992; von Rad et al. 1992). Site 761C is thought to have the most complete boundary section drilled at the Wombat and Exmouth Plateaus, although several unusual features accompany the boundary (Haq et al. 1990). Based on
nannofossil stratigraphy, the KPg boundary is place 8cm above a distinct color change in the nannofossil chalk separating upper Maastrichtian white chalk from highly burrowed pale-brown, clay rich chalk (Pospichal and Bralower 1992). In contrast, the peak iridium anomaly is only 1cm above the color change (Rocchia et al. 1992). The nannofossil KPg boundary is located at the contact of a drilling disturbance and appears to contain a thin layer of white, late-Maastrichtian colored chalk (Fig. 4 in Pospichal and Bralower 1992). This 8cm interval is suspect and could represent slumped early Danian sediments (R. Norris, per. comm.). Given the stratigraphic complexities, I do not attempt to make inferences about the Ba/Al in this interval, and instead compare Ba/Al from the late Maastrichtian white chalks to Ba/Al levels after the nannofossil KPg boundary. Oxic conditions are suggested by the presence of burrowing, stable downcore sulfate concentrations, and poor preservation of organic carbon (de Carlo 1992; Haq et al. 1990; Snowdon and Meyers 1992).
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